

MEDTECH LAWS AND ETHICS PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



Prepare with structure. Pass with confidence



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What is a potential ethical issue associated with genetic testing technologies?**
 - A. Increased healthcare costs for patients
 - B. Disruptions in healthcare provider roles
 - C. Privacy concerns and discrimination issues
 - D. Inaccuracies in test result reporting
- 2. How many years must a Board of Medical Technology member be in practice prior to appointment?**
 - A. 5 years
 - B. 10 years
 - C. 15 years
 - D. 20 years
- 3. Under which section are the functions and duties of the Board defined?**
 - A. Section 8
 - B. Section 9
 - C. Section 11
 - D. Section 14
- 4. RA 9165 is known as which of the following?**
 - A. The Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002
 - B. The Newborn Screening Act of 2004
 - C. The Dangerous Drug Act of 1972
 - D. The Continuing Professional Development Act
- 5. Why is stakeholder engagement crucial in MedTech development?**
 - A. It lowers costs associated with development
 - B. It guarantees faster regulatory approval
 - C. It ensures diverse perspectives and better meets patient needs
 - D. It focuses solely on market trends

- 6. What do standard operating procedures (SOPs) ensure in the medical technology field?**
- A. They help make medical devices less expensive
 - B. They ensure consistency and regulatory compliance in manufacturing
 - C. They define marketing strategies for new products
 - D. They develop clinical pathways for patient treatment
- 7. What is the "Cadillac Tax" in healthcare?**
- A. A tax on low-cost health insurance plans
 - B. A tax on high-cost health insurance plans intended to reduce healthcare spending
 - C. A tax on medical device purchases
 - D. A tax meant to incentivize preventive care
- 8. What is the minimum number of years a Board of MT member cannot be a faculty member of any MT school before appointment?**
- A. 1 year
 - B. 2 years
 - C. 3 years
 - D. 4 years
- 9. What is the title of the law known as RA 5527?**
- A. Philippine Medical Technology Act of 1969
 - B. Philippine Health Technology Act of 1970
 - C. Medical Laboratory Science Act of 1969
 - D. Healthcare Quality Assurance Act of 1969
- 10. What should medical professionals do if they suspect a device is faulty?**
- A. Ignore the issue and continue use
 - B. Report the issue to the relevant regulatory authorities
 - C. Attempt to fix the device themselves
 - D. Conduct personal tests to verify the fault

Answers

SAMPLE

1. C
2. B
3. C
4. A
5. C
6. B
7. B
8. B
9. A
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is a potential ethical issue associated with genetic testing technologies?

- A. Increased healthcare costs for patients
- B. Disruptions in healthcare provider roles
- C. Privacy concerns and discrimination issues**
- D. Inaccuracies in test result reporting

The choice concerning privacy concerns and discrimination issues highlights a significant ethical issue in the context of genetic testing technologies. As genetic testing allows for the analysis of an individual's DNA, it raises critical questions about how this sensitive information is stored, shared, and used. The risk of breaches in confidentiality can lead to unauthorized access to personal genetic information, which may be exploited by third parties, including employers and insurance companies. For example, there is a concern that individuals could face discrimination in hiring or insurance coverage based on genetic predispositions to certain diseases. Furthermore, legislation like the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) seeks to protect individuals from such discrimination, but the enforcement and extent of this protection can vary. This ethical dilemma underscores the need for stringent safeguards to ensure that genetic data is managed responsibly and with respect for patients' autonomy and privacy rights. By understanding these implications, healthcare providers and policymakers can work to develop appropriate frameworks that minimize ethical risks while maximizing the benefits of genetic testing technologies.

2. How many years must a Board of Medical Technology member be in practice prior to appointment?

- A. 5 years
- B. 10 years**
- C. 15 years
- D. 20 years

The correct answer is based on the requirements typically set forth for professional and regulatory boards in the field of medical technology. Specifically, a member of a Board of Medical Technology is usually required to have a minimum of ten years of active practice in their field before being eligible for appointment. This requirement ensures that board members possess extensive experience and a comprehensive understanding of the profession, which is essential for making informed decisions regarding standards, regulations, and ethical practices within the medical technology community. This level of experience helps in fostering a deep knowledge of both the current challenges faced by practitioners and the evolving nature of medical technology, contributing to the overall effectiveness and integrity of the board. It is important for members to bring substantial professional insight to their role, which can positively influence policies and practices in the field.

3. Under which section are the functions and duties of the Board defined?

- A. Section 8
- B. Section 9
- C. Section 11**
- D. Section 14

The functions and duties of the Board are defined under Section 11. This section typically outlines the responsibilities and governance structure of the Board, detailing the specific roles and obligations expected from its members in overseeing the organization's compliance with regulatory standards, ethical practices, and overall strategic direction. Such sections are crucial in establishing accountability and guiding the Board's actions in fulfilling its mandate within the MedTech legal framework. The other sections mentioned may cover different aspects of the law or regulations, such as eligibility requirements, general provisions, or enforcement mechanisms, but it is Section 11 that specifically addresses the Board's roles and functions. Understanding this distinction is vital for anyone involved in MedTech to ensure conformity with the necessary legal and ethical guidelines.

4. RA 9165 is known as which of the following?

- A. The Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002**
- B. The Newborn Screening Act of 2004
- C. The Dangerous Drug Act of 1972
- D. The Continuing Professional Development Act

RA 9165, also known as the Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002, was enacted in the Philippines to provide a comprehensive framework for addressing issues related to dangerous drugs. This legislation aims to establish measures to prevent drug abuse, regulate the manufacture and distribution of dangerous drugs, and ensure the enforcement of stricter penalties for drug-related offenses. The act is pivotal in coordinating a national response to drug-related problems, emphasizing the importance of prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation for individuals affected by drug dependence. This focus on a comprehensive approach reflects the legislative intent to not only criminalize drug use but also to manage and mitigate its impacts on society effectively. Other options pertain to different topics such as newborn screening, earlier laws on dangerous drugs, and professional development, which are distinct from the goals and provisions outlined in RA 9165. Understanding this distinction highlights the significant role RA 9165 plays specifically in drug-related matters in the Philippines.

5. Why is stakeholder engagement crucial in MedTech development?

- A. It lowers costs associated with development
- B. It guarantees faster regulatory approval
- C. It ensures diverse perspectives and better meets patient needs**
- D. It focuses solely on market trends

Stakeholder engagement is crucial in MedTech development primarily because it ensures diverse perspectives and better meets patient needs. Engaging with various stakeholders—including patients, healthcare professionals, regulatory bodies, and industry experts—provides valuable insights that can significantly influence the design and functionality of MedTech products. By incorporating feedback and understanding the experiences, preferences, and requirements of patients and healthcare providers, companies can create solutions that are more effective and user-friendly. This approach enhances the likelihood that the product will be adopted successfully in clinical practice, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes. In addition, engaging stakeholders can help identify potential issues or challenges early in the development process, allowing for timely adjustments that align the product more closely with real-world clinical needs. This collaboration contributes to innovation and can lead to the development of technologies that not only fulfill regulatory requirements but also provide genuine value to end users. While lowering development costs, guaranteeing faster regulatory approval, or focusing solely on market trends could be outcomes of effective stakeholder engagement, they are not the primary reasons for its importance in MedTech development. Understanding and addressing the specific needs and concerns of the relevant stakeholders stands at the core of creating impactful health technologies.

6. What do standard operating procedures (SOPs) ensure in the medical technology field?

- A. They help make medical devices less expensive
- B. They ensure consistency and regulatory compliance in manufacturing**
- C. They define marketing strategies for new products
- D. They develop clinical pathways for patient treatment

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) play a crucial role in the medical technology field by ensuring consistency and regulatory compliance in manufacturing processes. This consistency is vital for maintaining the quality and safety of medical devices and products. SOPs provide detailed, written instructions designed to achieve uniformity in the performance of specific functions. In a highly regulated industry like medical technology, adherence to SOPs helps organizations comply with various regulatory requirements set by governmental and health authorities. This compliance protects patient safety, as it ensures that all products are manufactured according to strict standards that have been established to minimize risks. Additionally, SOPs are essential for training employees, enabling them to understand the correct processes and protocols to follow, which further contributes to product quality and safety. While other options may touch on relevant aspects of the medical technology field, like marketing strategies or patient treatment pathways, they don't directly represent the primary purpose of SOPs, which is to promote operational consistency and regulatory adherence in manufacturing environments.

7. What is the "Cadillac Tax" in healthcare?

- A. A tax on low-cost health insurance plans
- B. A tax on high-cost health insurance plans intended to reduce healthcare spending**
- C. A tax on medical device purchases
- D. A tax meant to incentivize preventive care

The "Cadillac Tax" refers to a specific provision in the Affordable Care Act aimed at imposing a tax on high-cost health insurance plans. This tax is designed to target plans that provide extravagant benefits, encouraging employers and employees to become more cost-conscious about their healthcare choices. By setting a threshold above which the tax applies, it aims to reduce healthcare spending overall by incentivizing companies to offer more cost-effective insurance options rather than very generous plans that might not consider the associated costs. The rationale behind the Cadillac Tax is rooted in concerns that such high-cost plans contribute to an overall increase in healthcare expenditures, as they may encourage overutilization of services and lead to inefficiencies in the healthcare system. Thus, implementing this tax serves as a mechanism for promoting more sustainable health spending while still allowing for flexibility in how insurance coverage is structured.

8. What is the minimum number of years a Board of MT member cannot be a faculty member of any MT school before appointment?

- A. 1 year
- B. 2 years**
- C. 3 years
- D. 4 years

The correct answer is based on the regulations that govern the qualifications for appointment to a Board of Medical Technology (MT). Specifically, the requirement that a Board member must not have been a faculty member of any Medical Technology school for at least two years prior to their appointment serves several purposes. This two-year gap ensures a degree of separation between the educational institutions and the regulatory body, promoting impartiality and preventing any potential conflicts of interest. It helps to uphold the integrity of the Board's decisions, ensuring that its members are not unduly influenced by their previous affiliations with academic institutions. In the context of regulatory frameworks, maintaining such standards is crucial for fostering trust in the governance of the profession and ensuring that the Board can operate without bias. Other time frames offered in the choices do not align with the established requirement, underscoring the importance of adhering to this two-year interval specifically.

9. What is the title of the law known as RA 5527?

- A. Philippine Medical Technology Act of 1969**
- B. Philippine Health Technology Act of 1970
- C. Medical Laboratory Science Act of 1969
- D. Healthcare Quality Assurance Act of 1969

The title of the law known as RA 5527 is indeed the Philippine Medical Technology Act of 1969. This law is significant as it regulates the practice of medical technology in the Philippines and establishes the standards for the education, accreditation, and practice of medical technologists. It represents a crucial framework ensuring that professionals in this field meet certain qualifications, thereby protecting public health through competent medical laboratory services. This legislation laid the groundwork for the profession, cementing the role of medical technologists in healthcare delivery. The other options represent different concepts or laws that do not accurately reflect the provisions and intent of RA 5527. For example, the Philippine Health Technology Act of 1970, while potentially related to health technologies, does not specifically address the medical technology profession; similarly, the Medical Laboratory Science Act of 1969 is a misnomer as the prominent legislation is known as the Philippine Medical Technology Act. The Healthcare Quality Assurance Act of 1969 also refers to different aspects of healthcare rather than specifically addressing medical technology practice.

10. What should medical professionals do if they suspect a device is faulty?

- A. Ignore the issue and continue use
- B. Report the issue to the relevant regulatory authorities**
- C. Attempt to fix the device themselves
- D. Conduct personal tests to verify the fault

When medical professionals suspect that a device is faulty, reporting the issue to the relevant regulatory authorities is crucial for several reasons. Firstly, regulatory bodies are responsible for ensuring the safety and efficacy of medical devices; they monitor potential hazards and can take appropriate action to protect patients. By reporting the fault, healthcare providers contribute to a larger system of safety monitoring that can lead to device recalls, modifications, or additional investigations. Moreover, there are often legal and ethical obligations associated with patient safety that compel medical professionals to act in the best interests of their patients. Failing to report a suspected fault could lead to continued use of a potentially harmful device, putting patients at risk. In addition to maintaining patient safety, reporting issues helps to maintain the integrity of clinical practices and ensures that medical professionals remain accountable for their actions. This can also lead to improvements in device design and manufacturing processes, ultimately benefiting the medical field as a whole. The other options may lead to negative consequences. Ignoring the issue could endanger patients and violate ethical obligations. Attempting to fix the device oneself is generally unsafe and could further compromise patient safety, as unauthorized repairs may not address the underlying problems. Conducting personal tests may not provide definitive conclusions regarding the device's safety or efficacy and may be misleading.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://medtechlawsandethics.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE