

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (IP) TRANSACTIONS CASES PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE) STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR
THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

<https://iptransactionscales.examzify.com>



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	9
Explanations	11
Next Steps	17

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What is a typical reason to include transitional arrangements in a change-of-control IP clause?**
 - A. To terminate all licenses at closing.
 - B. To ensure continuity of access for licensees during the transition.
 - C. To delay transfer of rights indefinitely.
 - D. To compel immediate re-licensing.
- 2. What is the purpose of an anti-suit or most-favored-licensee clause in IP transactions?**
 - A. Clause regulating payment terms
 - B. Clause requiring antitrust approval
 - C. Clause restricting where disputes may be litigated; MFN ensures the licensee gets best terms
 - D. Clause creating exclusive rights in all fields
- 3. Which clause is commonly used to mitigate open source software risks in an IP transaction?**
 - A. Identify OSS components and licenses, assess copyleft implications, include disclosure and compliance programs; include disclosure schedules, OSS warranties, restrictions on incorporation into proprietary products, consent requirements for sublicensing, and indemnities or rollback/notice provisions.
 - B. Rely on a generic confidentiality clause only.
 - C. Do not address open-source software.
 - D. Rely solely on patent infringement indemnity.
- 4. What are typical limitations on liability in IP representations and warranties, and how do baskets and caps function?**
 - A. Caps on damages, carve-outs for fraud or intentional misconduct, and exceptions for fundamental reps; baskets require a minimum threshold before indemnity applies; OSS-related claims may have special treatment.
 - B. There are no limitations on liability.
 - C. All claims must be indemnified without caps.
 - D. OSS claims cannot be limited.

- 5. In the same case, what did the court decide about IIS-created derivative versions with respect to NYSBVP's lien?**
- A. They were automatically included because derivative rights exist.
 - B. They were covered only if the derivative versions were created after bankruptcy.
 - C. They were not covered because the security agreement did not clearly include them.
 - D. The court did not address derivative versions.
- 6. In DRK Photo v. McGraw-Hill, what did the court identify as the reason DRK lacked standing?**
- A. The assignment transferred only accrued claims
 - B. The assignment transferred exclusive rights
 - C. The case lacked subject matter jurisdiction
 - D. DRK had a license to sue
- 7. Under Section 365, what protection does the actual test provide to licensees in bankruptcy?**
- A. The other party is not forced to accept performance from a different entity.
 - B. The debtor cannot assume any license.
 - C. The license automatically terminates on bankruptcy.
 - D. The license may be assigned to another entity with court approval.
- 8. In an IP license, which statement correctly defines a field-of-use constraint?**
- A. It restricts the geographic territory of rights.
 - B. It defines the royalty rate structure.
 - C. It restricts the field of use or market segment for which the IP may be used.
 - D. It guarantees unlimited rights.
- 9. In Fred's Stores of Mississippi, Inc. v. M & H Drugs, Inc. (Part Two), what did the court say about the measures to maintain secrecy?**
- A. Centralized public filing
 - B. Kept in restricted area with limited employee access
 - C. Shared with external contractors
 - D. No security measures were necessary

10. In C Tek Software, Inc. v. New York State Business Venture Partnership, what must a security agreement expressly include for derivative works to be covered?

- A. They must be expressly included in the security agreement.
- B. They are automatically covered if the base copyrighted works are secured.
- C. They are covered by copyright law regardless of the security agreement.
- D. They can be covered only by a separate license.

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. C
4. A
5. C
6. A
7. C
8. C
9. B
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is a typical reason to include transitional arrangements in a change-of-control IP clause?

- A. To terminate all licenses at closing.
- B. To ensure continuity of access for licensees during the transition.**
- C. To delay transfer of rights indefinitely.
- D. To compel immediate re-licensing.

Transitional arrangements are included to prevent disruption for licensees when control of the IP or the business changes hands. A change of control can create uncertainty about whether licenses survive, who must support the product, and how data, updates, and maintenance will be handled during the handover. By setting a defined transition period with continued access to the licensed IP under the existing terms, the parties provide a bridge that maintains operations, supports customers, and avoids breach or harm to the ongoing business. It also gives time to negotiate new terms without sudden loss of rights. In contrast, terminating licenses at closing would cut off access; delaying transfer indefinitely defeats the purpose of a smooth transition; and forcing immediate re-licensing introduces abrupt renegotiation rather than a stable interim regime.

