

IB HISTORY HIGHER LEVEL (HL) PRACTICE EXAM (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR
THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

<https://ibhistoryhl.examzify.com>



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What characterized the Nazi-Soviet Pact of 1939?**
 - A. Mutual defense against the Allies
 - B. Economic collaboration between Germany and USSR
 - C. Non-aggression between Germany and USSR
 - D. Military alliance based on ideological similarities

- 2. What was a significant indication that appeasement would not deter Hitler's expansionist ambitions?**
 - A. His occupation of Czechoslovakia despite the Munich Agreement
 - B. His initial acceptance of the Treaty of Versailles
 - C. The signing of the Pact of Steel
 - D. The British response to the annexation of Austria

- 3. Which nations comprised the Triple Entente before the United States joined in 1917?**
 - A. Germany, Italy, Austria-Hungary
 - B. Great Britain, France, Serbia, Russia
 - C. Japan, China, Russia, India
 - D. United States, Britain, France, Canada

- 4. What is the significance of the Port Huron Statement?**
 - A. A document outlining the goals of the Green Movement
 - B. The profile document of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), addressing poverty and civil rights issues
 - C. A manifesto promoting environmental conservation
 - D. A historical analysis of World War II

- 5. What significant geopolitical change occurred by the end of the 1930s regarding Poland?**
 - A. Poland became a communist state.
 - B. Poland was guaranteed protection from Germany.
 - C. Poland entered a military alliance with Germany.
 - D. Poland was invaded and partitioned by Germany.

6. What significant military decision was revealed by Hitler in March 1935?

- A. Reduction of troop numbers in the army
- B. Introduction of conscription to expand the army
- C. Withdrawal from the League of Nations
- D. Establishment of a navy convention with France

7. After what year did Castro become the leader of Cuba?

- A. 1955
- B. 1960
- C. 1965
- D. 1970

8. What was the purpose of the World Disarmament Conference held in 1932-3?

- A. To reduce tariffs among member nations
- B. To scale down military armaments
- C. To establish economic cooperation
- D. To create alliances against aggression

9. What did the Young Plan of 1929 aim to achieve?

- A. Strengthen military alliances in Europe
- B. Ease reparations imposed on Germany
- C. Establish a collective security agreement
- D. Promote disarmament talks among major powers

10. Which event led to tensions between Germany and France during the World Disarmament Conference?

- A. Germany's rearmament plans
- B. Economic sanctions imposed by France
- C. Disputes over reparations payments
- D. Formation of military alliances

Answers

SAMPLE

1. C
2. A
3. B
4. B
5. D
6. B
7. C
8. B
9. B
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What characterized the Nazi-Soviet Pact of 1939?

- A. Mutual defense against the Allies
- B. Economic collaboration between Germany and USSR
- C. Non-aggression between Germany and USSR**
- D. Military alliance based on ideological similarities

The Nazi-Soviet Pact of 1939, formally known as the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact, was primarily characterized by a non-aggression agreement between Germany and the Soviet Union. This pact stipulated that neither party would attack the other or support any attack against each other, significantly altering the geopolitical landscape in Europe on the eve of World War II. Despite their ideological differences—Nazi Germany being fascist and the Soviet Union being communist—the two nations found common interest in avoiding conflict while they pursued their respective expansions. The pact also included secret protocols that divided Eastern Europe into spheres of influence, allowing both countries to plan their territorial ambitions without fear of interference from the other. The context of this agreement showcased a tactical alliance for both regimes, primarily driven by national interest rather than ideological alignment. While the alliance provided strategic advantages for both sides during the early years of the war, the underlying commitment was always to self-preservation and opportunism rather than a genuine ideological partnership. Understanding the nuances of this pact reveals the tensions in international relations prior to World War II and highlights how regime similarities were often secondary to pragmatic considerations in the face of broader geopolitical challenges.

2. What was a significant indication that appeasement would not deter Hitler's expansionist ambitions?

- A. His occupation of Czechoslovakia despite the Munich Agreement**
- B. His initial acceptance of the Treaty of Versailles
- C. The signing of the Pact of Steel
- D. The British response to the annexation of Austria

The occupation of Czechoslovakia despite the Munich Agreement is a clear indicator that appeasement would not deter Hitler's expansionist ambitions. The Munich Agreement, signed in 1938, was meant to resolve the Sudetenland crisis peacefully, where Britain and France allowed Germany to annex parts of Czechoslovakia in hopes of satisfying Hitler's demands and preventing further conflict. However, Hitler's subsequent invasion of the rest of Czechoslovakia in March 1939 demonstrated that he was not interested in a genuine diplomatic resolution or respecting agreements made with other nations. Instead, he was emboldened by the lack of effective opposition and continued to pursue aggressive expansion, negating any notions that appeasement could work. In contrast, initial acceptance of the Treaty of Versailles did not inherently suggest Hitler's future actions, as it was a significant part of post-World War I settlements that many nations grappled with extensively. The signing of the Pact of Steel in 1939 illustrated a strategic alliance with Italy but was not as indicative of Hitler's broader ambitions being unfettered by appeasement. Lastly, the British response to the annexation of Austria, while an important moment, did not carry the same weight or consequences as the

3. Which nations comprised the Triple Entente before the United States joined in 1917?

- A. Germany, Italy, Austria-Hungary
- B. Great Britain, France, Serbia, Russia**
- C. Japan, China, Russia, India
- D. United States, Britain, France, Canada

The correct answer is based on historical alliances formed in the lead-up to and during World War I. The Triple Entente was a formal understanding among three major powers: Great Britain, France, and Russia. This alliance was established to counterbalance the threat posed by the Triple Alliance of Germany, Austria-Hungary, and Italy. The inclusion of Serbia can be seen as significant due to its connection to Russia, especially following the assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand, which sparked the war. In this context, Great Britain, France, and Russia formed an organized alliance with mutual support in military matters, which became essential once the war began. The later involvement of the United States in 1917 added a significant new ally but did not shape the original members of the Triple Entente. Other options suggest different groupings of nations, either combining nations involved in the Triple Alliance or including other countries that were not part of the Entente's formal arrangement. Such mischaracterizations of the alliances before the U.S. entry into the war clarify the importance of recognizing the specific members of the Triple Entente during this period in history.

4. What is the significance of the Port Huron Statement?

- A. A document outlining the goals of the Green Movement
- B. The profile document of Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), addressing poverty and civil rights issues**
- C. A manifesto promoting environmental conservation
- D. A historical analysis of World War II

The Port Huron Statement is significant primarily as the founding document of the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS), which emerged from the student movement in the United States during the 1960s. This statement articulated a vision for a more participatory and democratic society, addressing crucial issues such as poverty, racial equality, and the need for social justice. It reflected the discontent among youth regarding the political and social conditions of the time, especially in the context of the civil rights movement and the Vietnam War. The statement also emphasized the importance of individual participation in democracy and the need to combat apathy among citizens. It became a source of inspiration for many activists in various movements, highlighting the interconnectedness of social justice issues and setting the stage for broader discussions about rights and responsibilities in American society during that era.

5. What significant geopolitical change occurred by the end of the 1930s regarding Poland?

- A. Poland became a communist state.
- B. Poland was guaranteed protection from Germany.
- C. Poland entered a military alliance with Germany.
- D. Poland was invaded and partitioned by Germany.**

By the end of the 1930s, the significant geopolitical change regarding Poland was the invasion and partition by Germany. This event, which occurred on September 1, 1939, marked the beginning of World War II when Germany launched a swift military campaign, known as Blitzkrieg, into Polish territory. This invasion was coordinated with the Soviet Union, which subsequently invaded Poland from the east on September 17, 1939, in accordance with the secret protocols of the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact signed earlier that year. The outcome of this invasion was catastrophic for Poland, leading to the occupation of its territory by both Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union, resulting in significant loss of life and territorial integrity. This marked a major shift not only for Poland, but for European geopolitics as well, contributing to the broader conflict of World War II and setting the stage for future events in the region. The circumstances of Poland's fate during this period highlighted the failure of earlier diplomatic agreements aimed at safeguarding its sovereignty, particularly in relation to the guaranteed protections that proved ineffective against aggression from both Germany and the Soviet Union.

6. What significant military decision was revealed by Hitler in March 1935?

- A. Reduction of troop numbers in the army
- B. Introduction of conscription to expand the army**
- C. Withdrawal from the League of Nations
- D. Establishment of a navy convention with France

The correct answer highlights a pivotal moment in the remilitarization of Germany, revealing that in March 1935, Hitler announced the introduction of conscription, which aimed to significantly expand the size of the German army. This decision was part of Hitler's broader strategy to rebuild Germany's military strength in defiance of the Treaty of Versailles, which had imposed strict limitations on the size of the German armed forces after World War I. The introduction of conscription not only allowed Germany to rapidly increase its military personnel but also marked a shift in German military policy towards a more aggressive stance in Europe. This move came alongside the formal announcement of rearmament, setting the stage for Germany's future military expansions and aggressive actions leading up to World War II. In contrast, the wrong options delve into decisions that did not take place during this time. The reduction of troop numbers in the army contradicts the militaristic ambitions of the Nazi regime. The withdrawal from the League of Nations occurred later as a continued assertion of Germany's sovereignty but was not a specific military decision from this time. The establishment of a navy convention with France did not occur in March 1935; instead, Germany sought to challenge naval restrictions through its own rearmament efforts.

7. After what year did Castro become the leader of Cuba?

- A. 1955
- B. 1960
- C. 1965**
- D. 1970

Fidel Castro officially became the leader of Cuba in January 1959 after the successful revolution against the Batista regime. Therefore, the year associated with Castro's assumption of power is crucial for understanding the timeline of Cuba's political history. In contrast to the choices presented, none of the other years—1955, 1960, or 1970—mark the moment he took control. While 1960 and 1965 are significant years in terms of Cuba's international relations and domestic policies, they do not represent the year he took leadership. Consequently, an understanding of the timeline reinforces that January 1959 marks the beginning of Castro's leadership, following a period of revolutionary activity that intensified after the 1953 attack on the Moncada Barracks and the subsequent years leading up to the overthrow of Batista. Thus, recognizing this key date is fundamental in analyzing Cuba's history during the Cold War era and beyond.

8. What was the purpose of the World Disarmament Conference held in 1932-3?

- A. To reduce tariffs among member nations
- B. To scale down military armaments**
- C. To establish economic cooperation
- D. To create alliances against aggression

The World Disarmament Conference held in 1932-1933 primarily aimed to scale down military armaments among nations. The conference was a response to the increasing militarization seen in the years leading up to World War I and aimed to prevent another devastating conflict through disarmament. Delegates from various countries came together to discuss arms reduction and to ensure that nations would not engage in an arms race. The goal was to create a more peaceful international environment by diminishing the capacity for military conflict. This initiative reflected the broader desire of many nations, particularly in the aftermath of World War I, to promote peace and security through disarmament, which was seen as a key to avoiding future wars. The hope was that nations would cooperate to limit arms production and reduce military capabilities, fostering a sense of collective security among member states. While other aspects such as tariffs, economic cooperation, or alliances against aggression might have been issues of concern during that period, they were not the primary focus of the conference. The singular focus on military armaments was what distinguished the conference and made the objective of scaling down military armaments its definitive purpose.

9. What did the Young Plan of 1929 aim to achieve?

- A. Strengthen military alliances in Europe
- B. Ease reparations imposed on Germany**
- C. Establish a collective security agreement
- D. Promote disarmament talks among major powers

The Young Plan, introduced in 1929, primarily aimed to ease the reparations that Germany was required to pay following World War I, as stipulated by the Treaty of Versailles. This plan was a response to the economic difficulties Germany faced in meeting the heavy financial burdens imposed by the Treaty, which many viewed as excessively punitive and detrimental to Germany's stability and overall recovery. The Young Plan proposed to reduce the total reparations amount and allowed for a longer repayment period. This adjustment was intended to improve Germany's economic situation, stabilizing the country and fostering a climate of cooperation in Europe. By alleviating the financial strain on Germany, the plan sought to create more favorable conditions for the country to recover economically and politically, thereby contributing to European stability in the interwar period. This focus on reparations distinguishes the Young Plan from initiatives aimed at military alliances, collective security, or disarmament, which were not the primary goals of the plan.

10. Which event led to tensions between Germany and France during the World Disarmament Conference?

- A. Germany's rearmament plans**
- B. Economic sanctions imposed by France
- C. Disputes over reparations payments
- D. Formation of military alliances

The event that led to tensions between Germany and France during the World Disarmament Conference was Germany's rearmament plans. Following World War I, Germany was subject to the Treaty of Versailles, which heavily restricted its military capabilities and overall military strength. The rearmament plans signified Germany's intention to strengthen its military forces, which was perceived as a direct threat by France, still wary of German aggression after the devastation of the war. This situation heightened tensions as France sought to maintain the security of its borders and viewed Germany's actions as a violation of the post-war peace agreements. The World Disarmament Conference, which aimed to address disarmament and promote peace, became a focal point for the conflicting interests of the two countries, ultimately contributing to the deterioration of their relationship during this critical period.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://ibhistoryhl.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE