

LSAT Logical Reasoning Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What is "evidence" in the context of arguments?**
 - A. Testimonies from experts**
 - B. Information presented to support premises or conclusions**
 - C. Assumptions made by the author**
 - D. Personal opinions of the writer**

- 2. What does the term "conversely" imply in logical reasoning?**
 - A. It adds additional support to the argument**
 - B. It implies an increase in certainty**
 - C. It introduces a counterpoint or alternate perspective**
 - D. It suggests a conclusion based on assumptions**

- 3. What does it indicate when a conclusion is characterized as "unsupported"?**
 - A. It is based on strong evidence and reasoning**
 - B. There is sufficient evidence or reasoning to validate it**
 - C. There is insufficient evidence or reasoning to back it**
 - D. It is universally accepted and agreed upon**

- 4. How is "inference" defined in the context of logical reasoning tasks?**
 - A. A statement that must be included in an argument**
 - B. A conclusion drawn from the information provided that is not explicitly stated**
 - C. A restatement of premises in different wording**
 - D. A type of conclusion that can be proven**

- 5. What is the role of examples in an argument?**
 - A. To distract from the main point**
 - B. To illustrate and support broader claims or conclusions**
 - C. To weaken the argument**
 - D. To summarize the argument**

- 6. In "method of reasoning" questions, what are you asked to describe?**
- A. The factual claims made in the argument**
 - B. The emotional appeals present in the argument**
 - C. The technique of reasoning used by the author**
 - D. The credibility of the sources cited**
- 7. In logical reasoning, what is the function of "inference"?**
- A. To summarize the main points**
 - B. To draw a conclusion based on explicit statements only**
 - C. To analyze the argument structure**
 - D. To deduce a conclusion that is suggested but not directly stated**
- 8. What should be evaluated to improve an argument's strength?**
- A. The emotional connection of the audience**
 - B. The clarity and relevance of the premises**
 - C. The length of the argument**
 - D. The number of statistics used**
- 9. What type of reasoning error involves misusing statistics?**
- A. Faulty generalization**
 - B. Misleading statistics**
 - C. Hasty assumption**
 - D. Oversimplification**
- 10. What type of question is identified when a Parallel Reasoning stimulus contains flawed reasoning?**
- A. Parallel Strength Question**
 - B. Parallel Flaw Question**
 - C. Parallel Validity Question**
 - D. Parallel Structure Question**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. C
4. B
5. B
6. C
7. D
8. B
9. B
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is "evidence" in the context of arguments?

- A. Testimonies from experts
- B. Information presented to support premises or conclusions**
- C. Assumptions made by the author
- D. Personal opinions of the writer

In the context of arguments, "evidence" refers specifically to the information that is presented to support the premises or conclusions within the argument. This can include data, facts, statistics, examples, and other forms of substantiation that bolster a claim. Evidence is crucial because it lends credibility to the argument, making the reasoning more persuasive and reliable. While testimonies from experts can serve as a form of evidence, they are just one type of evidence rather than a comprehensive definition. Assumptions made by the author do not qualify as evidence, as they are often unsubstantiated claims that underpin arguments. Similarly, personal opinions of the writer represent subjective viewpoints rather than objective support for an argument's claims. Thus, the focus on evidence as the supporting information is what makes the definition provided in the correct choice the most accurate and relevant in the context of logical reasoning and argumentation.

2. What does the term "conversely" imply in logical reasoning?

- A. It adds additional support to the argument
- B. It implies an increase in certainty
- C. It introduces a counterpoint or alternate perspective**
- D. It suggests a conclusion based on assumptions

The term "conversely" is used in logical reasoning to introduce a counterpoint or a contrasting perspective to a previously stated idea. When a discussion or argument includes the term "conversely," it signals that the speaker or writer is presenting an alternate viewpoint that often opposes or differs significantly from the last point made. This creates a nuanced understanding of the topic by showing that there is more than one side to the issue, allowing for a broader analysis. In this context, using "conversely" indicates that the speaker is not merely expanding on their argument but is actively considering how opposing arguments or perspectives can affect the conclusion. This is important in logical reasoning, as it encourages critical thinking and a recognition of the complexity inherent in most issues. By juxtaposing differing ideas, the term helps to clarify relationships between them and enriches the argumentative structure by acknowledging divergent viewpoints.

3. What does it indicate when a conclusion is characterized as "unsupported"?

- A. It is based on strong evidence and reasoning**
- B. There is sufficient evidence or reasoning to validate it**
- C. There is insufficient evidence or reasoning to back it**
- D. It is universally accepted and agreed upon**

When a conclusion is characterized as "unsupported," it indicates that there is insufficient evidence or reasoning to back it. This means that the argument fails to adequately justify the conclusion with relevant facts, data, or logical reasoning. In this context, an unsupported conclusion cannot be confidently accepted because the foundation upon which it is built is weak or nonexistent. Strong conclusions require a solid base of evidence; thus, when one is labeled as unsupported, it underscores a gap in the argument's validity or reliability.

4. How is "inference" defined in the context of logical reasoning tasks?

- A. A statement that must be included in an argument**
- B. A conclusion drawn from the information provided that is not explicitly stated**
- C. A restatement of premises in different wording**
- D. A type of conclusion that can be proven**

Inference in the context of logical reasoning tasks refers to a conclusion that is drawn from the available information, even though it is not explicitly stated. This is a critical aspect of logical reasoning because it involves interpreting and analyzing the given data to uncover underlying meanings or implications that may not be directly articulated. This definition of inference allows for a deeper understanding of arguments and logical structures, as it encourages readers to engage with the information and look for connections and conclusions that are implied rather than overtly expressed. Inferences require critical thinking skills, as they often involve reading between the lines and applying reasoning to arrive at conclusions that may be logically sound but are not directly stated by the original source of information. The other options do not capture the essence of inference accurately. Some define inference too restrictively, while others misrepresent it by linking it to the necessity of premises or restating existing information. Therefore, recognizing inference as an implicit conclusion drawn from given information is essential for mastering logical reasoning tasks.

5. What is the role of examples in an argument?

- A. To distract from the main point
- B. To illustrate and support broader claims or conclusions**
- C. To weaken the argument
- D. To summarize the argument

Examples play a crucial role in arguments as they provide concrete illustrations that bolster broader claims or conclusions. By presenting specific instances or scenarios, examples help to clarify abstract concepts, making them more accessible and relatable to the audience. This connection aids in demonstrating how a general claim applies in real-life situations, thereby reinforcing the argument's validity and persuasive power. In this context, while other options may present scenarios that could occur in some arguments, they do not accurately reflect the primary function of examples within persuasive discourse. Examples are meant to enhance an argument, not distract from it, weaken it, or merely summarize it; they specifically serve to elucidate and strengthen the claims being made.

6. In "method of reasoning" questions, what are you asked to describe?

- A. The factual claims made in the argument
- B. The emotional appeals present in the argument
- C. The technique of reasoning used by the author**
- D. The credibility of the sources cited

In "method of reasoning" questions, you are specifically asked to identify the technique or approach that the author employs to construct their argument. This entails analyzing how the author connects premises to conclusions, the logical structure used, and any reasoning patterns that are evident within the argument. Understanding the method of reasoning allows you to evaluate the effectiveness of the argument's logic and ultimately its persuasiveness. The choice that focuses on the technique of reasoning captures this essence, emphasizing the author's strategic use of reasoning to advance their viewpoint. By clarifying the reasoning method, you can better assess the overall validity and strength of the argument presented.

7. In logical reasoning, what is the function of "inference"?

- A. To summarize the main points**
- B. To draw a conclusion based on explicit statements only**
- C. To analyze the argument structure**
- D. To deduce a conclusion that is suggested but not directly stated**

The correct answer identifies the role of inference in logical reasoning as the process of deducing a conclusion that is suggested but not directly stated. Inferences are essential for understanding arguments and claims because they allow for the recognition of underlying meanings and implications present in the statements provided. When a passage or argument contains information that hints at conclusions or ideas without explicitly stating them, drawing an inference enables a reader to connect the dots and arrive at a more comprehensive understanding of the text. This skill is crucial in evaluating arguments, enabling individuals to grasp nuances and make logical deductions from the information given. By recognizing implied ideas or conclusions, one can better assess the strength of the argument, weigh evidence, and understand the overall message. Thus, the ability to make inferences enhances critical thinking and analytical skills necessary for excelling in logical reasoning tasks.

8. What should be evaluated to improve an argument's strength?

- A. The emotional connection of the audience**
- B. The clarity and relevance of the premises**
- C. The length of the argument**
- D. The number of statistics used**

To improve an argument's strength, evaluating the clarity and relevance of the premises is crucial. The premises serve as the foundational statements that support the argument's conclusion. If the premises are not clear, the audience may misinterpret them, leading to misunderstandings about the argument's intent or validity. Clear premises allow for better comprehension and engagement from the audience. Moreover, the relevance of the premises is equally important. Each premise should directly support or contribute to the argument's conclusion. Irrelevant premises can weaken the argument, as they may distract from the main point or lead the audience astray. By ensuring that the premises are both clear and relevant, the overall strength of the argument is significantly enhanced, making it more persuasive and compelling to the audience.

9. What type of reasoning error involves misusing statistics?

- A. Faulty generalization
- B. Misleading statistics**
- C. Hasty assumption
- D. Oversimplification

The reasoning error that involves misusing statistics is indeed best described as misleading statistics. This type of error occurs when statistical information is presented in a way that distorts the true meaning or relevance of the data, often leading to incorrect conclusions. For instance, this can happen when numbers are selectively highlighted to support a particular claim while ignoring other data that may provide a fuller picture. Misleading statistics can also arise from misinterpretations, such as confusing correlation with causation or manipulating the context of the statistical information. Understanding misleading statistics is essential because it highlights the importance of examining the source, method, and context of statistical claims. When analyzing arguments or data, discerning how statistics are used can significantly impact the validity of conclusions drawn from them. Recognizing this type of reasoning error can help in evaluating arguments critically and ensuring that logical decisions are based on accurate representations of data.

10. What type of question is identified when a Parallel Reasoning stimulus contains flawed reasoning?

- A. Parallel Strength Question
- B. Parallel Flaw Question**
- C. Parallel Validity Question
- D. Parallel Structure Question

The correct identification of a question type when a Parallel Reasoning stimulus includes flawed reasoning is a Parallel Flaw Question. This type of question specifically asks the test-taker to find an answer choice that mirrors the flawed logical structure found in the stimulus. By illustrating the same kind of error in reasoning, it invites analysis of the reasoning's fallacies as they appear in both the stimulus and the answer choices. The emphasis on the term "flaw" is key here because it directly pertains to recognizing the specific erroneous logic being employed. In doing so, the test-taker must connect both the form and the flawed logic between the original reasoning presented and the answer choices. This skill is fundamental in tackling various arguments and understanding how differing fallacies can manifest in reasoning. Other types of questions mentioned do not focus specifically on identifying flawed reasoning in the same way. A Parallel Strength Question would involve comparing the persuasive power of arguments; a Parallel Validity Question would focus on the correctness of reasoning, irrespective of whether it is flawed or not; and a Parallel Structure Question pertains more to the structural organization of arguments rather than the presence of a logical flaw. Thus, the focus on flaws clearly defines why Parallel Flaw Question is the most appropriate designation in this context.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://lsatlogicalreasoning.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE