Road Safety Professional (RSP) Level 1 Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide

Everything you need from our exam experts!

Table of Contents

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- **Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,**
- **Improve accuracy and speed,**
- **Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and**
- **Approach the exam with greater confidence.**

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here’s how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don’t just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There’s no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

1. Which speed is generally considered the upper limit of crash survivability for most pedestrians and cyclists?

A. 19 mph (30 kph)

B. 10 mph

C. 30 mph

D. 25 mph

2. Which is an example of discrete data in crash analysis?

A. Crash rate per 100,000 vehicles

B. Traffic volume

C. Observed crash frequency

D. Average time between crashes

3. Where are high-quality CMFs published along with many others?

A. CMF Clearinghouse

B. Highway Safety Manual

C. National Transportation Safety Board

D. Federal Highway Administration

4. Generally, how is traffic volume related to crashes?

A. As volume increases, crash frequency decreases and crash severity increases

B. As volume increases, crash frequency increases and crash severity decreases

C. There is no relationship between volume and crashes

D. Crashes drive volume changes rather than the other way around

5. Which statement describes causation versus correlation?

A. Causation occurs when Action A caused Outcome B

B. Correlation means Action A was implemented and Outcome B happened with no implied connection

C. Causation and correlation are identical

D. Correlation means Outcome B caused Action A

6. BCR stands for?

- **A. Benefit-Cost Ratio**
- **B. Benefit-Cost Result**
- **C. Baseline Comparative Ranking**
- **D. Budget Cost Reduction**

7. Deliberative mistakes occur when roadway users operate on what type of information?

- **A. Complete information**
- **B. Incomplete information**
- **C. Perfect knowledge**
- **D. Random data**

8. What speed is generally considered the upper limit of crash survivability for a head-on car-to-car collision?

- **A. 60 mph (97 kph)**
- **B. 43 mph (70 kph)**
- **C. 30 mph (48 kph)**
- **D. 50 mph (80 kph)**

9. What does 'A' stand for on the KABCO Scale for Injury Severity?

- **A. Fatal injury**
- **B. Non-incapacitating evident injury**
- **C. Incapacitating injury**
- **D. Possible injury**

10. What is the annual SPF calibration multiplier?

- **A. The ratio of predicted crashes to observed crashes from the SPF**
- **B. The difference between observed and predicted crashes**
- **C. The average of observed crashes**
- **D. The ratio of observed crashes to the predicted crashes from the SPF**

Answers

1. A
2. C
3. A
4. B
5. A
6. A
7. B
8. B
9. C
10. D

Explanations

1. Which speed is generally considered the upper limit of crash survivability for most pedestrians and cyclists?

A. 19 mph (30 kph)

B. 10 mph

C. 30 mph

D. 25 mph

Crashes involve a lot of energy, and the body can withstand only so much impact before serious injury or death becomes likely. For pedestrians and cyclists, there is a practical speed below which most people can survive a collision because the forces are lower and can be absorbed more safely by the vehicle and the ground. About 19 mph (30 km/h) is widely cited as that upper survivability limit for most people. Below this speed, the chance of fatal injury remains relatively low, while above it the risk rises sharply as impact energy increases with speed. So this speed is considered the threshold where survivability is generally achievable for most pedestrians and cyclists. The other options are speeds where injuries and fatalities become more likely, so they don't represent the practical survivability limit.

2. Which is an example of discrete data in crash analysis?

A. Crash rate per 100,000 vehicles

B. Traffic volume

C. Observed crash frequency

D. Average time between crashes

Discrete data are counts that can only take whole-number values. In crash analysis, the number of crashes observed in a given time period is a count, so it can be 0, 1, 2, and so on. This makes observed crash frequency the discrete data because it represents a straight count of events. The other options are not pure discrete counts. A crash rate per 100,000 vehicles combines a count with a population to form a rate, which can be fractional and is treated as a continuous measure. Traffic volume is a flow that can vary continuously over time, even though you might record it as a count over short intervals. Average time between crashes is a duration, which is a continuous measurement. So the best example of discrete data in crash analysis is the observed crash frequency.

3. Where are high-quality CMFs published along with many others?

A. CMF Clearinghouse

B. Highway Safety Manual

C. National Transportation Safety Board

D. Federal Highway Administration

Crash Modification Factors quantify how a safety countermeasure is expected to change crash frequency, and the CMF Clearinghouse is the public database that collects these factors from many studies, with notes on context, methods, and quality. This combination makes it the best place to find high-quality CMFs published alongside many others, so you can compare options across different situations. The Highway Safety Manual uses CMFs as part of its guidance but isn't the central repository of CMFs. The National Transportation Safety Board focuses on accident investigations and safety findings, not a CMF database. The Federal Highway Administration provides guidance and oversight but does not serve as the primary CMF collection.

4. Generally, how is traffic volume related to crashes?

A. As volume increases, crash frequency decreases and crash severity increases

B. As volume increases, crash frequency increases and crash severity decreases

C. There is no relationship between volume and crashes

D. Crashes drive volume changes rather than the other way around

When you think about traffic safety, exposure matters: more vehicles on the road mean more opportunities for conflicts, so crash frequency tends to rise as volume increases. At the same time, higher volumes usually mean slower speeds because drivers slow down in dense traffic, and lower speeds reduce the energy in a crash. That combination leads to more crashes overall, but those crashes are often less severe than crashes that occur at higher speeds in low-volume conditions. So the best description is that increasing volume leads to more crashes, but with lower crash severity on average. The other statements don't fit because there is a clear relationship between volume and crashes, crashes don't generally drive volume changes as the primary direction of influence, and it's not true that crash frequency would decrease with higher volume or that severity would increase with volume.

5. Which statement describes causation versus correlation?

A. Causation occurs when Action A caused Outcome B

B. Correlation means Action A was implemented and Outcome B happened with no implied connection

C. Causation and correlation are identical

D. Correlation means Outcome B caused Action A

Understanding the difference between causation and correlation is essential: causation means an action directly causes a change in an outcome, while correlation means two things tend to occur together without proving one causes the other. The statement that causation occurs when an action causes an outcome captures that direct, cause-and-effect link. In road safety terms, implementing a measure that reliably reduces injuries shows causation because changing the action changes the outcome. The other options misrepresent correlation or causation: correlation does reflect a relationship, it doesn't imply no connection; the two concepts are not identical; and saying the outcome caused the action describes reverse causation, not the proper direction of influence.

6. BCR stands for?

A. Benefit-Cost Ratio

B. Benefit-Cost Result

C. Baseline Comparative Ranking

D. Budget Cost Reduction

In evaluating road safety interventions, the key metric is the Benefit-Cost Ratio, which compares the monetary value of benefits to the costs. It's calculated by dividing total benefits by total costs, so a ratio above 1 means benefits exceed costs and the project is economically worthwhile. This is the standard term used in cost-benefit analysis for assessing safety measures, investments, and policies. The other options aren't standard metrics or terms used in this context: one describes a non-existent "Benefit-Cost Result," another refers to a ranking method, and the last denotes budget cutting. So the correct meaning is Benefit-Cost Ratio.

7. Deliberative mistakes occur when roadway users operate on what type of information?

A. Complete information

B. Incomplete information

C. Perfect knowledge

D. Random data

Deliberative mistakes happen when decisions are based on incomplete information. In real driving, you rarely know everything about what other road users will do next—intentions aren't always clear, signals can be missed, and speeds or gaps can change quickly. When you fill these gaps with guesses or assumptions, those guesses are often wrong, leading to misjudgments such as misreading a pedestrian's delay or underestimating another driver's speed. Because the situation isn't fully known, you can't rely on perfect knowledge or complete certainty, and you shouldn't trust random data. In short, acting on incomplete information best explains why these mistakes occur.

8. What speed is generally considered the upper limit of crash survivability for a head-on car-to-car collision?

A. 60 mph (97 kph)

B. 43 mph (70 kph)

C. 30 mph (48 kph)

D. 50 mph (80 kph)

Occupant survivability in head-on crashes depends on how much energy must be absorbed and how well safety systems manage the resulting deceleration. When two cars collide head-on, the occupants experience a very rapid stop, so the vehicle's structure, crumple zones, seat belts, and airbags work together to spread out and reduce peak forces. Around the mid-40s mph range, these safety systems can usually keep the deceleration within a range that many properly restrained occupants can survive. This is why about 43 mph (roughly 70 kph) is cited as the practical upper limit for crash survivability in a typical head-on car-to-car impact. At speeds higher than this, the energy involved increases quickly (crash energy rises with the square of speed), and peak forces exceed what most occupants and protection systems can safely handle, greatly increasing the risk of fatal or severe injuries. So, staying near or below this threshold is where survivability remains more favorable, whereas speeds around and above it correspond to markedly increased danger.

9. What does 'A' stand for on the KABCO Scale for Injury Severity?

A. Fatal injury

B. Non-incapacitating evident injury

C. Incapacitating injury

D. Possible injury

The main idea is how the KABCO scale classifies crash injuries by severity. The category described as incapacitating injury is the level used for injuries that are severe enough to prevent the person from performing normal activities or to require hospitalization. It sits above injuries that are non-incapacitating (still able to walk or function) and below fatal injuries in terms of severity. It's also above the "possible injury" level, which covers uncertain or minor injuries. So, incapacitating injury is the meaning you're looking for. For context, fatal injuries describe death, non-incapacitating injuries are serious but allow some function, possible injuries are uncertain or minor, and no injury means the person wasn't injured.

10. What is the annual SPF calibration multiplier?

A. The ratio of predicted crashes to observed crashes from the SPF

B. The difference between observed and predicted crashes

C. The average of observed crashes

D. The ratio of observed crashes to the predicted crashes from the SPF

Calibration is about making the SPF match reality for a given year by comparing what was observed with what the SPF predicted. The annual SPF calibration multiplier is defined as the ratio of observed crashes to predicted crashes from the SPF for that year. For example, if the SPF predicts 200 crashes and 240 are observed, the multiplier is 1.2, meaning you scale the SPF outputs by 1.2 to align with actual data. This value is calculated each year to reflect changing conditions and ensure the model's predictions stay accurate.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://roadsafetyprolvl2.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!