

Georgia Torts Bar Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

This is a sample study guide. To access the full version with hundreds of questions,

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	6
Answers	9
Explanations	11
Next Steps	17

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Don't worry about getting everything right, your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations, and take breaks to retain information better.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning.

7. Use Other Tools

Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly — adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

- 1. What is the effect of brief dispossession of chattels?**
 - A. It has no legal impact on ownership**
 - B. It could still constitute a trespass to chattels**
 - C. Only permanent dispossession counts**
 - D. It negates the owner's rights**
- 2. What kind of immunity does Georgia law provide to individuals providing services to charitable organizations?**
 - A. Immunity for intentional harm only**
 - B. Immunity for negligent acts only**
 - C. Immunity for good faith actions within official duties**
 - D. Complete immunity for all actions**
- 3. Can an employer be liable for an independent contractor's torts if the work was inherently dangerous?**
 - A. No, employers cannot be liable for any independent contractor's actions**
 - B. Yes, if the work involves inherently dangerous activities**
 - C. Only if the employer directly hires the independent contractor**
 - D. Only if the contractor has a high level of experience**
- 4. What happens when a plaintiff withdraws from a confrontation after using unprivileged force?**
 - A. The plaintiff cannot use self-defense anymore**
 - B. The defendant retains the privilege to use force**
 - C. The defendant loses the privilege to use force**
 - D. The plaintiff must leave the area immediately**
- 5. In cases of purely economic losses, what can a plaintiff recover under negligent infliction of emotional distress?**
 - A. Only economic damages**
 - B. Only noneconomic damages**
 - C. Both economic and noneconomic damages**
 - D. Neither economic nor noneconomic damages**

- 6. In the context of wrongful death in Georgia, what does "full value of the decedent's life" consider?**
- A. Only the decedent's monetary assets**
 - B. The decedent's health, earnings, services, and life expectancy**
 - C. Only the decedent's services to the family**
 - D. The decedent's emotional value to society**
- 7. What type of harm can a defendant be liable for under the foreseeability rule?**
- A. Only quantifiable economic loss**
 - B. Any harm that arises from their conduct**
 - C. Harm that could be anticipated**
 - D. Only immediate physical injuries**
- 8. What is the duty owed to trespassers by a possessor of land in Georgia?**
- A. They must ensure the land is safe for entry.**
 - B. They owe a duty to refrain from causing willful or wanton injury.**
 - C. They must give prior notice of any dangers present.**
 - D. They should provide assistance if an injury occurs.**
- 9. What burden of proof does a defendant carry in a self-defense case?**
- A. The burden only to show that an attack occurred**
 - B. The burden of proof lies entirely with the plaintiff**
 - C. The defendant bears both the burden of production and persuasion**
 - D. The defendant must show previous good character**
- 10. What typically establishes a profession's applicable standard of care?**
- A. Personal experience alone**
 - B. Expert testimony is always required**
 - C. General knowledge of the field**
 - D. Common practices within the profession**

Answers

1. B
2. C
3. B
4. C
5. D
6. B
7. C
8. B
9. C
10. D

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is the effect of brief dispossession of chattels?

- A. It has no legal impact on ownership
- B. It could still constitute a trespass to chattels**
- C. Only permanent dispossession counts
- D. It negates the owner's rights

The selection of the option indicating that a brief dispossession of chattels could still constitute a trespass to chattels is grounded in the legal principles related to property rights and possession. In tort law, trespass to chattels occurs when one party intentionally interferes with another person's lawful possession of a chattel, even if the interference is temporary. A brief dispossession does not negate the owner's rights nor does it require permanent dispossession to be actionable. The law recognizes that even a short period during which a person is deprived of their property can result in annoyance, distress, and inconvenience, making it actionable as a trespass. The focus is on the interference with possession rather than the duration of the dispossession itself. Thus, an owner can pursue a claim for trespass even if they regain possession quickly, affirming that possession rights are protected under the law regardless of how long the dispossession lasts.

2. What kind of immunity does Georgia law provide to individuals providing services to charitable organizations?

- A. Immunity for intentional harm only
- B. Immunity for negligent acts only
- C. Immunity for good faith actions within official duties**
- D. Complete immunity for all actions

Under Georgia law, individuals providing services to charitable organizations are granted immunity for actions taken in good faith within their official duties. This immunity applies to volunteers and employees who are acting on behalf of the charitable organization, protecting them from liability arising from their work as long as they are not acting with gross negligence or willful misconduct. The rationale behind this provision is to encourage individuals to serve charitable organizations without the fear of being held liable for unintentional harms that may occur during their service. It supports the overall mission of promoting volunteerism and community service by providing a safety net for those who dedicate their time and resources to help others. The other possible options do not accurately reflect the nature of immunity provided by Georgia law. For instance, immunity for intentional harm would not align with the intention of promoting charitable work, as such actions are typically not protected. Meanwhile, limiting immunity to negligent acts or suggesting complete immunity for all actions would misrepresent the legal framework that balances the encouragement of charitable service with the need for accountability in the case of gross negligence or intentional misconduct.

3. Can an employer be liable for an independent contractor's torts if the work was inherently dangerous?

A. No, employers cannot be liable for any independent contractor's actions

B. Yes, if the work involves inherently dangerous activities

C. Only if the employer directly hires the independent contractor

D. Only if the contractor has a high level of experience

An employer can indeed be liable for the torts of an independent contractor when the work is inherently dangerous. This principle is rooted in the idea that certain activities carry a significant risk of harm, and therefore, the party that is commissioning the work - in this case, the employer - has an obligation to ensure that appropriate precautions are taken to mitigate these risks. Inherently dangerous activities are those that pose a high degree of risk regardless of the care taken. These activities can include, for example, construction work, the use of explosives, or operations involving hazardous materials. The rationale behind imposing liability in these scenarios is that the employer should be aware of the risks associated with the particular work and the need to exercise a higher level of oversight and responsibility. The other options do not accurately reflect the established legal principles in this area. An assertion that employers cannot be liable at all for independent contractors overlooks specific circumstances, like those involving inherently dangerous work. Similarly, stating that liability only arises when the employer directly hires the contractor or when the contractor has a high level of experience does not align with the broader legal framework that allows for employer liability in cases of inherently dangerous activities.

4. What happens when a plaintiff withdraws from a confrontation after using unprivileged force?

A. The plaintiff cannot use self-defense anymore

B. The defendant retains the privilege to use force

C. The defendant loses the privilege to use force

D. The plaintiff must leave the area immediately

When a plaintiff withdraws from a confrontation after using unprivileged force, the defendant loses the privilege to use force. This principle is rooted in the idea that once the aggressive party (the plaintiff in this case) has indicated a withdrawal from the conflict, the situation has changed. The law recognizes that self-defense may only be claimed in response to an ongoing threat. When the plaintiff decides to withdraw, it signifies to the defendant that there is no longer an immediate threat to them, and thus the justification for the defendant to respond with force also diminishes. The withdrawal indicates that the confrontation is over, and any further use of force by the defendant would be unjustified and may be viewed as an unlawful response to a non-existent threat. This principle upholds the belief that conflicts should be resolved peacefully once one party chooses to disengage.

5. In cases of purely economic losses, what can a plaintiff recover under negligent infliction of emotional distress?

- A. Only economic damages**
- B. Only noneconomic damages**
- C. Both economic and noneconomic damages**
- D. Neither economic nor noneconomic damages**

In the context of negligent infliction of emotional distress, plaintiffs typically face specific limitations when it comes to recovering damages, especially in scenarios involving purely economic losses. Generally, jurisdictions, including Georgia, stipulate that for claims of negligent infliction of emotional distress to be actionable, there need to be more substantial physical or property damages involved. When a plaintiff suffers only economic losses and experiences emotional distress as a result, courts are generally reluctant to allow recovery for noneconomic damages. This is primarily because the law aims to prevent an unchecked proliferation of claims based merely on emotional responses to economic harm. Thus, if the plaintiff's claim involves only economic losses without associated physical injury or risk of physical harm, they may not recover any damages, neither economic nor noneconomic. This principle stems from the need to establish a duty of care that is directly linked to emotional distress claims, particularly in the absence of physical harm. As such, in cases where there are purely economic losses, courts are inclined to conclude that the emotional distress is too remote and not compensable under the rubric of negligent infliction of emotional distress. This rationale underlines why the correct answer indicates that a plaintiff cannot recover either category of damages in such scenarios.

6. In the context of wrongful death in Georgia, what does "full value of the decedent's life" consider?

- A. Only the decedent's monetary assets**
- B. The decedent's health, earnings, services, and life expectancy**
- C. Only the decedent's services to the family**
- D. The decedent's emotional value to society**

The concept of "full value of the decedent's life" in wrongful death cases in Georgia extends beyond merely economic considerations. This notion encompasses a comprehensive range of factors that account for the decedent's contributions to their family, community, and society as a whole. Specifically, it includes the decedent's health, potential earnings, the value of their services provided to family members and society, and their life expectancy. The reasoning behind this is that wrongful death claims are designed to compensate survivors for the loss of a loved one not just in terms of financial support but also for the intangible benefits that the decedent provided during their lifetime. This includes the emotional and practical support, care, and companionship that the deceased person offered to family members and others in their social circles. Evaluating the full value of a life in this more holistic manner aims to reflect the true impact of the loss on the survivors and honor the decedent's overall value beyond mere economic contributions. By focusing on these aspects, the legal framework recognizes that the loss of a loved one affects various dimensions of life and not solely financial assets or earnings. This approach helps ensure that compensation reflects the multifaceted nature of the loss experienced by survivors.

7. What type of harm can a defendant be liable for under the foreseeability rule?

- A. Only quantifiable economic loss**
- B. Any harm that arises from their conduct**
- C. Harm that could be anticipated**
- D. Only immediate physical injuries**

The foreseeability rule is an essential principle in tort law, particularly in negligence cases. It establishes that a defendant may be held liable for harm that could be reasonably anticipated as a consequence of their actions. This means that if a specific type of harm is predictable based on the defendant's conduct, they can be held accountable for that harm. When determining liability, courts assess whether the harm was a foreseeable result of the defendant's actions at the time those actions were taken. If the harm that occurred was something a reasonable person could have anticipated or foreseen, liability may arise. For example, if someone negligently spills a liquid on a floor and a person slips and falls, it is foreseeable that a slip and fall could occur from such a spill. Therefore, the defendant could be responsible for any injuries resulting from that fall, as the potential for harm was a result of their negligent behavior. The other options do not align with the concept of foreseeability as well. Limiting liability to only quantifiable economic loss or immediate physical injuries does not capture the broader scope of harm that can arise from negligent conduct. Additionally, stating that liability extends to any harm that arises from the defendant's conduct disregards the necessity that the harm must be foreseeable for liability to attach.

8. What is the duty owed to trespassers by a possessor of land in Georgia?

- A. They must ensure the land is safe for entry.**
- B. They owe a duty to refrain from causing willful or wanton injury.**
- C. They must give prior notice of any dangers present.**
- D. They should provide assistance if an injury occurs.**

In Georgia, the duty owed to trespassers by a possessor of land is limited. The correct answer highlights that a landowner must refrain from causing willful or wanton injury to trespassers. This principle is based on the fact that trespassers are not invited onto the property, thus the landowner's obligations towards them are considerably less than those owed to invitees or licensees. A landowner does not have to ensure that their property is safe for entry, which is the expectation for invitees. Instead, once a possessor of land becomes aware of a trespasser, they must avoid inflicting intentional harm or behaving with reckless disregard for the trespasser's safety. This standard serves to protect individuals who may enter the property without permission but may still be entitled to a basic level of care against egregious conduct. Regarding the other options, a landowner is not obligated to notify trespassers of dangers present on the property nor provide assistance if an injury occurs. The emphasis is on avoiding willful or wanton behavior rather than actively ensuring the property is safe or providing help after an accident.

- 9. What burden of proof does a defendant carry in a self-defense case?**
- A. The burden only to show that an attack occurred**
 - B. The burden of proof lies entirely with the plaintiff**
 - C. The defendant bears both the burden of production and persuasion**
 - D. The defendant must show previous good character**

In a self-defense case, the correct burden of proof that a defendant carries is that they bear both the burden of production and the burden of persuasion. This means that the defendant must not only present some evidence to support their claim of self-defense (the burden of production), but they also must convince the trier of fact (judge or jury) that their self-defense claim is valid (the burden of persuasion). This approach recognizes the inherent right to self-defense while also placing responsibility on the defendant to substantiate their assertion. In legal terms, the defendant must demonstrate that they had a reasonable belief that they were in imminent danger and that their response was proportional to the threat posed. The idea that the defendant only needs to show that an attack occurred oversimplifies the requirements. The situation often involves nuances such as reasonable belief and proportional response, which the defendant needs to articulate. Similarly, while the plaintiff holds the initial burden of proof in establishing a claim, once self-defense is raised, the burden shifts to the defendant to prove their defense. Additionally, character evidence about the defendant's previous good character is not a requisite in demonstrating self-defense, as the focus is more on the circumstances of the encounter rather than on the individual's character history.

- 10. What typically establishes a profession's applicable standard of care?**
- A. Personal experience alone**
 - B. Expert testimony is always required**
 - C. General knowledge of the field**
 - D. Common practices within the profession**

The correct answer is established by common practices within the profession. This is because the standard of care in a professional context is primarily determined by what is considered acceptable practice among members of that profession. When assessing whether a professional has acted with reasonable care, courts look to the norms and practices that are widely accepted in that field. These common practices can include established protocols, guidelines, and conventions that professionals are expected to follow. This helps ensure that the actions taken by a professional are aligned with both the expectations of the profession and the protection of the public. The use of common practices provides a benchmark against which professional behavior can be measured. While personal experience and general knowledge of the field may contribute to an individual's understanding of their responsibilities, they do not solely establish the standard of care. Additionally, while expert testimony can aid in clarifying what constitutes reasonable conduct within a given profession, it is not always mandated for establishing the standard of care; rather, it is the collective practices that provide the foundational standard.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://georgiatortsbar.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!