

Stickleback Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	9
Explanations	11
Next Steps	17

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. Which lake is more likely to show predator-driven trait variation due to predation pressure?**
 - A. Bear Paw Lake**
 - B. Both lakes exhibit predator-driven trait variation equally**
 - C. Neither lake**
 - D. Frog Lake**

- 2. How could a cross between marine and freshwater sticklebacks reveal epistasis for armor traits?**
 - A. The F2 generation may show non-Mendelian trait combinations and novel phenotypes if gene interactions influence plate development.**
 - B. Crosses always produce identical phenotypes to the parent populations.**
 - C. The trait distributions follow strict Mendelian ratios with no new phenotypes.**
 - D. Hybrid vigor is the only possible outcome.**

- 3. What is a potential consequence of sampling bias that overrepresents daring individuals?**
 - A. It biases estimates of behavioral traits because the sample overrepresents risk-taking individuals.**
 - B. It yields more accurate estimates of population size.**
 - C. It has no impact on trait estimates.**
 - D. It represents all behavioral types equally.**

- 4. How does the gill raker trait relate to diet breadth in sticklebacks?**
 - A. More numerous and longer gill rakers are associated with detritivorous or plankton-rich diets; fewer or shorter gill rakers with benthic feeding.**
 - B. Gill rakers do not relate to diet.**
 - C. Gill raker length is only influenced by water temperature.**
 - D. More gill rakers lead to narrower diet.**

- 5. Which environmental factor besides predators might explain differences in pelvic spine development between Bear Paw Lake and Frog Lake, and how would you test it?**
- A. The freshwater in Frog Lake is lower in calcium and minerals needed to form pelvic spines; test by measuring mineral content of water from both lakes.**
 - B. Higher water temperature in Frog Lake causes longer spines; test by heating water.**
 - C. Different fish species in Frog Lake cause spines to grow; test by counting fish species.**
 - D. Varying pH levels alone determine spine frequency; test by adjusting pH.**
- 6. Adaptation to freshwater environments in sticklebacks can proceed via standing genetic variation without requiring new mutations.**
- A. Strongly disagree**
 - B. It sometimes requires new mutations**
 - C. It occurs only in marine populations**
 - D. True**
- 7. What evidence links lateral plate reduction to changes in the EDA regulatory region?**
- A. Genomic deletions or functional changes in the EDA regulatory region correlate with plate number reduction across freshwater populations.**
 - B. Mutation in the EDA coding region disrupting function**
 - C. Overexpression of EDA gene**
 - D. Spine changes drive the plate reduction**
- 8. What is a practical reason to use cross populations to locate genomic regions associated with a trait?**
- A. It increases power to detect QTL by recombination in mixed backgrounds.**
 - B. It reduces genetic variation and makes mapping harder.**
 - C. It eliminates the need for genotyping by using only phenotypes.**
 - D. It makes the experiment easier by avoiding recombination.**

- 9. What is the role of the EDA regulatory region in plate variation among sticklebacks?**
- A. It encodes the EDA protein.**
 - B. It regulates expression of EDA; mutations here can alter plate number without coding changes.**
 - C. It controls pelvic spine development.**
 - D. It has no effect on plate numbers.**
- 10. Which defensive features are typical for ocean-dwelling sticklebacks?**
- A. Heavy armor with dorsal and pelvic spines**
 - B. Reduced armor and no spines**
 - C. Large body size but no armor**
 - D. Camouflage as the sole defense**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. D
2. C
3. A
4. A
5. A
6. D
7. A
8. C
9. B
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Which lake is more likely to show predator-driven trait variation due to predation pressure?

- A. Bear Paw Lake**
- B. Both lakes exhibit predator-driven trait variation equally**
- C. Neither lake**
- D. Frog Lake**

Predation pressure drives natural selection on prey traits. When predators actively hunt, individuals with certain traits—like faster speed, better camouflage, tougher defenses, or more vigilant behavior—are more likely to survive and reproduce. Over generations, these advantageous traits become more common, and you also see variation tied to how different individuals cope with the threat. If Frog Lake has predators that impose strong or varying predation pressure, you'd expect to see clearer predator-driven trait variation there, reflecting how prey adapt to those threats. In lakes with little or uniform predation, such variation tied to predation would be weaker or absent. That's why Frog Lake is the most likely site to show this kind of trait variation.

