

LSAT LOGICAL REASONING PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR
THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

<https://lsatlogicalreasoning.examzify.com>



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What type of questions might ask for evidence that undermines an argument?**
 - A. Inference questions
 - B. Weaken questions
 - C. Strengthen questions
 - D. Role of statement questions
- 2. What does a "premise indicator" signal in an argument?**
 - A. A word that introduces a conclusion directly
 - B. A phrase that suggests a standalone argument
 - C. A word or phrase that signals a premise
 - D. A comment meant to weaken an argument
- 3. How does identifying assumptions enhance argument analysis?**
 - A. It reveals the formal structure of the argument
 - B. It clarifies the conclusions being drawn
 - C. It uncovers hidden biases and logical errors
 - D. It reinforces the importance of premises
- 4. What do "premises" and "conclusions" contribute to in an argument?**
 - A. They create emotional appeal
 - B. They form the logical basis of an argument
 - C. They distract from the main point
 - D. They provide anecdotal evidence
- 5. What does a Justify the Conclusion question require in its answer?**
 - A. Minor strengthening of the conclusion
 - B. Evidence supporting a related claim
 - C. Proof that is 100% conclusive for the conclusion
 - D. Examples that undermine the premise
- 6. What does a Flaw in the Reasoning question prompt a test-taker to do?**
 - A. Identify an unsupported assumption
 - B. Assess the validity of the entire argument
 - C. Find the specific error in reasoning
 - D. Summarize the main point of the argument

- 7. What type of argument involves reasoning that contradicts the conclusion drawn?**
- A. Inconsistent argument
 - B. Invalid argument
 - C. Sound argument
 - D. Circular reasoning
- 8. What is the purpose of assumption questions in logical reasoning?**
- A. To identify the main argument
 - B. To state what is evidently true
 - C. To find unstated premises that support the argument
 - D. To illustrate cause and effect relationships
- 9. What are Must Be True questions primarily focused on?**
- A. Identifying the main conclusion of an argument
 - B. Finding an assumption of the author's argument
 - C. Determining what must be true based on the information provided
 - D. Recognizing a point of contention between speakers
- 10. In the example of banging my shin on a table, which part is the sufficient condition?**
- A. Feeling pain
 - B. Banging my shin on the table
 - C. Having a table nearby
 - D. Screaming in pain

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. C
4. B
5. C
6. C
7. B
8. C
9. C
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What type of questions might ask for evidence that undermines an argument?

- A. Inference questions
- B. Weaken questions**
- C. Strengthen questions
- D. Role of statement questions

The focus of the question is on identifying what type of questions specifically request evidence that undermines or challenges an argument. Weaken questions are designed to test the ability to find flaws or weaknesses in an argument's reasoning. By asking for evidence that could make the argument less convincing or valid, these questions aim to identify information that, if true, would contradict or lessen the support for the argument presented. In contrast, inference questions typically require the test taker to draw conclusions from the information provided rather than challenge it. Strengthen questions seek to find evidence that bolsters an argument or makes it more persuasive. Role of statement questions ask about the function of a specific statement within the argument, not about whether it weakens or strengthens it. The key characteristic of weaken questions is their direct role in undermining the validity or strength of the argument, making them the correct choice for this inquiry.

2. What does a "premise indicator" signal in an argument?

- A. A word that introduces a conclusion directly
- B. A phrase that suggests a standalone argument
- C. A word or phrase that signals a premise**
- D. A comment meant to weaken an argument

A premise indicator signals a premise in an argument by indicating that a certain statement supports or provides evidence for the conclusion. Common premise indicators include words and phrases such as "since," "because," "for," "given that," and "assuming that." These indicators help readers identify the foundational statements that the argument relies on to substantiate its conclusion. Recognizing these indicators is crucial for understanding the structure of an argument, as they show which statements serve as the supporting reasons leading to a conclusion. Being able to identify premise indicators allows one to analyze and evaluate arguments more effectively, as it clarifies how the premises connect to and support the overall argument.

3. How does identifying assumptions enhance argument analysis?

- A. It reveals the formal structure of the argument
- B. It clarifies the conclusions being drawn
- C. It uncovers hidden biases and logical errors**
- D. It reinforces the importance of premises

Identifying assumptions is a critical part of assessing any argument because it helps uncover hidden biases and logical errors that may not be immediately obvious. Assumptions are the underlying beliefs or statements that are taken for granted, and recognizing them allows one to evaluate the argument's validity more thoroughly. When an argument is presented, it often relies on certain unstated premises or beliefs that are crucial for its logical flow. By pinpointing these assumptions, analysts can better understand whether the argument holds up under scrutiny. For instance, if an assumption is revealed to be flawed or biased, it could undermine the entire argument. This process can expose gaps in reasoning or points where the conclusion does not logically follow from the premises. In contrast, while recognizing the formal structure of an argument (like its premises and conclusions) and clarifying those conclusions can be important, they do not directly engage with the potential biases or weaknesses within the argument itself. Similarly, reinforcing the importance of premises and recognizing conclusions are steps toward understanding the argument, but without addressing the hidden assumptions, one might miss critical flaws that could affect the argument's overall strength. Thus, the ability to identify these assumptions significantly enhances the capacity for robust argument analysis.

4. What do "premises" and "conclusions" contribute to in an argument?

- A. They create emotional appeal
- B. They form the logical basis of an argument**
- C. They distract from the main point
- D. They provide anecdotal evidence

Premises and conclusions are essential components of an argument that work together to establish its logical foundation. Premises provide the evidence or reasons that support a claim, laying out the information that leads to the conclusion. The conclusion is the assertion or statement that follows logically from the premises. In a well-structured argument, the premises should logically lead to the conclusion, allowing the reader or listener to follow the reasoning process and understand how the premises substantiate the conclusion. Therefore, they form the logical basis of an argument, allowing it to be evaluated based on the soundness and relevance of the provided information rather than emotional appeal or anecdotal evidence. This logical structure is crucial for persuasive and effective argumentation, since it allows individuals to assess the validity of the argument rather than being distracted or swayed by unrelated elements.

5. What does a Justify the Conclusion question require in its answer?

- A. Minor strengthening of the conclusion
- B. Evidence supporting a related claim
- C. Proof that is 100% conclusive for the conclusion**
- D. Examples that undermine the premise

A Justify the Conclusion question requires an answer that provides proof that is 100% conclusive for the conclusion presented in the argument. These questions are designed to test your ability to find a statement that, when added to the premises of the argument, guarantees that the conclusion logically follows. In this context, the ideal answer will completely close any logical gaps between the premises and the conclusion, thereby ensuring that the conclusion must be true if the premises are accepted as true. Citing proof that is absolute and leaves no room for doubt is essential for justifying the conclusion effectively. While minor strengthening of the conclusion, supporting evidence for related claims, or examples that critique the premise might be relevant in other contexts, they do not fulfill the specific requirement of a Justify the Conclusion question, which is to provide unequivocal support that directly confirms the conclusion.

6. What does a Flaw in the Reasoning question prompt a test-taker to do?

- A. Identify an unsupported assumption
- B. Assess the validity of the entire argument
- C. Find the specific error in reasoning**
- D. Summarize the main point of the argument

In a Flaw in the Reasoning question, the key task is to identify the specific error in the reasoning of an argument. These questions require test-takers to closely analyze the argument presented and pinpoint where the reasoning goes astray. This involves looking for logical inconsistencies, unfounded assumptions, or other types of reasoning mistakes that undermine the argument's validity. Recognizing the particular flaw not only helps in understanding the argument better but also provides insight into why the conclusion may not be supported by the premises. This skill is crucial when answering LSAT questions, as it aids in critical analysis and logical thinking, which are essential for successful performance on the test.

7. What type of argument involves reasoning that contradicts the conclusion drawn?

- A. Inconsistent argument
- B. Invalid argument**
- C. Sound argument
- D. Circular reasoning

The concept at the heart of an invalid argument is that the conclusion does not logically follow from the premises presented, which means that it's possible for the premises to be true while the conclusion is false. In other words, in an invalid argument, the reasoning does not provide adequate support for the conclusion. Therefore, if reasoning contradicts the conclusion drawn, it implies the argument is built on a flawed logical foundation, making it invalid. For instance, if someone argues that "all birds can fly" and concludes that penguins can fly based on that premise, the reasoning is flawed because the premise is not universally accurate. Consequently, the conclusion drawn is not logically valid. In contrast, inconsistent arguments hold contradictory premises, while sound arguments are both valid and based on true premises. Circular reasoning refers to when the conclusion is used as a premise, thus not providing new information or support for the conclusion. These distinctions highlight why the nature of the invalid argument is central to the query about reasoning that contradicts the conclusion.

8. What is the purpose of assumption questions in logical reasoning?

- A. To identify the main argument
- B. To state what is evidently true
- C. To find unstated premises that support the argument**
- D. To illustrate cause and effect relationships

Assumption questions in logical reasoning are designed to uncover the underlying premises that are not explicitly stated within an argument but are necessary for the argument's conclusion to hold true. When an assumption is made, it is something that the author relies upon as a foundation for their reasoning. In the context of the provided choices, identifying unstated premises that support the argument is crucial because if the assumption does not hold, the argument may collapse or be weakened. For example, if an argument claims that increasing the budget for a certain department will lead to better outcomes, an unstated assumption might be that the increased budget will be used effectively. If this assumption is false, the argument's conclusion may be compromised. While the other options address various aspects of reasoning, they do not reflect the specific role that assumptions play in supporting an argument. Identifying the main argument pertains to understanding the argument's structure rather than the assumptions. Stating what is evidently true does not connect to the nuances of logical support required for conclusions. Illustrating cause and effect relationships does not encompass the broader role of assumptions in logical reasoning, as assumptions can exist without a direct cause-and-effect context. Thus, finding the unstated premises is the correct approach to understanding the nature and purpose of assumption questions

9. What are Must Be True questions primarily focused on?

- A. Identifying the main conclusion of an argument
- B. Finding an assumption of the author's argument
- C. Determining what must be true based on the information provided**
- D. Recognizing a point of contention between speakers

Must Be True questions center on identifying information that logically follows from the given statements or evidence in the passage. In these questions, the correct response is one that is explicitly supported or necessarily implied by the presented information. Rather than requiring the test-taker to evaluate conclusions, assumptions, or contradictions, Must Be True questions demand a careful consideration of the logical outcomes based on the facts provided. This means that if the premises are accepted as true, then the answer choice reflecting what 'must be true' will also hold as true. The focus is on drawing conclusions that are unavoidable and grounded in the premises given, making it essential for test-takers to comprehend the details of the arguments presented in order to arrive at the answer that must logically follow.

10. In the example of banging my shin on a table, which part is the sufficient condition?

- A. Feeling pain
- B. Banging my shin on the table**
- C. Having a table nearby
- D. Screaming in pain

The sufficient condition in the context of the scenario provided is "banging my shin on the table." This is because a sufficient condition is an event or action that guarantees a particular outcome will occur. In this case, when one bangs their shin on a table, it directly leads to the sensation of pain. To clarify, when you bang your shin, that action is enough on its own to warrant the response of feeling pain. No other circumstances are necessary for the pain to be felt; the act of banging is sufficient to produce that particular outcome. The other options do not fulfill the criteria of a sufficient condition. Feeling pain, for instance, is the result of the action and not the condition that guarantees it. Similarly, having a table nearby may set the stage for the possibility of banging your shin, but it does not guarantee the pain outcome unless the actual action of banging occurs. Screaming in pain is a response that may come after feeling pain, thus depending on the occurrence of the pain itself. Therefore, the only option that meets the criterion of a sufficient condition is the act of banging the shin on the table.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://lsatlogicalreasoning.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE