

Multiple Mini-Interview (MMI) Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	9
Explanations	11
Next Steps	17

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. A pregnant patient with intellectual disability requests no abortion, while her mother and husband urge abortion. What is the physician's ethical course of action?**
 - A. Proceed with abortion due to family wishes.**
 - B. Respect the patient's autonomous decision and perform a formal capacity assessment if needed.**
 - C. Refer to a legal guardian to decide.**
 - D. Let the mother's decision be final because of the patient's disability.**

- 2. What is a primary reason vaccines are considered essential in public health?**
 - A. They are controversial**
 - B. They only protect the vaccinated individual**
 - C. They provide herd immunity and prevent outbreaks**
 - D. They are unnecessary due to natural immunity**

- 3. What is the most nuanced view on university professors using public funding to create for-profit ventures?**
 - A. It is entirely unethical.**
 - B. See both sides; there are valid arguments on both sides.**
 - C. It should be illegal.**
 - D. It may be acceptable if transparent and benefits society with safeguards.**

- 4. As a cashier, what is an appropriate immediate action when an apparently intoxicated elderly man knocks over items?**
 - A. Help by ensuring his safety and assisting if needed.**
 - B. Assume he's finished shopping and let him leave.**
 - C. Call the police immediately without checking on him.**
 - D. Ignore and continue with shop tasks.**

- 5. As a law firm director, how should you handle a case where an articling student has destroyed evidence?**
 - A. Report to authorities immediately.**
 - B. Ignore the incident.**
 - C. Acknowledge the accident and consider leniency.**
 - D. Be respectful, non-accusatory, and ensure it doesn't happen again.**

- 6. A patient you know in the waiting room has chest pain; you just finished a shift and are leaving. What is the appropriate response?**
- A. Stay and treat them yourself despite being late.**
 - B. Explain you cannot attend to them at this moment and leave the waiting room.**
 - C. Promise to see them after graduation and call them later.**
 - D. Be polite, acknowledge the request, and arrange care with the on-call staff to ensure appropriate coverage.**
- 7. You notice bruises on a neighbor child and want to address it. What is the best initial step?**
- A. Confront the parent immediately.**
 - B. Call child protective services right away.**
 - C. Can't just assume**
 - D. Ask him if he knows about the bruises but don't be confrontational.**
- 8. What does the term 'spot treatment' indicate in the context of health policy?**
- A. Addressing root causes and prevention**
 - B. Treating symptoms rather than addressing root causes**
 - C. Prioritizing comprehensive education**
 - D. Investing in long-term systemic reforms**
- 9. Dr. Blair recommends homeopathic medicines for mild, non-specific symptoms despite no scientific evidence. What ethical problems does this pose?**
- A. Same thing as placebo**
 - B. Doctor has responsibility to give all the facts. His opinion is his opinion and it's up to the patient to decide**
 - C. Put patient in a position to make an informed decision**
 - D. If no harm done, this is a matter of patient trust and integrity**

10. When evaluating job candidates for local familiarity, which criterion is most reliable?

- A. Having actual residency in the area.**
- B. Expressed enthusiasm for the area.**
- C. Number of interviews conducted.**
- D. Strong recommendations from others.**

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. D
4. A
5. D
6. D
7. C
8. B
9. C
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. A pregnant patient with intellectual disability requests no abortion, while her mother and husband urge abortion. What is the physician's ethical course of action?
 - A. Proceed with abortion due to family wishes.
 - B. Respect the patient's autonomous decision and perform a formal capacity assessment if needed.**
 - C. Refer to a legal guardian to decide.
 - D. Let the mother's decision be final because of the patient's disability.

Respecting patient autonomy and accurately assessing decision-making capacity are at the heart of this scenario. A patient with intellectual disability can still have the ability to decide about abortion if she understands the information, appreciates the consequences, can reason about options, and can communicate a clear choice. The physician's duty is to honor her decision if she demonstrates capacity, even when family members disagree. If there's any doubt about her capacity, the physician should conduct a formal capacity assessment. This involves checking four elements: understanding the medical situation and options, appreciating how the information applies to her own life, reasoning about the pros and cons, and consistently communicating a choice. The goal is to determine whether she can make an informed, voluntary decision without coercion. Presenting information in accessible language, using decision aids, and involving support persons as needed can help clarify capacity. If she is found to have capacity, her autonomous decision to continue the pregnancy should be respected, and the family's wishes should not override hers. If she is determined not to have capacity, then a lawful surrogate decision-maker or guardian would step in to decide, ideally reflecting the patient's best interests and previously expressed wishes, while continuing to involve her to the extent possible.

2. What is a primary reason vaccines are considered essential in public health?
 - A. They are controversial
 - B. They only protect the vaccinated individual
 - C. They provide herd immunity and prevent outbreaks**
 - D. They are unnecessary due to natural immunity

Vaccines are valuable in public health because they create herd immunity, which reduces transmission and prevents outbreaks across the community. When a large portion of people are immune, the pathogen has fewer opportunities to spread, protecting those who can't be vaccinated or don't respond well to vaccines. This population-level protection lowers illness, hospitalizations, and deaths, and helps keep health systems from being overwhelmed. While vaccines do protect individuals who receive them, their greatest public-health impact is the indirect protection of the whole community through reduced transmission. The other options don't capture this broader benefit: controversy isn't the essential reason, vaccines do more than protect the vaccinated, and relying on natural immunity carries higher risk and does not reliably prevent outbreaks.

3. What is the most nuanced view on university professors using public funding to create for-profit ventures?

- A. It is entirely unethical.**
- B. See both sides; there are valid arguments on both sides.**
- C. It should be illegal.**
- D. It may be acceptable if transparent and benefits society with safeguards.**

At its heart, this question tests how to balance public accountability with entrepreneurial innovation in academia. The most nuanced view is that university professors using public funding to create for-profit ventures can be acceptable, but only when transparency and safeguards are in place and there is a clear societal benefit. Public funds carry an obligation to the public good, so disclosures of financial interests and potential conflicts must be rigorous, and research independence should be protected from private influence. Clear governance and IP policies help prevent misappropriation and ensure that profit motives do not undermine core academic aims. The venture should be designed to provide real societal value, with mechanisms to reinvest proceeds into education and research and to protect public access to research results where appropriate. When these conditions hold, the collaboration between academia and entrepreneurial activity can accelerate beneficial innovations; without safeguards, it risks eroding trust and integrity. This nuanced view acknowledges potential value while demanding transparency and safeguards.

4. As a cashier, what is an appropriate immediate action when an apparently intoxicated elderly man knocks over items?

- A. Help by ensuring his safety and assisting if needed.**
- B. Assume he's finished shopping and let him leave.**
- C. Call the police immediately without checking on him.**
- D. Ignore and continue with shop tasks.**

When someone may be intoxicated, the primary goal is safety and support. Approach calmly and without judgment, introduce yourself, and check if they're okay. If he's unsteady or has fallen items, help him get to a safe position and clear the area of hazards to prevent further harm. Offer practical help—perhaps assisting him to stand, guiding him away from the crash site, or finding a supervisor or security if more support is needed. If there are signs of a medical issue, involve trained staff or emergency services; otherwise, assist with restacking and ensuring the aisle is safe. This combination of care and proactive safety shows responsible, customer-focused action in a delicate situation.

5. As a law firm director, how should you handle a case where an articling student has destroyed evidence?
- A. Report to authorities immediately.
 - B. Ignore the incident.
 - C. Acknowledge the accident and consider leniency.
 - D. Be respectful, non-accusatory, and ensure it doesn't happen again.**

The main idea here is handling a potential ethics or professional-misconduct issue in a constructive, responsible way that emphasizes learning, accountability, and prevention. The best approach is to address the incident with the articling student in a respectful, non-accusatory manner. This invites honesty about what happened, helps you understand the factors that led to the destruction of evidence, and signals that such behavior has consequences while focusing on preventing repetition. By being respectful and non-accusatory, you create a safe space for the student to explain, which is crucial for identifying gaps in training or procedures. From there, you can outline clear steps to prevent recurrence: reinforce the firm's evidence-preservation protocols, provide or update training, adjust supervision, and establish concrete actions the student should follow in future cases. Document the discussion and the agreed-upon corrective measures to maintain accountability and protect clients and the firm. While specific reporting requirements may apply under policy or law, prioritizing a constructive, preventive response first best supports ethical practice and professional development.

6. A patient you know in the waiting room has chest pain; you just finished a shift and are leaving. What is the appropriate response?
- A. Stay and treat them yourself despite being late.
 - B. Explain you cannot attend to them at this moment and leave the waiting room.
 - C. Promise to see them after graduation and call them later.
 - D. Be polite, acknowledge the request, and arrange care with the on-call staff to ensure appropriate coverage.**

When ending a shift, patient safety and proper handover are the priorities. A patient with chest pain may have a time-sensitive emergency, so the right move is to acknowledge the request and arrange for care with the on-call staff to ensure appropriate coverage. This protects the patient's welfare, keeps the care team accountable, and allows a seamless transition so someone on duty can assess and respond promptly. Staying to treat them yourself without coverage isn't appropriate once your shift ends, as you may be acting outside your authorized scope and leave your own responsibilities unfulfilled. Simply saying you can't attend and leaving the waiting room fails to ensure timely care. Promising to see them after graduation or calling later delays critical assessment.

7. You notice bruises on a neighbor child and want to address it. What is the best initial step?

- A. Confront the parent immediately.**
- B. Call child protective services right away.**
- C. Can't just assume**
- D. Ask him if he knows about the bruises but don't be confrontational.**

Not jumping to conclusions when you notice bruises on a neighbor's child is the key idea. Bruises can result from many things—accidents, medical issues, or harm; appearances alone don't prove abuse. The best initial move is to refrain from blaming or confronting anyone and instead focus on gathering information safely and involving the right professionals. That means observing details, documenting what you've seen, and seeking guidance from child welfare or local authorities to determine the appropriate next steps. This careful, nonjudgmental approach protects the child while ensuring you follow proper procedures. Confronting the parent right away can escalate risk or put the child in danger. Asking the parent directly in a casual way might not be appropriate and could tip off someone who is harming the child. Calling child protective services immediately can be necessary if there's clear, imminent danger, but the foundational step is not to assume and to involve professionals who can assess and act appropriately.

8. What does the term 'spot treatment' indicate in the context of health policy?

- A. Addressing root causes and prevention**
- B. Treating symptoms rather than addressing root causes**
- C. Prioritizing comprehensive education**
- D. Investing in long-term systemic reforms**

Spot treatment targets immediate relief by addressing symptoms rather than altering the underlying causes of a health issue. In health policy, this means quick fixes that relieve the present problem without changing the conditions that create it. That's why the best choice describes treating symptoms rather than addressing root causes. It doesn't reflect upstream prevention, comprehensive education, or long-term systemic reforms, which aim to prevent problems or transform the broader system. For example, distributing treatment to relieve an current illness is spot treatment, while changes to living conditions or social determinants would be upstream, preventive work.

9. Dr. Blair recommends homeopathic medicines for mild, non-specific symptoms despite no scientific evidence. What ethical problems does this pose?

- A. Same thing as placebo**
- B. Doctor has responsibility to give all the facts. His opinion is his opinion and it's up to the patient to decide**
- C. Put patient in a position to make an informed decision**
- D. If no harm done, this is a matter of patient trust and integrity**

Respecting patient autonomy through informed decision-making is essential in medical ethics. When a physician recommends something with no solid evidence, the ethical issue isn't simply about whether the treatment works; it's about ensuring the patient understands what is known, what isn't, and what alternatives exist so they can decide in line with their values. The best choice captures this duty: the doctor should put the patient in a position to make an informed decision by clearly explaining the lack of evidence for the remedy, discussing potential benefits or placebo effects, outlining alternatives, and checking the patient's understanding and preferences before proceeding. Even if no harm is anticipated, failing to provide full information can erode trust and undermine autonomy. So the core obligation is to enable informed choice by giving relevant information and supporting the patient's deliberation.

10. When evaluating job candidates for local familiarity, which criterion is most reliable?

- A. Having actual residency in the area.**
- B. Expressed enthusiasm for the area.**
- C. Number of interviews conducted.**
- D. Strong recommendations from others.**

Residency in the area is the most reliable indicator of local familiarity because it demonstrates actual, lived experience within the community. A candidate who resides locally is continuously exposed to local norms, services, transportation patterns, and everyday issues, giving them practical, firsthand knowledge that's hard to fake. Expressed enthusiasm for the area might be genuine, but it doesn't prove any real familiarity with local conditions or how things work day-to-day. The number of interviews a candidate has conducted is a process metric and says nothing about their knowledge of the local context. Strong recommendations can be helpful, but they often reflect a person's network or general reputation rather than specific local insight. Because residency provides verifiable, direct exposure to the local environment, it stands out as the strongest, most objective signal of local familiarity among these options.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://mmi.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE