

Missouri Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Questions

- 1. What is the minimum authorized sentence for a Class A felony?**
 - A. 5 years**
 - B. 10 years**
 - C. 15 years**
 - D. 20 years**
- 2. If \$100 worth of a schedule II drug is stolen, what is the perpetrator charged with?**
 - A. Misdemeanor**
 - B. Felony**
 - C. Misdemeanor v. Felony**
 - D. Infraction**
- 3. What does the term "cruel and unusual punishment" refer to?**
 - A. Punishment that is excessive and disproportionate**
 - B. Punishment without a trial**
 - C. Community service orders**
 - D. Probation violations**
- 4. What can lead to the suspension of a peace officer's license in Missouri?**
 - A. Being found unsuitable for police work**
 - B. Conviction of a felony or any disqualifying offenses**
 - C. Receiving a written reprimand from a supervisor**
 - D. Failure to attend mandated training**
- 5. What is a right guaranteed by the 6th Amendment?**
 - A. Protection against cruel and unusual punishment**
 - B. Right to due process**
 - C. Right to an attorney if formally charged**
 - D. Right to privacy in one's home**

- 6. What is not recommended for someone experiencing a heart attack?**
- A. Getting them to a doctor immediately**
 - B. Giving them non-prescription pain medication**
 - C. Calling for emergency services**
 - D. Keeping them calm and seated**
- 7. Does Miranda apply to both testimonial and physical evidence?**
- A. Yes, it applies to both equally**
 - B. No, it only applies to testimonial evidence**
 - C. Yes, but only in certain cases**
 - D. No, it only applies to physical evidence**
- 8. After a search warrant has been served, what is required regarding the items seized?**
- A. They must be disposed of immediately**
 - B. They need to be given back to the owner**
 - C. All items seized need to be listed on the return to the court**
 - D. They must be stored indefinitely**
- 9. What must be proven for a person to have a culpable mental state in a crime?**
- A. That they intended to commit the act**
 - B. That they were aware of their actions**
 - C. That they have a criminal record**
 - D. That they have an alibi**
- 10. If you respond to a second domestic abuse call at the same residence, when is an arrest mandatory?**
- A. When the wife requests it**
 - B. When both parties agree to separation**
 - C. When the primary aggressor is identified within hours of the first call**
 - D. When there are witnesses present**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. A
4. B
5. C
6. B
7. B
8. C
9. A
10. C

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is the minimum authorized sentence for a Class A felony?

- A. 5 years
- B. 10 years**
- C. 15 years
- D. 20 years

A Class A felony in Missouri is a serious crime, and the minimum authorized sentence reflects the severity of such offenses. Under Missouri law, the minimum prison term for a Class A felony is indeed 10 years. This classification is intended to reflect the gravity of the crime and to serve as a deterrent to would-be offenders. Class A felonies can include serious crimes such as murder and certain types of sexual offenses, which typically warrant a more substantial punishment due to their nature and impact on victims and society. Understanding this designation is crucial for law enforcement and legal professionals as they navigate the criminal justice system and enforce justice.

2. If \$100 worth of a schedule II drug is stolen, what is the perpetrator charged with?

- A. Misdemeanor
- B. Felony
- C. Misdemeanor v. Felony**
- D. Infraction

When considering the theft of a Schedule II drug, it is important to understand the legal classifications for such offenses. Schedule II drugs are categorized as having a high potential for abuse and may lead to severe psychological or physical dependence. Because of their serious nature, the theft of these substances is treated far more severely than lesser drug classifications. The charge associated with the theft of a Schedule II drug is typically a felony due to the inherent dangers and legal implications of trafficking or possessing these substances. In this context, the value of the drug at \$100 does not diminish the seriousness of the offense, as the classification is largely based on the type of substance involved rather than its monetary value. Therefore, the perpetrator would face felony charges for the theft of the Schedule II drug. This reflects the legal recognition that the illicit acquisition or distribution of drugs classified as Schedule II poses significant risks to public health and safety. A nuanced situation might arise in cases where other factors are considered, such as prior offenses or intent to distribute, but generally, theft of such controlled substances results in a felony charge.

3. What does the term "cruel and unusual punishment" refer to?

- A. Punishment that is excessive and disproportionate**
- B. Punishment without a trial**
- C. Community service orders**
- D. Probation violations**

The term "cruel and unusual punishment" refers to punishment that is deemed excessive or disproportionate to the crime committed. This phrase originates from the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution, which prohibits such forms of punishment. The principle behind this amendment is to ensure that the justice system administers punishments that are fair and humane, rather than ones that are excessively harsh or out of step with contemporary societal standards of justice. Punishments that could be classified as cruel and unusual may include those that inflict extreme physical pain, are inhumane (such as torture), or are significantly more severe than what is typical for the offense. This interpretation is grounded in legal precedent, which has evolved through various court rulings that examine the nature of punishments relative to the gravity of the crime and societal norms. The other options do not align with the commonly understood legal definition of cruel and unusual punishment. Punishment without a trial is more accurately described as a violation of due process rather than an issue of severity. Community service orders and probation violations pertain to alternative sentencing or supervision methods and do not relate to the concept of cruelty or unusualness in punishment. Thus, the focus on disproportionate and excessive punishment aligns directly with the essence of the term

4. What can lead to the suspension of a peace officer's license in Missouri?

- A. Being found unsuitable for police work**
- B. Conviction of a felony or any disqualifying offenses**
- C. Receiving a written reprimand from a supervisor**
- D. Failure to attend mandated training**

The correct answer is grounded in the legal standards established for peace officers in Missouri, specifically regarding licensure and conduct. A conviction of a felony or any disqualifying offenses presents a significant breach of the ethical and legal standards that peace officers must uphold. Such convictions directly challenge a peace officer's capability to perform their duties lawfully and effectively, as they can undermine public trust and safety. In Missouri, peace officers are held to stringent moral and ethical standards due to their role in enforcing laws and protecting the community. A felony conviction, for instance, can indicate a serious lapse in judgment or a disregard for the law, which is incompatible with the responsibilities of a peace officer. This is why such convictions are classified as disqualifying offenses that can lead to the suspension or revocation of a peace officer's license. The other options, while they may involve issues regarding a peace officer's performance or behavior, do not typically rise to the level of legal disqualification in the same way that a felony conviction does. For example, being found unsuitable for police work could indicate an underlying problem, but it may not inherently involve a criminal conviction. Receiving a reprimand from a supervisor is generally a disciplinary action rather than a legal disqualification. Lastly, failure to

5. What is a right guaranteed by the 6th Amendment?

- A. Protection against cruel and unusual punishment**
- B. Right to due process**
- C. Right to an attorney if formally charged**
- D. Right to privacy in one's home**

The 6th Amendment to the United States Constitution is specifically designed to ensure that individuals have certain rights in criminal prosecutions. One of the key rights it guarantees is the right to have the assistance of counsel for their defense. This means that if someone is formally charged with a crime, they have the right to be represented by an attorney. This provision helps ensure that defendants can adequately defend themselves in the legal system, which is a fundamental component of a fair trial. The importance of this right lies in the potential complexities of legal proceedings and the need for legal expertise to navigate them effectively. Having an attorney ensures that the defendant's interests are adequately protected, and that their rights are upheld throughout the judicial process. This right plays a crucial role in maintaining the integrity of the legal system and safeguarding democratic principles. The other options refer to rights found in different amendments or legal principles. The protection against cruel and unusual punishment is guaranteed by the 8th Amendment, the right to due process is primarily addressed in the 5th and 14th Amendments, and the right to privacy, particularly in one's home, is not explicitly outlined in a single amendment but has been interpreted through various Supreme Court rulings.

6. What is not recommended for someone experiencing a heart attack?

- A. Getting them to a doctor immediately**
- B. Giving them non-prescription pain medication**
- C. Calling for emergency services**
- D. Keeping them calm and seated**

Giving non-prescription pain medication to someone experiencing a heart attack is not recommended because it can mask symptoms and delay critical treatment. Individuals having a heart attack may need specific medical interventions that non-prescription medications cannot provide. Additionally, certain over-the-counter medications may have side effects or interactions that could worsen the condition or complicate emergency medical care. In contrast, seeking medical attention immediately, whether by getting the person to a doctor or calling for emergency services, is crucial. These actions ensure that the individual receives appropriate and timely medical care. Keeping the person calm and seated is also important, as it helps to reduce the strain on their heart during an already stressful and potentially dangerous situation. Overall, the focus should be on ensuring professional medical assistance is provided as quickly as possible rather than attempting to self-medicate.

7. Does Miranda apply to both testimonial and physical evidence?

- A. Yes, it applies to both equally**
- B. No, it only applies to testimonial evidence**
- C. Yes, but only in certain cases**
- D. No, it only applies to physical evidence**

Miranda rights, stemming from the Supreme Court's decision in *Miranda v. Arizona*, are specifically designed to protect an individual's Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination. In this context, the application of Miranda is limited primarily to testimonial evidence, which includes verbal or written statements made by an individual in response to police interrogation. When a person is in custody and subject to interrogation, any confession or statement they provide cannot be used in court unless they have been informed of their right to remain silent and the right to an attorney. This highlights the focus of Miranda on ensuring that an individual does not involuntarily provide self-incriminating testimony without being made aware of their rights. Physical evidence, such as objects or substances obtained from a person or a scene, does not fall within the scope of Miranda protections. The gathering of physical evidence is generally governed by Fourth Amendment protections against unreasonable searches and seizures rather than by the Miranda ruling. Therefore, while both testimonial and physical evidence can be important in criminal investigations, Miranda specifically applies to situations involving testimonial evidence provided while in custody.

8. After a search warrant has been served, what is required regarding the items seized?

- A. They must be disposed of immediately**
- B. They need to be given back to the owner**
- C. All items seized need to be listed on the return to the court**
- D. They must be stored indefinitely**

When a search warrant is executed, it is crucial for law enforcement to maintain a clear and accurate record of all items seized during the operation. This is why it is required that all items seized need to be listed on the return to the court. This documentation serves several important purposes: it ensures accountability for the materials taken, provides transparency in the process, and allows the court to have a detailed overview of what was collected. The listing of seized items on the return also plays a vital role in legal proceedings. It can be used to challenge the legality of the search and seizure if necessary, and it creates an official record that can be referenced in future court hearings or proceedings related to the investigation. This thorough documentation is essential in upholding the rights of individuals, preventing potential abuses of power, and ensuring the integrity of the judicial process. Other options, while they may seem plausible, do not align with the legal requirements following the execution of a search warrant. Immediate disposal, returning items to the owner, or storing items indefinitely does not reflect the proper legal protocol that is necessitated by ensuring a lawful and just process post-search.

9. What must be proven for a person to have a culpable mental state in a crime?

- A. That they intended to commit the act**
- B. That they were aware of their actions**
- C. That they have a criminal record**
- D. That they have an alibi**

To establish a culpable mental state in a crime, it is essential to demonstrate that the individual intended to commit the act. This concept is a core aspect of criminal liability, as many crimes require not only the occurrence of a prohibited act but also the presence of a specific mental state at the time of the act. The notion of intent signifies that the person had a conscious objective or desire to engage in the conduct that constituted the crime. Intent is relevant because it distinguishes between actions taken accidentally or without thought and those taken purposefully with willful disregard for the law. Establishing intent can influence not just the determination of guilt but also the severity of the punishment. In contrast, simply being aware of actions is not enough to establish a culpable mental state unless that awareness aligns with specific intent to commit the offense. While having a criminal record or presenting an alibi may be relevant in various contexts within criminal justice, they do not inherently contribute to proving the necessary mental state for committing a crime.

10. If you respond to a second domestic abuse call at the same residence, when is an arrest mandatory?

- A. When the wife requests it**
- B. When both parties agree to separation**
- C. When the primary aggressor is identified within hours of the first call**
- D. When there are witnesses present**

The requirement for a mandatory arrest in response to a second domestic abuse call at the same residence is based on the identification of a primary aggressor. When law enforcement responds to multiple calls regarding domestic violence at the same location, they are trained to look for indicators of ongoing abusive behavior. If, during this response, a primary aggressor can be clearly identified—meaning there is sufficient evidence or an established pattern of abusive behavior—it compels an arrest to ensure the safety of all parties involved. This approach aligns with the policies aimed at reducing recidivism in domestic violence situations, acknowledging that simply separating parties on the scene may not prevent further violence. By making a mandatory arrest when the primary aggressor is identified, law enforcement takes a proactive step to protect victims and hold offenders accountable, emphasizing the systematic nature of domestic violence and the need for intervention.