

Midlands Rules Of Evidence Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 9

Explanations 11

Next Steps 17

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. Under Rule 703, may an expert base an opinion on facts or data that are not admissible themselves?**
 - A. An expert may base an opinion only on admissible facts or data.**
 - B. An expert may base an opinion on facts or data, even if inadmissible, if reasonably relied upon by experts in the field; and those inadmissible facts may be disclosed to help the jury if probative value outweighs prejudicial effect.**
 - C. An expert may not disclose inadmissible data under any circumstances.**
 - D. An expert may rely on inadmissible facts only if the court orders such disclosure.**

- 2. Under Rule 410, Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements, which rule governs the admissibility of statements made during plea negotiations?**
 - A. Rule 402**
 - B. Rule 410**
 - C. Rule 501**
 - D. Rule 404**

- 3. The excited utterance exception applies to a statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of the excitement that it caused. Which option names this exception?**
 - A. Recorded Recollection**
 - B. Excited Utterance**
 - C. Public Records**
 - D. Absence of a Record of Regularly Conducted Activity**

- 4. Absence of a Record of Regularly Conducted Activity is evidence that a matter did not occur or exist if which condition is met?**
 - A. A record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind, and neither the possible source of information nor other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.**
 - B. The matter is mentioned in a public record.**
 - C. The declarant testifies in court.**
 - D. The event occurred after the record keeping.**

- 5. Rule 1101(b) applies to which types of cases?**
- A. Civil cases and criminal cases**
 - B. Only civil cases**
 - C. Only criminal cases**
 - D. Administrative proceedings**
- 6. Under Rule 801(d)(2), which statement best describes an opposing party's statement admissible as not hearsay?**
- A. It was made by the party's agent on a matter outside the scope of the relationship.**
 - B. It was made by the party's coconspirator during and in furtherance of the conspiracy.**
 - C. It was offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted.**
 - D. It was made by a witness while testifying.**
- 7. Under these rules, a photograph means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.**
- A. Rule 1001**
 - B. Rule 1002**
 - C. Rule 1003**
 - D. Rule 902**
- 8. Nonexpert handwriting opinion is an authentication example under which rule?**
- A. Rule 901. (b)(2)**
 - B. Rule 901. (b)(1)**
 - C. Rule 901. (b)(3)**
 - D. Rule 805**
- 9. Under Rule 614, which statement about constructive exclusion is correct?**
- A. A party who is a natural person may not be constructively excluded**
 - B. An officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person, after designation as representative, may be constructively excluded**
 - C. A person omitted from the list may be constructively excluded**
 - D. A person who is a natural person may be constructively excluded**

- 10. Under Rule 608(a), on cross-examination, specific instances of a witness's conduct may be inquired into if they are probative of the truthfulness of which persons?**
- A. The witness**
 - B. The witness or another witness whose character the witness testified about**
 - C. The court**
 - D. The jury**

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. B
3. B
4. A
5. B
6. B
7. A
8. A
9. B
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Under Rule 703, may an expert base an opinion on facts or data that are not admissible themselves?
 - A. An expert may base an opinion only on admissible facts or data.
 - B. An expert may base an opinion on facts or data, even if inadmissible, if reasonably relied upon by experts in the field; and those inadmissible facts may be disclosed to help the jury if probative value outweighs prejudicial effect.**
 - C. An expert may not disclose inadmissible data under any circumstances.
 - D. An expert may rely on inadmissible facts only if the court orders such disclosure.

Under Rule 703, an expert may base an opinion on facts or data that are not admissible themselves, as long as those facts or data are of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the field. The rule recognizes that specialists often rely on sources or data that aren't admitted as evidence, but which are essential to forming a reasoned opinion. Importantly, the inadmissible data may be disclosed to the jury to aid understanding if the value of that information in proving the opinion outweighs any prejudicial effect. This balances the need for expert reasoning with the trial's evidentiary safeguards. So the best choice reflects that admissible reliance is not required, but the data must be the kind experts reasonably rely on, and disclosure to the jury is allowed only if its probative value outweighs potential prejudice. The alternatives either overstate the restriction, prohibit disclosure, or make disclosure depend solely on a court order, which isn't how Rule 703 operates.

2. Under Rule 410, Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements, which rule governs the admissibility of statements made during plea negotiations?
 - A. Rule 402
 - B. Rule 410**
 - C. Rule 501
 - D. Rule 404

Statements made during plea negotiations are protected by a rule that specifically addresses pleas, plea discussions, and related statements. This rule generally bars admitting those negotiations in a criminal case to prove the validity of a guilty plea or the defendant's guilt, encouraging open and frank discussions during bargaining. The other listed rules cover different evidentiary areas—general admissibility, privileges, and character evidence—and do not govern plea negotiations, so they don't address the question at hand. There are narrow exceptions within the plea-negotiations rule, but the core purpose is to keep plea discussions from being used against the defendant in court.

3. The excited utterance exception applies to a statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of the excitement that it caused. Which option names this exception?

A. Recorded Recollection

B. Excited Utterance

C. Public Records

D. Absence of a Record of Regularly Conducted Activity

The excited utterance is a hearsay exception for statements about a startling event or condition made while the declarant is still under the stress caused by that event. The key idea is that the emotional excitement at the moment tends to prevent thoughtful fabrication, making the statement more trustworthy even though it's not sworn testimony. For this to apply, the statement must relate to the startling event and be made while the declarant remains under the influence of the excitement it caused. In this question, the term that names this exception is excited utterance. Other options refer to different rules—recorded recollection involves refreshing memory with a written record; public records involve official government documents; absence of a record of regularly conducted activity concerns proving nonexistence of a record—so they don't fit the scenario.

4. Absence of a Record of Regularly Conducted Activity is evidence that a matter did not occur or exist if which condition is met?

A. A record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind, and neither the possible source of information nor other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.

B. The matter is mentioned in a public record.

C. The declarant testifies in court.

D. The event occurred after the record keeping.

The rule allows you to treat the absence of a record as proof that a matter did not occur or exist when the thing being alleged is one that would normally be recorded in the regular course of business. The key requirement is that a record was regularly kept for that kind of matter, and there is no indication from the information source or surrounding circumstances that the record-keeping is untrustworthy. If those conditions are met, the missing entry can reasonably suggest that the event did not happen or the matter did not exist. The other options don't fit because they don't address the necessity of a regularly kept record or the trustworthiness of the source. Merely referring to a public record, a declarant testifying, or the timing of the event relative to record-keeping does not establish the admissible basis for an absence of a record under this rule.

5. Rule 1101(b) applies to which types of cases?

- A. Civil cases and criminal cases
- B. Only civil cases**
- C. Only criminal cases
- D. Administrative proceedings

Rule 1101(b) defines the scope of the Midlands Rules of Evidence by specifying which types of proceedings the rules govern. It shows that these evidentiary rules are intended to apply in civil cases, while criminal cases (and administrative proceedings) fall outside this particular provision's scope. In civil litigation, you'll see the standard rules about what evidence is admissible, how it must be presented, and how privileges are handled operating under these rules. In contrast, criminal cases are guided by different considerations—often with greater constitutional protections and possibly different evidentiary frameworks—so 1101(b) is not addressing them in the same way. So the best answer is that Rule 1101(b) applies to civil cases only.

6. Under Rule 801(d)(2), which statement best describes an opposing party's statement admissible as not hearsay?

- A. It was made by the party's agent on a matter outside the scope of the relationship.
- B. It was made by the party's coconspirator during and in furtherance of the conspiracy.**
- C. It was offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted.
- D. It was made by a witness while testifying.

The key idea is that certain out-of-court statements are treated as not hearsay because they are considered as if the party themselves had said them. Under Rule 801(d)(2), a statement made by a coconspirator during and in furtherance of a conspiracy falls into this category. When the conspirator spoke in furtherance of the scheme, the statement is admissible against the party opponent because it reflects the party's own position or involvement in the conspiracy, and the jury can treat it as if the party had spoken the words themselves. For this to apply, there must be evidence that a conspiracy existed and that the declarant was a member who spoke while furthering that conspiracy. The other options don't fit: a statement by the party's agent on a matter outside the scope of the relationship isn't covered by this rule; a witness testifying isn't a party-opponent or coconspirator statement; and whether the evidence is offered to prove the truth doesn't itself establish the not-hearsay basis.

7. Under these rules, a photograph means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.

A. Rule 1001

B. Rule 1002

C. Rule 1003

D. Rule 902

The main idea here is where the rules define what a photograph is. The definition that a photograph means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form is found in Rule 1001, which sets out the definitions for writings, recordings, and photographs used in the evidence rules. This rule explains how a photograph is treated for purposes like authentication and admissibility, regardless of the form it takes. The other choices cover different topics: Rule 902 deals with self-authenticating documents, Rule 1002 addresses the original vs. duplicates concept, and Rule 1003 covers duplicates. So Rule 1001 is the rule that provides the definition in question.

8. Nonexpert handwriting opinion is an authentication example under which rule?

A. Rule 901. (b)(2)

B. Rule 901. (b)(1)

C. Rule 901. (b)(3)

D. Rule 805

Authentication of handwriting can be established when a witness who is familiar with the handwriting testifies that the writing is genuine. A nonexpert opinion falls under Rule 901(b)(2) because it allows a lay witness, based on their familiarity with the handwriting, to say the handwriting is authentic. The witness doesn't need to be a handwriting expert for this route. If the scenario involved an expert who compared samples and testified they came from the same person, that would be the Rule 901(b)(3) path. Rule 805 is not about authentication; it deals with hearsay within hearsay, so it isn't the basis here.

- 9. Under Rule 614, which statement about constructive exclusion is correct?**
- A. A party who is a natural person may not be constructively excluded**
 - B. An officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person, after designation as representative, may be constructively excluded**
 - C. A person omitted from the list may be constructively excluded**
 - D. A person who is a natural person may be constructively excluded**

The idea being tested is that Rule 614 allows the court to exclude witnesses from hearing other witnesses' testimony, and it recognizes a special situation for corporate parties. When a party is not a natural person (for example, a corporation) and it designates an officer or employee as its representative to testify, that designated representative may be constructively excluded. In other words, the court can keep that representative out of the room so they don't hear other witnesses' testimony and thereby avoid influencing or tainting their own testimony. This is the best answer because it reflects the specific provision that addresses a corporate party's designated representative and the possibility of constructive exclusion. The other statements misstate who can be constructively excluded or extend the concept beyond its appropriate scope (for natural persons, or someone not properly designated as a representative, or simply omitting someone from a list).

- 10. Under Rule 608(a), on cross-examination, specific instances of a witness's conduct may be inquired into if they are probative of the truthfulness of which persons?**
- A. The witness**
 - B. The witness or another witness whose character the witness testified about**
 - C. The court**
 - D. The jury**

The key idea is that cross-examination may probe a witness's truthfulness by asking about specific instances of conduct, but only for the witness themselves or for another witness whose character for truthfulness has been testified to by the witness on the stand. This limits questioning to people whose credibility has already been brought into the case by the examining witness. That's why the best answer is that the questioned acts may concern the witness or another witness whose character for truthfulness the witness has testified about. It aligns with Rule 608(a)'s allowance for probing truthfulness through specific conduct, on cross-exam, for those particular individuals. The court and the jury aren't witnesses whose character for truthfulness is being examined, so they aren't within this scope.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://midlandsrulesofevidence.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE