

RelativityOne Analytics Specialist Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Questions

- 1. Which document type is commonly used to communicate with all stakeholders involved in a legal matter?**
 - A. Affidavit.**
 - B. Brief.**
 - C. Document request.**
 - D. Motion.**
- 2. Is the Cluster browser still in use?**
 - A. Yes.**
 - B. No.**
 - C. It was discontinued.**
 - D. It is under maintenance.**
- 3. How should a document containing a party's home address be coded if it is the only responsive document in its family?**
 - A. Code the document Responsive because it contains relevant information.**
 - B. Code the document Not Responsive because it is part of a non-responsive family.**
 - C. Code the document Not Responsive because the AI classifier ignores numbers.**
 - D. Code the document Responsive and Needs Further Review.**
- 4. How often should the validation process be initiated in a review project?**
 - A. Only at the end of the project**
 - B. Whenever the validation queue has sufficient documents**
 - C. Daily to keep up with document flow**
 - D. Once a month regardless of document status**
- 5. What is the effect of neutral documents in the validation queue on Recall?**
 - A. Increases Recall**
 - B. Lowers Recall**
 - C. It has no effect on Recall**
 - D. Depends on the document's relevance**

- 6. What does the Coding Progress chart in Review Center indicate?**
- A. The number of documents reviewed**
 - B. The relevancy of documents**
 - C. The speed of review**
 - D. The time taken for each review**
- 7. What is the primary purpose of coding in document review?**
- A. To categorize documents for better workflow**
 - B. To train new reviewers on best practices**
 - C. To prevent document duplication in submission**
 - D. To reduce the overall document count**
- 8. What happens when vectors in the concept space are closer together?**
- A. They share a correlation or conceptual relationship**
 - B. They are deemed irrelevant**
 - C. They cause an error**
 - D. It indicates the search has failed**
- 9. Which of the following can be submitted for clustering?**
- A. Documents returned in a saved search**
 - B. Documents located in a specific folder**
 - C. All documents in the workspace**
 - D. Documents loaded into custom objects**
- 10. How does validation treat documents that are being reviewed when the validation starts, but their coding has not been saved yet?**
- A. As coded documents**
 - B. As unreviewed documents**
 - C. As irrelevant documents**
 - D. As skipped documents**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. C
2. B
3. C
4. B
5. B
6. A
7. A
8. A
9. A
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Which document type is commonly used to communicate with all stakeholders involved in a legal matter?

A. Affidavit.

B. Brief.

C. Document request.

D. Motion.

The correct answer is document request because this type of document serves to formally ask for evidence, documents, or other information from various stakeholders involved in a legal matter. A document request is an essential part of the discovery process in litigation and is designed to ensure that all parties have access to the information they need to build their case and respond appropriately. This communication fosters transparency and provides a structured way for all stakeholders—including clients, attorneys, and opposing parties—to understand what is being requested and to comply with those requests efficiently. In contrast, the other options are more specialized types of documents that may not effectively communicate with all stakeholders. An affidavit is a sworn statement of fact, usually submitted to support a particular motion or claim. A brief is a legal document presented to a court that outlines the arguments, facts, and legal reasons for a particular position, typically directed at the judge and not all stakeholders. A motion is a formal request made to the court for a specific ruling or order, often focused on a particular legal issue, rather than facilitating communication among all parties in a legal matter. Thus, while all these documents serve important roles within the legal process, the document request stands out as the primary means of communication with all those involved in litigation.

2. Is the Cluster browser still in use?

A. Yes.

B. No.

C. It was discontinued.

D. It is under maintenance.

The accurate response to the question is that the Cluster browser is not in use. This indicates that the functionality associated with the Cluster browser has been phased out or is no longer available for end users. Usually, features like the Cluster browser evolve over time, and their discontinuation may result from the implementation of newer, more effective tools and technologies within the system. This transition reflects intentional updates to enhance user experience and system performance, aligning with modern workflows in data management and analytics. Therefore, noting that the Cluster browser is not in use suggests an emphasis on using more current and streamlined alternatives, which are designed to better serve the needs of users within the framework of RelativityOne and its analytics capabilities. Understanding this context allows you to grasp the importance of staying informed about the tools available in your analytics toolkit and adapting to changes in technology.

3. How should a document containing a party's home address be coded if it is the only responsive document in its family?

A. Code the document Responsive because it contains relevant information.

B. Code the document Not Responsive because it is part of a non-responsive family.

C. Code the document Not Responsive because the AI classifier ignores numbers.

D. Code the document Responsive and Needs Further Review.

The notion of properly coding a document in the context of eDiscovery hinges on understanding the relevance of the information contained within the document itself and the family to which it belongs. The correct choice captures an important principle regarding how certain AI classification systems operate. If the AI classifier in use has a limitation where it ignores numerical values, such as those in an address, it could fail to identify the document as responsive despite the presence of relevant information. In practical terms, this means the document would not be flagged for review based on the content it holds, which includes the home address of a party—often critical information in many legal contexts. This emphasizes the significance of understanding the limitations of the tools used in data classification, where the nature of the information (like numbers in an address) could lead to potentially overlooking responsive documents based on the coding parameters established by the AI system. Consequently, one should be cautious with reliance on automated classification alone and consider manual review processes when critical data formats are involved to ensure that relevant information is not missed.

4. How often should the validation process be initiated in a review project?

A. Only at the end of the project

B. Whenever the validation queue has sufficient documents

C. Daily to keep up with document flow

D. Once a month regardless of document status

Initiating the validation process whenever the validation queue has sufficient documents is considered the best practice in a review project. This approach ensures that the validation process is ongoing and responsive to the actual workload, allowing for timely corrections and adjustments as needed rather than postponing validation until a project is completed. Such continuous validation helps maintain the quality of the review work, ensures higher accuracy, and mitigates the risk of accumulating errors over time. In contrast to validating only at the end of the project, which could lead to discovering significant issues too late in the process, or doing so on a rigid schedule like once a month, which may not align with the document flow, this method allows for more flexibility and adaptability. Similarly, validating daily may not be necessary if there aren't enough documents to warrant that frequency, thereby making option B the most effective and efficient strategy.

5. What is the effect of neutral documents in the validation queue on Recall?

A. Increases Recall

B. Lowers Recall

C. It has no effect on Recall

D. Depends on the document's relevance

The effect of neutral documents in the validation queue on Recall is best understood by looking at the definition of Recall in the context of information retrieval, particularly in legal document review. Recall measures the system's ability to identify all relevant documents within a dataset. When neutral documents—those that are neither relevant nor irrelevant—are included in the validation queue, they can interfere with the assessment of relevant documents. Since Recall is defined as the ratio of relevant documents retrieved to the total number of relevant documents available, the introduction of neutral documents to the evaluation process tends to dilute the effectiveness of the system in identifying true positives. As a result, the presence of these neutral documents can lead to a decrease in the overall Recall score because they may prevent actual relevant documents from being recognized or prioritized appropriately during the review process. Consequently, the presence of neutral documents in the validation queue lowers Recall, as they do not contribute to the identification of relevant information and instead only add to the complexity and potential confusion surrounding which documents should truly be considered significant. This understanding highlights the importance of accurately categorizing documents as either relevant or irrelevant to maintain optimal Recall performance in a document review context.

6. What does the Coding Progress chart in Review Center indicate?

A. The number of documents reviewed

B. The relevancy of documents

C. The speed of review

D. The time taken for each review

The Coding Progress chart in Review Center primarily reflects the number of documents reviewed. This chart provides valuable insights into the overall progress of the document review process, showcasing how many documents have been assessed by reviewers. Tracking this metric is crucial for project management and helps teams monitor the pace at which the review is being conducted. It allows users to visualize progress against the total number of documents, thereby facilitating better planning and resource allocation throughout the review cycle. While other metrics, such as relevancy, speed, and time taken for each review, offer useful information about the quality and efficiency of the review process, the Coding Progress chart specifically centers on the quantity of documents that have undergone review. Therefore, the primary focus of this chart is on quantifying the number of reviewed documents, making it an essential tool for project oversight.

7. What is the primary purpose of coding in document review?

- A. To categorize documents for better workflow**
- B. To train new reviewers on best practices**
- C. To prevent document duplication in submission**
- D. To reduce the overall document count**

The primary purpose of coding in document review is to categorize documents for better workflow. Coding allows reviewers to assign specific tags or labels to documents based on various criteria such as relevance, privilege, or type. This categorization is essential because it streamlines the review process, enabling teams to organize and manage large volumes of documents more efficiently. By establishing clear categories, documents can be quickly sorted and retrieved, which enhances the overall review efficiency and helps in the identification of key documents relevant to a case. While other options touch on aspects of document management—such as training new reviewers, preventing duplication, or reducing document counts—these are secondary to the main objective of coding. The ability to categorize documents effectively is foundational to successful document review processes, making it a crucial function in e-discovery and legal proceedings.

8. What happens when vectors in the concept space are closer together?

- A. They share a correlation or conceptual relationship**
- B. They are deemed irrelevant**
- C. They cause an error**
- D. It indicates the search has failed**

When vectors in the concept space are closer together, it indicates that they share a correlation or conceptual relationship. In the context of data analysis and machine learning, particularly when discussing vector representations of concepts or data points, proximity in the vector space suggests that the items represented by these vectors are similar in some way. This could mean they relate to the same theme, category, or topic, which is essential for tasks such as clustering, classification, or information retrieval. For example, in natural language processing, words that have similar meanings would be located close to each other in the vector space. This spatial relationship allows algorithms to exploit these correlations to improve the accuracy and relevance of queries or classifications. Understanding this concept is crucial for effectively leveraging analytics in environments such as RelativityOne, where meaningful insights depend on the relationships between data points.

9. Which of the following can be submitted for clustering?

- A. Documents returned in a saved search**
- B. Documents located in a specific folder**
- C. All documents in the workspace**
- D. Documents loaded into custom objects**

The correct choice involves documents returned in a saved search because this corpus of documents can be dynamically defined based on specific criteria determined by the user. Utilizing saved searches allows users to filter and select the exact documents they want to analyze for clustering. This functionality is particularly beneficial in large datasets, as it enables targeted clustering on relevant data subsets that align with the user's analytical goals. Clustering can significantly enhance the understanding of relationships and patterns within those specific documents, making it easier to uncover insights in a more manageable context. This flexibility is what makes documents returned in a saved search ideal for this purpose, as opposed to static selections like those from a specific folder or all documents in a workspace. While documents located in a specific folder and all documents in a workspace can also be clustered, they are often not as advantageous as targeted selections through a saved search in terms of relevance and data management. Additionally, documents loaded into custom objects are typically not formatted or stored in a way that allows for effective clustering within standard analytics tools.

10. How does validation treat documents that are being reviewed when the validation starts, but their coding has not been saved yet?

- A. As coded documents**
- B. As unreviewed documents**
- C. As irrelevant documents**
- D. As skipped documents**

During the validation process, documents that are in review but for which coding has not yet been saved are treated as unreviewed documents. This is because the validation system recognizes that while a reviewer may be working on a document, any changes or coding that are made during that review session will only be acknowledged once they have been saved. Until the coding is saved, the system cannot classify the documents as coded; thus, they remain in an unreviewed state. This distinction is essential to ensure that the validation process accurately reflects the true status of the documents, allowing for proper tracking and management of the review workflow. The validation outcome relies on saved changes to define the documents' status adequately, maintaining the integrity and accuracy of data management during the review process.