2. What is the purpose of an anti-suit or most-favored-licensee clause in IP transactions?

- A. Clause regulating payment terms
- B. Clause requiring antitrust approval
- C. Clause restricting where disputes may be litigated; MFN ensures the licensee gets best terms**
- D. Clause creating exclusive rights in all fields

These clauses address two protections commonly used in IP licensing: where disputes are heard and ensuring parity of terms among licensees. An anti-suit clause binds the parties to resolve disputes in a designated forum, reducing the risk of parallel litigations in different courts and giving a predictable, enforceable path for enforcement. A most-favored-licensee (MFN) clause guarantees that if the licensor offers a more favorable term to any other licensee, the same term automatically applies to the MFN licensee, effectively ensuring the licensee receives the best terms available. Together, they focus on controlling litigation venue and securing competitive terms, rather than adjusting payment terms, requiring antitrust approvals, or creating exclusive rights across all fields.

3. Which clause is commonly used to mitigate open source software risks in an IP transaction?

- A. Identify OSS components and licenses, assess copyleft implications, include disclosure and compliance programs; include disclosure schedules, OSS warranties, restrictions on incorporation into proprietary products, consent requirements for sublicensing, and indemnities or rollback/notice provisions.
- B. Rely on a generic confidentiality clause only.
- C. Do not address open-source software.**
- D. Rely solely on patent infringement indemnity.

Mitigating open-source software risks in an IP transaction requires a dedicated open-source clause that inventories components and licenses, evaluates copyleft effects, and imposes explicit disclosure and compliance measures. This approach is best because it creates visibility into all OSS used, assigns clear responsibilities, and provides remedies if licenses are violated, such as OSS disclosures, warranties about compliance, restrictions on incorporating OSS into proprietary products, consent requirements for sublicensing, and indemnities or rollback/notice provisions. A generic confidentiality clause doesn't address the unique obligations OSS imposes, like copyleft terms, mandatory source disclosures, or ongoing license compliance. Ignoring OSS or relying solely on a patent indemnity leaves licensing risks unaddressed and can create downstream conflicts over distribution, modification, or disclosure requirements.

4. What are typical limitations on liability in IP representations and warranties, and how do baskets and caps function?

- A. Caps on damages, carve-outs for fraud or intentional misconduct, and exceptions for fundamental reps; baskets require a minimum threshold before indemnity applies; OSS-related claims may have special treatment.**
- B. There are no limitations on liability.
- C. All claims must be indemnified without caps.
- D. OSS claims cannot be limited.

In IP representations and warranties, liability is usually not unlimited. The deal uses caps, baskets, and carve-outs to balance risk between the parties. A cap sets a maximum amount the buyer can recover, often tied to the deal value, and many agreements narrow recoveries to direct damages while excluding things like lost profits or consequential damages. Carve-outs protect certain serious breaches by excluding them from the cap—fraud or intentional misconduct, and often fundamental reps about ownership or authority, survive or escape the cap so the injured party can seek full relief for those breaches. Baskets create a threshold that must be met before indemnity applies, preventing minor issues from triggering claims; there are variations in how baskets work (true vs tipping baskets) that determine whether only the excess above the threshold is recoverable or the whole amount is indemnified once the threshold is crossed. OSS claims are frequently treated specially because open-source software licensing introduces unique risk allocations, but they can still be subject to caps or separate provisions rather than being uncapped. So the best answer captures caps, carve-outs for fraud and fundamental reps, baskets, and the possibility of special OSS treatment. The other options imply no limits or universal uncapped OSS claims, which isn't how IP rep and warranty liability is typically structured.

5. In the same case, what did the court decide about IIS-created derivative versions with respect to NYSBVP's lien?

- A. They were automatically included because derivative rights exist.
- B. They were covered only if the derivative versions were created after bankruptcy.
- C. They were not covered because the security agreement did not clearly include them.**
- D. The court did not address derivative versions.

A security interest in intellectual property must be clearly extended to derivative works for those derivatives to be covered. The court's decision rests on the principle that lien rights attach to the collateral as described in the security agreement, and courts do not infer coverage of future or derivative forms unless the agreement explicitly says so. Because the security agreement in this case did not clearly include IIS-created derivative versions, the court found they were not covered by NYSBVP's lien. This underscores the importance of precise drafting: to reach derivative versions or future forms, the agreement should expressly include language like "all present and future forms, derivatives, modifications, and improvements." Without that explicit language, the lien holds only to the described IP in its current form, not to the derivative works.

6. In DRK Photo v. McGraw-Hill, what did the court identify as the reason DRK lacked standing?

- A. The assignment transferred only accrued claims**
- B. The assignment transferred exclusive rights
- C. The case lacked subject matter jurisdiction
- D. DRK had a license to sue

Standing in copyright disputes requires the plaintiff to own the right to sue for the infringements alleged. The court looked at what the agreement actually transferred and concluded that the assignment moved only accrued claims—that is, DRK could sue for infringements that had already occurred by the assignment date, but it did not transfer the ongoing, future rights to sue for later infringements. Without ownership of those future rights, DRK could not bring claims for post-assignment acts, so it lacked standing. A broader transfer of all rights or a license that includes the authority to sue for future infringements would have given DRK standing.

7. Under Section 365, what protection does the actual test provide to licensees in bankruptcy?

- A. The other party is not forced to accept performance from a different entity.
- B. The debtor cannot assume any license.
- C. The license automatically terminates on bankruptcy.**
- D. The license may be assigned to another entity with court approval.

Under Section 365, licenses are treated as executory contracts in bankruptcy, so the debtor can choose to assume or reject them. A key protection for licensees is that intellectual property licenses have special rules to preserve the licensee's rights even if the debtor's estate handles the contract differently later. In particular, IP licensees may be allowed to continue using the licensed IP under the terms of the license after rejection, and the license can often be assigned to a successor entity with court approval, ensuring the licensee's continued rights despite the bankruptcy process. Automatic termination of the license simply because of bankruptcy is not the standard outcome; the statute focuses on allowing continuation or orderly transfer rather than immediate wipeout of the license.

8. In an IP license, which statement correctly defines a field-of-use constraint?

- A. It restricts the geographic territory of rights.
- B. It defines the royalty rate structure.
- C. It restricts the field of use or market segment for which the IP may be used.**
- D. It guarantees unlimited rights.

Field-of-use constraints define the permitted applications or market segments for the licensed IP. This type of restriction specifies what the licensee is allowed to do with the IP—for example, limiting usage to medical devices, automotive applications, or a particular product line—so the IP isn't used in other fields that the licensor wants to keep separate or monetized differently. It's about how the IP is applied, not where it's used (that would be territory), nor about how much money is paid (royalties), nor about granting unlimited rights. By clearly limiting the field of use, the licensor can protect strategic goals, prevent competition in undesired areas, and tailor the license to the licensee's capabilities, while allowing other licenses or other fields to be licensed separately.

9. In Fred's Stores of Mississippi, Inc. v. M & H Drugs, Inc. (Part Two), what did the court say about the measures to maintain secrecy?

- A. Centralized public filing
- B. Kept in restricted area with limited employee access**
- C. Shared with external contractors
- D. No security measures were necessary

Protecting a trade secret hinges on taking reasonable secrecy measures, not absolute secrecy. The court emphasized that keeping the information in a restricted area with access limited to employees who need to know is a classic, reasonable step to maintain confidentiality. This kind of controlled access shows the information isn't casually disclosed and helps preserve its secret status. Other options would undermine secrecy. Centralized public filing would reveal the information to everyone and destroy its secret nature. Sharing with external contractors can be permissible only if appropriate confidentiality protections and access controls are in place, which wasn't shown here. And saying no security measures are necessary would ignore the basic requirement to take reasonable steps to protect a trade secret.

10. In *C Tek Software, Inc. v. New York State Business Venture Partnership*, what must a security agreement expressly include for derivative works to be covered?

- A. They must be expressly included in the security agreement.**
- B. They are automatically covered if the base copyrighted works are secured.
- C. They are covered by copyright law regardless of the security agreement.
- D. They can be covered only by a separate license.

In secured IP transactions, the scope of what the security agreement covers must be defined in the contract itself. Derivative works are not automatically included simply because the base copyrighted works are secured. The debtor's rights in derivative works—such as adaptations, improvements, or new versions—are considered separate rights that can be created or licensed independently, so the security interest does not reach them unless the agreement explicitly names them. That's why the best answer is that derivative works must be expressly included in the security agreement to be covered. If the agreement only references the base works, derivative rights remain outside the secured collateral. The other options misstate how protection is extended: coverage isn't automatic through the base works alone, nor is it guaranteed by copyright law regardless of contract, and a separate license is not what creates a secured interest in derivatives.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://iptransactionscales.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE