

SUBURBAN LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY (SLEA) WEEK 2 PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

**VISIT US ONLINE FOR
THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE**

<https://sleaweb2.examzify.com>



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. Which duty requires stepping in if you witness another officer violating someone's rights, regardless of rank?**
 - A. Duty to Render Aid
 - B. Peer Support Duty
 - C. Duty to Support Your Partner's Actions
 - D. Duty to Intervene

- 2. Distraction techniques are defined as what?**
 - A. The use of control techniques that strengthen motor action
 - B. The use of control techniques that weaken motor action by changing the thought process; lasts about 3 seconds
 - C. The use of weapons to compel compliance
 - D. The use of high-speed movement to surprise the subject

- 3. What best distinguishes 2nd Degree Murder from 1st Degree Murder?**
 - A. Mitigating factors such as sudden passion or justifiable use of force.
 - B. Vehicle involvement.
 - C. Different victim type.
 - D. No difference.

- 4. An anticipatory search warrant is issued based on what?**
 - A. A warrant obtained based on probable cause and an expectation that seizable items will be found at a location
 - B. A warrant with no probable cause
 - C. A warrant valid only for digital data
 - D. A warrant issued without a judge

- 5. What is the required mental state for Resisting or Obstructing a Peace Officer?**
 - A. Accidentally
 - B. Knowingly
 - C. Negligently
 - D. Recklessly

6. An anticipatory search warrant is issued based on what two elements?

- A. Probable cause and an expectation that evidence will be located at a specified place
- B. Consent to Search
- C. A warrant without probable cause
- D. A warrant for Any Location

7. What is the mental state required for Obstructing Justice?

- A. Negligently
- B. Knowingly
- C. Intentionally
- D. Recklessly

8. Which set lists inchoate offenses?

- A. Solicitation, Conspiracy, and Attempt.
- B. Homicide and Robbery.
- C. Theft and Burglary.
- D. Battery and Assault.

9. Good Faith exception does not apply when:

- A. The warrant is facially deficient and the officer should have known.
- B. The warrant is facially deficient but the officer did not know.
- C. The officer relied on the warrant in good faith.
- D. The warrant was issued after a Franks hearing.

10. Force must be what?

- A. Reasonable and Necessary
- B. Aggressive and proportional
- C. Controlled and measured
- D. Nonlethal whenever possible

Answers

SAMPLE

1. D
2. B
3. C
4. A
5. B
6. A
7. B
8. A
9. A
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Which duty requires stepping in if you witness another officer violating someone's rights, regardless of rank?

- A. Duty to Render Aid
- B. Peer Support Duty
- C. Duty to Support Your Partner's Actions
- D. Duty to Intervene**

The important idea here is an officer's obligation to intervene when you witness a colleague violating someone's rights, and this duty applies regardless of rank. Intervening establishes accountability within the rank structure: any officer, from rookie to supervisor, is expected to stop inappropriate behavior and protect constitutional rights. Practically, this means you assess the situation, issue a verbal command to halt the improper action, physically or safely separate the parties if needed, call for backup and medical aid if required, and document the incident for follow-up and discipline. This duty is about preventing harm and safeguarding rights in real time, not about providing medical care or simply supporting a partner's actions. The other options don't capture the proactive obligation to stop rights violations: rendering aid is focused on medical support; peer support is about personal resilience and welfare; and backing your partner's actions could enable improper conduct rather than prevent it.

2. Distraction techniques are defined as what?

- A. The use of control techniques that strengthen motor action
- B. The use of control techniques that weaken motor action by changing the thought process; lasts about 3 seconds**
- C. The use of weapons to compel compliance
- D. The use of high-speed movement to surprise the subject

Distraction techniques rely on disrupting the subject's immediate thinking to blunt their physical response. The description that fits best is the one that explains weakening motor action by changing the thought process, lasting about three seconds. That brief cognitive shift creates a small window for the officer to issue commands or take control without force. The other options describe actions aimed at increasing action, using coercion with weapons, or relying on surprise through speed—none of those focus on altering thought processes to momentarily reduce motor responses.

3. What best distinguishes 2nd Degree Murder from 1st Degree Murder?

- A. Mitigating factors such as sudden passion or justifiable use of force.
- B. Vehicle involvement.
- C. Different victim type.**
- D. No difference.

The key factor distinguishing first-degree murder from second-degree murder is premeditation and deliberation. First-degree murder involves planning or conscious, deliberate weighing of the decision to kill, or it involves certain aggravating circumstances defined by statute (such as felony murder). If the killing is intentional but there was no prior plan or cool-headed deliberation, it typically falls into second-degree murder. Mitigating factors like sudden passion or justifiable use of force point toward manslaughter or justifiable homicide, not the degree of murder. Vehicle involvement or the type of victim do not determine the degree. So, the true distinction rests on whether there was prior premeditation and deliberate consideration.

4. An anticipatory search warrant is issued based on what?

- A. A warrant obtained based on probable cause and an expectation that seizable items will be found at a location
- B. A warrant with no probable cause
- C. A warrant valid only for digital data
- D. A warrant issued without a judge

An anticipatory search warrant is issued based on probable cause that, at a specific future moment and under a defined triggering condition, evidence or contraband will be located at a particular place. The warrant is approved because investigators expect that when the triggering event occurs, seizable items will be at the location and ready to be seized during the search. This combines a solid belief about the future event with the place where the items will be found, satisfying Fourth Amendment requirements. For example, if investigators anticipate a drug shipment arriving at a residence and have probable cause to believe the drugs will be there when the shipment arrives, they can obtain an anticipatory warrant that becomes executable when the delivery occurs. It's not about having no probable cause, it isn't limited only to digital data, and it must be issued by a judge or magistrate.

5. What is the required mental state for Resisting or Obstructing a Peace Officer?

- A. Accidentally
- B. Knowingly
- C. Negligently
- D. Recklessly

The mental state being tested is knowingly. Resisting or obstructing a peace officer requires the person to be aware that their actions are resisting, delaying, or obstructing the officer while the officer is performing duties. This means the conduct is voluntary and intentional in that sense. Being accidental doesn't meet this awareness requirement, and the other states describe different levels of awareness (negligence or recklessness) that don't fit the specific act of knowingly resisting or obstructing. So, deliberately acting with knowledge that you're resisting or obstructing in the course of the officer's duties is the correct standard.

6. An anticipatory search warrant is issued based on what two elements?

- A. Probable cause and an expectation that evidence will be located at a specified place
- B. Consent to Search
- C. A warrant without probable cause
- D. A warrant for Any Location

Anticipatory warrants are built on the idea that evidence will be in a specific place, but only when a particular future event occurs. The two elements required are: first, probable cause to believe that the evidence will be located at the designated place at the time of execution; second, a triggering condition—the future event—that will bring that evidence within reach and justify carrying out the search when it occurs. Consent to search or a warrant without probable cause aren't part of this concept, and the location must be precisely identified rather than any location.

7. What is the mental state required for Obstructing Justice?

- A. Negligently
- B. Knowingly**
- C. Intentionally
- D. Recklessly

Knowingly is the required mental state. That means the person is aware that their conduct will obstruct or is likely to obstruct the administration of justice. You don't have to intend to obstruct in the sense of a purposeful plan, but you must know that your actions will hinder the investigation or legal process. This is different from negligently obstructing (careless failure to foresee the risk) or recklessly obstructing (conscious disregard of a substantial risk). It's also not the same as intentionally obstructing (where the purpose is to obstruct). Knowing sits between these ideas: you understand that your actions will obstruct, even if you didn't set out with a specific purpose to obstruct. Examples include lying to investigators, concealing a suspect, or destroying evidence when you know it will impede law enforcement.

8. Which set lists inchoate offenses?

- A. Solicitation, Conspiracy, and Attempt.**
- B. Homicide and Robbery.
- C. Theft and Burglary.
- D. Battery and Assault.

Inchoate offenses are crimes that punish actions and intents aimed at committing a crime, even if the criminal goal isn't achieved. The best example here is a group that includes solicitation, conspiracy, and attempt. Solicitation is when one person asks or encourages another to commit a crime, and that urging is itself a punishable act even if the crime doesn't happen. Conspiracy involves an agreement between two or more people to commit a crime plus some step toward carrying it out; the crime is recognized from the agreement and the action toward its realization, not from the completion of the crime. Attempt is when someone takes a substantial step toward committing a crime with the intent to finish it; the act is punishable even if the crime isn't completed. The other options mix in offenses that require actual completion of the criminal act—homicide and robbery involve real harm or the taking of property; theft and burglary revolve around taking or entering to commit theft; battery and assault involve harmful contact or threats that occur as acts themselves. Since those are not inchoate offenses, they don't fit the set described.

9. Good Faith exception does not apply when:

- A. The warrant is facially deficient and the officer should have known.**
- B. The warrant is facially deficient but the officer did not know.
- C. The officer relied on the warrant in good faith.
- D. The warrant was issued after a Franks hearing.

The main idea here is when the Good Faith exception to the exclusionary rule does not apply. The Good Faith rule lets evidence be used if officers reasonably rely on a warrant they believe to be valid. It does not apply when a warrant is facially deficient—that is, on its face the warrant lacks probable cause or shows a clear defect—and the officer should have known there was a problem. In that situation, the reliance isn't genuinely in good faith because a reasonable officer would recognize the defect, so the evidence is excluded. If the warrant is facially deficient but the officer didn't know it, or if the officer relied in good faith, or if the issue relates to a Franks hearing, those scenarios do not automatically strip the Good Faith protection. Thus, the scenario where the warrant is facially deficient and the officer should have known is the one where the Good Faith exception does not apply.

10. Force must be what?

- A. Reasonable and Necessary
- B. Aggressive and proportional
- C. Controlled and measured
- D. Nonlethal whenever possible

The main idea being tested is that force used by officers must be reasonable and necessary in the given circumstances. This means the amount and type of force should fit the threat, the suspect's actions, and the objective to be achieved, and it should be the least intrusive means necessary to accomplish that goal. The standard comes from how courts evaluate use-of-force cases: reasonableness is judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, considering the totality of the circumstances, including availability of de-escalation and alternatives. While trying non-force options first is encouraged, and lethal force is only appropriate if required to protect life, the essential requirement is that the force used is justified, proportional to the threat, and necessary. The other options don't capture that legal and practical standard as precisely: aggression isn't the measure, and merely being controlled or nonlethal isn't sufficient if the situation demanded more to achieve a legitimate objective.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://sleaweek2.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE