

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What does a grade 'C' recommendation signify in USPSTF guidelines?**
 - A. Service is strongly recommended for all**
 - B. Service should only be provided in special circumstances**
 - C. Services may have some benefit but are not routinely recommended**
 - D. Service should not be offered at all**
- 2. What does the USPSTF recommend for unsensitized Rh (D)-negative women during 24-28 weeks' gestation?**
 - A. Do not retest if the father is Rh-negative**
 - B. Repeat Rh (D) antibody testing**
 - C. Screening is not necessary**
 - D. Immediate transfusion therapy**
- 3. What condition must adults aged 50 to 59 meet to be recommended aspirin for cardiovascular disease prevention?**
 - A. High cholesterol only**
 - B. A life expectancy of at least 10 years**
 - C. History of heart disease**
 - D. Being active daily**
- 4. What is the USPSTF's recommendation for adult vaccinations?**
 - A. Vaccination should only occur once in a lifetime**
 - B. It varies by age and risk factors**
 - C. Only children need vaccinations**
 - D. Vaccination is not recommended for adults**
- 5. What type of counseling does the USPSTF recommend for adults with cardiovascular risk factors?**
 - A. General advice on lifestyle changes**
 - B. Intensive behavioral counseling for a healthful diet**
 - C. Individual counseling sessions only**
 - D. No counseling is needed**

- 6. What role does the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) play for the USPSTF?**
- A. AHRQ directly provides clinical recommendations**
 - B. AHRQ is responsible for health education materials**
 - C. AHRQ provides support, including funding and staffing, to the USPSTF**
 - D. AHRQ oversees state health regulations**
- 7. Who does the USPSTF recommend should undergo one-time screening for hepatitis C virus infection?**
- A. Adults with a family history of liver disease**
 - B. Individuals with a history of drug use**
 - C. Adults born between 1945 and 1965**
 - D. All adults over the age of 65**
- 8. What is meant by "shared decision-making" in USPSTF recommendations?**
- A. Making decisions solely based on clinical guidelines**
 - B. Involving patients in the decision based on their values and preferences**
 - C. Prioritizing clinical outcomes over patient input**
 - D. Allowing healthcare providers to make all decisions without patient input**
- 9. What is one of the criteria for increased risk for cholesterol issues?**
- A. Regular exercise**
 - B. Diabetes**
 - C. Low fatty diet**
 - D. Youth**
- 10. For individuals who have tested HIV-negative, when should routine rescreening not be necessary?**
- A. If they were at risk previously**
 - B. If they have changed partners**
 - C. If they have not been at increased risk since their negative test**
 - D. If they exhibit symptoms of HIV**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. B
3. B
4. B
5. B
6. C
7. C
8. B
9. B
10. C

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What does a grade 'C' recommendation signify in USPSTF guidelines?

- A. Service is strongly recommended for all
- B. Service should only be provided in special circumstances**
- C. Services may have some benefit but are not routinely recommended
- D. Service should not be offered at all

A grade 'C' recommendation in USPSTF guidelines indicates that the service may have benefits, but these benefits are considered to be minimal or uncertain in the general population. This means that the service is not routinely recommended for everyone, but it can be provided in certain situations, particularly when individualized factors may warrant its use. The key aspect of a grade 'C' recommendation is that clinicians should engage in shared decision-making with patients, discussing the potential benefits and risks, and considering the context of the patient's specific circumstances and preferences before proceeding. This nuanced approach allows for flexibility and individualization rather than a blanket recommendation for or against the service, reflecting a balanced consideration of its evidence base. In contrast, options indicating strong recommendations or outright prohibition, such as routinely offering or not offering the service at all, do not align with the intent of a grade 'C' recommendation. Thus, understanding this classification is critical for incorporating evidence-based practices into clinical decision-making.

2. What does the USPSTF recommend for unsensitized Rh (D)-negative women during 24-28 weeks' gestation?

- A. Do not retest if the father is Rh-negative
- B. Repeat Rh (D) antibody testing**
- C. Screening is not necessary
- D. Immediate transfusion therapy

The recommendation from the USPSTF for unsensitized Rh (D)-negative women during 24-28 weeks' gestation is to repeat Rh (D) antibody testing. This is essential as unsensitized Rh-negative women are at risk of developing antibodies against Rh-positive blood if their fetus is Rh-positive. By conducting this test at 24-28 weeks, healthcare providers can identify any potential sensitization before delivery. If sensitization occurs, it can lead to complications in future pregnancies, such as hemolytic disease of the newborn. Therefore, monitoring and testing during this critical period helps ensure appropriate intervention and management, should the mother show any signs of sensitization. The other options do not align with the established guidelines for prenatal care in this context. They either imply a lack of necessary follow-up or suggest irrelevant interventions that do not address the risk of Rh incompatibility in the pregnancy. Thus, regular screening and testing become crucial to safeguard both maternal and fetal health.

3. What condition must adults aged 50 to 59 meet to be recommended aspirin for cardiovascular disease prevention?

- A. High cholesterol only**
- B. A life expectancy of at least 10 years**
- C. History of heart disease**
- D. Being active daily**

Adults aged 50 to 59 must have a life expectancy of at least 10 years to be recommended aspirin for cardiovascular disease prevention. This guideline is based on the understanding that aspirin can provide benefits in reducing cardiovascular events, but those benefits are most significant in individuals who are likely to live long enough to gain from them. For a person younger than 50 or older than 59, or with limited life expectancy, the potential risks associated with aspirin, such as bleeding complications, may outweigh the benefits. Thus, ensuring a life expectancy of at least 10 years indicates that the individual has enough time to potentially benefit from the preventive effects of aspirin, justifying its use in this age group. Other conditions mentioned, like high cholesterol, a history of heart disease, or being active daily, do not specifically align with the criteria that guide the recommendation for aspirin use in this context. These factors might contribute to overall cardiovascular risk but do not serve as the primary condition for the aspirin recommendation established by the USPSTF guidelines.

4. What is the USPSTF's recommendation for adult vaccinations?

- A. Vaccination should only occur once in a lifetime**
- B. It varies by age and risk factors**
- C. Only children need vaccinations**
- D. Vaccination is not recommended for adults**

The recommendation from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) regarding adult vaccinations is that it varies by age and risk factors. This approach emphasizes the importance of assessing individual circumstances to determine the appropriate vaccinations for each adult. Adult vaccination schedules can include immunizations for influenza, pneumococcal disease, hepatitis, shingles, and others, depending on a variety of factors such as an individual's age, health status, occupation, travel history, and underlying health conditions. For example, older adults may be recommended specific vaccines that younger adults do not need, and individuals with certain chronic diseases may require additional vaccinations to protect against infections that could exacerbate their conditions. In contrast, other responses suggest a more limited perspective on vaccination. The idea that vaccination should only occur once in a lifetime is misleading, as many vaccinations require booster doses or additional vaccines at different life stages. The notion that only children need vaccinations overlooks the critical role adult immunization plays in public health and individual protection. Lastly, the suggestion that vaccination is not recommended for adults misrepresents the significant benefits and guidelines established for preventive care in adults. Understanding the individualized nature of vaccination recommendations is essential for effective health management in the adult population.

5. What type of counseling does the USPSTF recommend for adults with cardiovascular risk factors?

- A. General advice on lifestyle changes**
- B. Intensive behavioral counseling for a healthful diet**
- C. Individual counseling sessions only**
- D. No counseling is needed**

The recommendation from the USPSTF for adults with cardiovascular risk factors emphasizes the importance of intensive behavioral counseling aimed at promoting a healthful diet. This type of counseling is founded on a body of evidence indicating that more structured and personalized guidance can effectively help individuals modify their eating habits and achieve better health outcomes. Intensive behavioral counseling focuses on interactive discussions, goal setting, and personalized feedback, which can empower patients to make sustained lifestyle changes. The approach is designed to provide support and education about nutrition and healthy eating patterns, which are crucial in managing cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and obesity. Such counseling can lead to improved dietary choices, weight management, and ultimately, better cardiovascular health. While general advice on lifestyle changes may provide some benefit, it lacks the targeted, individualized strategy that intensive counseling offers. Individual counseling sessions could also be beneficial but would not encompass the comprehensive multidisciplinary approach advocated by the USPSTF. On the other hand, suggesting that no counseling is needed fails to recognize the significant impact that diet and lifestyle modification can have on cardiovascular health.

6. What role does the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) play for the USPSTF?

- A. AHRQ directly provides clinical recommendations**
- B. AHRQ is responsible for health education materials**
- C. AHRQ provides support, including funding and staffing, to the USPSTF**
- D. AHRQ oversees state health regulations**

The correct response highlights the supportive role that the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) plays in relation to the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). AHRQ provides essential resources that include funding, project management, and staffing support for the USPSTF in its efforts to evaluate evidence and create recommendations for preventive services. This collaboration allows the USPSTF to focus on its mission of assessing the effectiveness and appropriateness of preventive services based on rigorous evidence. The other answer choices do not accurately represent the function of AHRQ concerning the USPSTF. While AHRQ certainly contributes to health education materials, this is not its primary role concerning the USPSTF. Additionally, AHRQ does not directly generate clinical recommendations, as that is the responsibility of the USPSTF itself based on the evidence reviewed. Lastly, overseeing state health regulations falls outside of AHRQ's scope with the USPSTF; it is primarily focused on research and quality in healthcare rather than regulatory oversight.

7. Who does the USPSTF recommend should undergo one-time screening for hepatitis C virus infection?

- A. Adults with a family history of liver disease**
- B. Individuals with a history of drug use**
- C. Adults born between 1945 and 1965**
- D. All adults over the age of 65**

The recommendation for one-time screening for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection focuses on the specific cohort of adults born between 1945 and 1965. This birth cohort is identified as having a higher prevalence of hepatitis C compared to other populations. The USPSTF recognizes that many individuals within this age range may have been exposed to the virus in ways that were not well understood or well-screened for in the past, particularly during the era when blood transfusions and organ transplants were not as rigorously tested for HCV. The inclusion criteria for this recommendation are based on epidemiological data showing that the majority of people with chronic HCV infection are from this generation. By screening this specific group, the USPSTF aims to identify individuals who may benefit from early diagnosis and treatment, thereby potentially preventing severe liver disease and complications related to chronic hepatitis C infection. In contrast, while a family history of liver disease or a history of drug use are risk factors for HCV infection, they are not the sole criteria for blanket screening recommendations. Not all adults over the age of 65 are necessarily at elevated risk, and general screening for all adults in this age category may not be as effective or targeted as focusing on the defined birth cohort.

8. What is meant by "shared decision-making" in USPSTF recommendations?

- A. Making decisions solely based on clinical guidelines**
- B. Involving patients in the decision based on their values and preferences**
- C. Prioritizing clinical outcomes over patient input**
- D. Allowing healthcare providers to make all decisions without patient input**

Shared decision-making is a fundamental concept in healthcare that emphasizes the collaborative process between patients and healthcare professionals. It recognizes that patients have unique values, preferences, and circumstances that should be considered in the decision-making process regarding their treatment and care options. The correct choice highlights the importance of involving patients actively in decisions about their healthcare. This approach ensures that decisions reflect not only clinical guidelines and evidence-based recommendations but also align with what is important to the patient. By integrating patients' values and preferences into these discussions, healthcare providers can foster a more personalized approach to care, ultimately leading to better patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment plans. In contrast to this shared approach, options that imply decision-making is purely in the hands of clinicians or clinical guidelines neglect the important role patients play in their own health care. A model that prioritizes clinical outcomes over patient input fails to recognize that health decisions should also consider what matters most to the individual. Similarly, allowing healthcare providers to make all decisions without patient input disregards the autonomy of the patient and may lead to choices that are not in alignment with the patient's personal goals or preferences. Thus, shared decision-making is crucial for a holistic and patient-centered approach to healthcare as advocated by the USPSTF.

9. What is one of the criteria for increased risk for cholesterol issues?

- A. Regular exercise**
- B. Diabetes**
- C. Low fatty diet**
- D. Youth**

Individuals with diabetes are recognized as having an increased risk for cholesterol issues due to the metabolic alterations that accompany the condition. Diabetes often leads to dyslipidemia, characterized by elevated levels of triglycerides and low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, which can contribute to atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. Therefore, having diabetes is a significant risk factor that warrants more proactive management of cholesterol levels. In the context of the other options, regular exercise is generally associated with improved cholesterol profiles, as physical activity can help raise HDL cholesterol and lower LDL cholesterol. A low-fat diet, if well-balanced, typically supports healthy cholesterol levels and does not indicate increased risk. Youth, while generally associated with lower risk factors, does not adequately account for metabolic condition risk factors such as diabetes that can increase cholesterol issues at any age. The presence of diabetes stands out as a clear criterion for increased risk, emphasizing the importance of monitoring and managing cholesterol levels in these individuals.

10. For individuals who have tested HIV-negative, when should routine rescreening not be necessary?

- A. If they were at risk previously**
- B. If they have changed partners**
- C. If they have not been at increased risk since their negative test**
- D. If they exhibit symptoms of HIV**

Routine rescreening for HIV is recommended based on an individual's ongoing risk factors. The guideline indicates that for those who have tested HIV-negative, rescreening may not be necessary if they have not been at increased risk since their negative test result. This is because the purpose of routine screening is to identify new infections that may arise from changes in behavior or exposure. For individuals who have maintained consistent low-risk behaviors and have no new risk factors since their last test, the likelihood of having contracted the virus remains low. Consequently, the benefit of rescreening in such cases does not outweigh the costs or implications of unnecessary testing. In contrast, having been at risk previously, changing partners, or exhibiting symptoms would all potentially warrant rescreening. A history of risk factors or new partners could increase the likelihood of exposure to HIV, while symptoms could indicate a need for further evaluation regardless of the prior negative test. Therefore, understanding the individual's risk status is crucial in determining the necessity of routine rescreening.