2. How could a cross between marine and freshwater sticklebacks reveal epistasis for armor traits?

- A. The F2 generation may show non-Mendelian trait combinations and novel phenotypes if gene interactions influence plate development.**
- B. Crosses always produce identical phenotypes to the parent populations.**
- C. The trait distributions follow strict Mendelian ratios with no new phenotypes.**
- D. Hybrid vigor is the only possible outcome.**

Epistasis is at work when genes don't act independently—one gene's effect depends on other genes, so how armor develops isn't just a simple tally of separate effects. In sticklebacks, armor plate formation involves multiple loci that interact, with marine and freshwater populations carrying different allele combos. Crossing these forms mixes alleles and can reveal how their interactions shape plate number and pattern, producing trait combinations that don't follow classic Mendelian ratios and even novel phenotypes in the offspring. That's exactly what this kind of cross can show: non-Mendelian inheritance patterns and new plate phenotypes arising from gene interactions. If traits always followed strict Mendelian ratios with no new phenotypes, you wouldn't be seeing those interactions at work. Hybrid vigor isn't a required outcome here either; epistasis can produce a range of results, including intermediate or unexpected phenotypes, not just increased fitness.

3. What is a potential consequence of sampling bias that overrepresents daring individuals?

- A. It biases estimates of behavioral traits because the sample overrepresents risk-taking individuals.**
- B. It yields more accurate estimates of population size.**
- C. It has no impact on trait estimates.**
- D. It represents all behavioral types equally.**

When a sample overrepresents daring individuals, the data come from a subset that doesn't reflect the whole population. This sampling bias makes estimates of behavioral traits biased, because risk-taking data are pulled from people who are more willing to take risks than average. As a result, the average level of daring and the overall distribution of risk-related traits will appear higher than they truly are in the population. This misleads conclusions about how common bold behaviors are or how these traits relate to other factors, unless the bias is addressed. In contrast, population size estimates aren't inherently improved by having more daring individuals in the sample, and the idea that all behavioral types are represented equally is contradicted by the overrepresentation itself. So the correct consequence is that trait estimates become biased due to the overrepresentation of risk-taking individuals.

4. How does the gill raker trait relate to diet breadth in sticklebacks?

- A. More numerous and longer gill rakers are associated with detritivorous or plankton-rich diets; fewer or shorter gill rakers with benthic feeding.**
- B. Gill rakers do not relate to diet.**
- C. Gill raker length is only influenced by water temperature.**
- D. More gill rakers lead to narrower diet.**

Gill raker morphology directly influences what size prey a stickleback can efficiently filter from the water. More numerous and longer gill rakers increase filtering surface, making it easier to capture many tiny particles like plankton or detritus. This suits detritivorous or plankton-rich diets and helps support a broader, plankton-based foraging niche. In contrast, benthic feeding focuses on larger prey near the bottom, where heavy filtering is less needed, so having fewer or shorter gill rakers is advantageous. In short, gill raker traits adapt to the prey available, linking anatomy to diet type and overall diet breadth.

5. Which environmental factor besides predators might explain differences in pelvic spine development between Bear Paw Lake and Frog Lake, and how would you test it?

A. The freshwater in Frog Lake is lower in calcium and minerals needed to form pelvic spines; test by measuring mineral content of water from both lakes.

B. Higher water temperature in Frog Lake causes longer spines; test by heating water.

C. Different fish species in Frog Lake cause spines to grow; test by counting fish species.

D. Varying pH levels alone determine spine frequency; test by adjusting pH.

The main idea here is that the minerals available in the fish's environment can directly influence how bones form. Pelvic spines are made from calcium-rich material, so if Frog Lake water has lower calcium and other minerals needed for bone growth, developing spines could be smaller or less developed compared with fish from Bear Paw Lake. To test this, measure the mineral content of water from both lakes, focusing on calcium and other key minerals involved in calcification. If Frog Lake water is indeed lower in these minerals, that would provide a plausible environmental explanation for the difference in spine development. For stronger evidence, you could raise fry from both populations in a controlled setup with water that mimics each lake's mineral profile; if spine differences persist under identical genetics but different water chemistries, minerals are the likely driver. If the differences disappear in the common environment, genetics or other factors may be at play. Other ideas like temperature or pH alone are less direct: temperature can affect growth rates but doesn't specifically control spine formation, and changing water temperature in a simple test wouldn't isolate mineral availability. Counting fish species doesn't directly link to the mineral needed for bone development.

6. Adaptation to freshwater environments in sticklebacks can proceed via standing genetic variation without requiring new mutations.

A. Strongly disagree

B. It sometimes requires new mutations

C. It occurs only in marine populations

D. True

Adaptation using standing genetic variation means that alleles already present in a population can be favored when the environment changes, allowing rapid evolution without waiting for new mutations. In sticklebacks, after glacial periods many marine ancestors repeatedly colonized freshwater habitats, and similar freshwater forms evolved by shifting the frequencies of preexisting alleles. A well-known example is an allele in the *Eda* gene that reduces armor plates; this variant exists at low frequency in marine populations and rises in frequency in freshwater where heavy armor is less advantageous. Another example involves regulatory variants at *Pitx1* that affect pelvic reduction, which can also arise from standing variation in ancestral populations. Because these variants are already in the gene pool, freshwater adaptation can occur quickly and in parallel across many independent populations. While new mutations can contribute over longer timescales, the frequent and rapid freshwater changes in sticklebacks illustrate that adaptation can proceed via standing genetic variation without requiring new mutations.

7. What evidence links lateral plate reduction to changes in the EDA regulatory region?

- A. Genomic deletions or functional changes in the EDA regulatory region correlate with plate number reduction across freshwater populations.**
- B. Mutation in the EDA coding region disrupting function**
- C. Overexpression of EDA gene**
- D. Spine changes drive the plate reduction**

The key idea is that changes in when and where a gene is turned on can drive visible evolutionary differences, without altering the protein itself. In sticklebacks, freshwater populations tend to have fewer lateral plates, and this pattern aligns with alterations in the regulatory region of the EDA gene. Deletions or other functional changes in this regulatory region can lessen EDA expression during the development of dermal plates, leading to fewer plates. Because this regulatory shift is observed across multiple independent freshwater populations, it strongly links changes in EDA regulation to the plate-reduction phenotype. Coding-region mutations in EDA would affect the protein's function more broadly and wouldn't necessarily track with plate numbers across different populations. Overexpression of EDA would be expected to increase, not reduce, plate formation. And changes in spine development are a different trait, not the lateral plates themselves.

8. What is a practical reason to use cross populations to locate genomic regions associated with a trait?

- A. It increases power to detect QTL by recombination in mixed backgrounds.**
- B. It reduces genetic variation and makes mapping harder.**
- C. It eliminates the need for genotyping by using only phenotypes.**
- D. It makes the experiment easier by avoiding recombination.**

Cross populations are valuable because they bring together diverse genetic backgrounds and create many recombination events across the genome. This abundance of recombination helps break up long linked blocks of DNA, so the association between a trait and nearby genetic markers can be narrowed down to smaller genomic regions. By comparing marker genotypes with the observed phenotypes across individuals from different parental backgrounds, you can detect which marker alleles track with the trait, giving you power and precision to locate the genomic regions involved. Using only phenotypes would not reveal where in the genome the trait is located, since phenotype data alone tells you nothing about the underlying DNA variants. Likewise, relying on less recombination (or reducing genetic variation) would make it harder to separate the effects of closely linked loci and would reduce mapping resolution. Recombination is a crucial aspect of mapping, and genetic variation across cross populations provides the necessary signal to link traits to specific genomic regions.

9. What is the role of the EDA regulatory region in plate variation among sticklebacks?

- A. It encodes the EDA protein.**
- B. It regulates expression of EDA; mutations here can alter plate number without coding changes.**
- C. It controls pelvic spine development.**
- D. It has no effect on plate numbers.**

Gene regulation can drive morphological variation, and in sticklebacks the EDA regulatory region is the switch that matters. This region controls when, where, and how much the EDA gene is expressed during the development of bony plates. Mutations here don't change the EDA protein itself; they change its expression pattern. That means plate number can shift up or down without altering the protein's sequence, producing the observed differences between populations that live in freshwater versus marine environments. Regulatory changes allow precise, tissue-specific effects with fewer unintended consequences than altering the protein-coding region. The other possibilities miss the key point: this region does not encode the EDA protein, it does not regulate pelvic spine development, and it does have a meaningful effect on plate numbers.

10. Which defensive features are typical for ocean-dwelling sticklebacks?

- A. Heavy armor with dorsal and pelvic spines**
- B. Reduced armor and no spines**
- C. Large body size but no armor**
- D. Camouflage as the sole defense**

In sticklebacks, defensive traits shift with habitat due to predator pressures and energy costs. Ocean-dwelling populations keep heavy armor along the sides and prominent spines because these features deter predators in the open marine environment and provide robust, multi-directional protection. The bony plates (armor) resist bites, while dorsal and pelvic spines make it harder for predators to swallow or maneuver the fish. While camouflage can help, it's the combination of heavy armor and spines that best characterizes ocean populations. The other patterns—reduced armor, large body size with no armor, or camouflage as the sole defense—are more typical of freshwater or different ecological conditions, not the ocean.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://stickleback.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!