

CRINQ Descriptive, Inferential, Clinical Statistics Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 - 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. Descriptive statistics aim to**
 - A. Infer population differences**
 - B. Describe sample characteristics and distribution**
 - C. Test hypotheses**
 - D. Determine causality**

- 2. Which non-parametric test serves as the counterpart to repeated measures ANOVA?**
 - A. Friedman's ANOVA**
 - B. Kruskal-Wallis**
 - C. Wilcoxon**
 - D. Mann-Whitney U**

- 3. Which analysis includes all randomized participants, preserving randomization?**
 - A. Per-protocol includes all randomized participants; ITT includes only adherent.**
 - B. ITT includes all randomized participants, preserving randomization; Per-protocol includes only those who adhered.**
 - C. Per-protocol includes all participants; ITT excludes non-adherence.**
 - D. ITT excludes those who deviated; Per-protocol preserves randomization.**

- 4. When is a paired t-test appropriate?**
 - A. When observations are from the same subjects before and after; differences are normally distributed.**
 - B. When observations are collected from two independent groups.**
 - C. When data are binary outcomes.**
 - D. When observations are paired and the difference scores are approximately normally distributed.**

- 5. Which summary measures are best suited for nominal data?**
 - A. Mean and standard deviation**
 - B. Median and interquartile range**
 - C. Correlation coefficient**
 - D. Frequencies and percentages**

- 6. Which of the following is a category of statistical tests focusing on reliability?**
- A. Association/Validity**
 - B. Reliability**
 - C. Tests of Differences**
 - D. Diagnostic Accuracy**
- 7. Which approaches address confounding in observational clinical research?**
- A. Randomization only**
 - B. Matching and stratification only**
 - C. Multivariable adjustment and propensity scores only**
 - D. Both design strategies (randomization, matching, stratification) and analysis strategies (multivariable adjustment, propensity scores)**
- 8. What is the IQR and how is it used to identify outliers?**
- A. $IQR = Q3 - Q1$; outliers are below $Q1 - 1.5 \cdot IQR$ or above $Q3 + 1.5 \cdot IQR$**
 - B. $IQR = Q3 - Q1$; outliers are below $Q3 - 1.5 \cdot IQR$**
 - C. $IQR = \text{mean} - \text{median}$; outliers are below $Q1 - 1 \cdot IQR$**
 - D. $IQR = \text{max} - \text{min}$; outliers outside $[Q1, Q3]$**
- 9. What does a narrow confidence interval indicate about the precision of the estimate?**
- A. More precise estimate**
 - B. Less precise estimate**
 - C. Higher p-value**
 - D. Larger sample size required**
- 10. Which statement about sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV is correct?**
- A. Sensitivity equals $1 - \text{Specificity}$.**
 - B. PPV equals NPV.**
 - C. Sensitivity is the true positive rate; Specificity is the true negative rate; PPV is the probability a positive result is truly positive; NPV is the probability a negative result is truly negative.**
 - D. Sensitivity measures false positives.**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. A
3. B
4. D
5. D
6. B
7. D
8. A
9. A
10. C

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Descriptive statistics aim to

- A. Infer population differences
- B. Describe sample characteristics and distribution**
- C. Test hypotheses
- D. Determine causality

Descriptive statistics are about summarizing the data you actually collected. They describe the sample's characteristics and how the data are distributed. This includes measures of central tendency like the average, median, and mode, as well as measures of variability such as the range, variance, and standard deviation. It also involves describing the shape of the distribution (for example, whether scores are spread out or skewed) and often presenting counts or percentages for categories. The point is to give a clear, concise picture of the data you have, without making claims beyond that sample. Inferring population differences, testing hypotheses, or determining causality requires steps beyond simple description and relies on inferential methods or experimental design. For example, you might summarize a class's test scores with the mean and standard deviation, but you wouldn't use descriptive statistics alone to conclude whether the entire population of students performs differently or to establish a cause-and-effect relationship.

2. Which non-parametric test serves as the counterpart to repeated measures ANOVA?

- A. Friedman's ANOVA**
- B. Kruskal-Wallis
- C. Wilcoxon
- D. Mann-Whitney U

When you have more than two related (within-subjects) conditions and you can't rely on normality, the non-parametric counterpart to repeated measures ANOVA is Friedman's ANOVA. It works by ranking each participant's scores across the repeated measures and then testing whether those average ranks differ across the conditions. This approach respects the within-subject design and reduces the impact of non-normal data since it relies on ranks rather than raw values. The test statistic follows a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of conditions minus one. This is different from Kruskal-Wallis, which compares three or more independent groups, and from the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, which handles just two related samples. Mann-Whitney U is for two independent groups. So Friedman's ANOVA is the appropriate non-parametric alternative when you're dealing with more than two related samples.

- 3. Which analysis includes all randomized participants, preserving randomization?**
- A. Per-protocol includes all randomized participants; ITT includes only adherent.**
 - B. ITT includes all randomized participants, preserving randomization; Per-protocol includes only those who adhered.**
 - C. Per-protocol includes all participants; ITT excludes non-adherence.**
 - D. ITT excludes those who deviated; Per-protocol preserves randomization.**

This question tests understanding of intention-to-treat versus per-protocol analyses in randomized trials. Intention-to-treat includes every participant as randomized, regardless of adherence or deviations from the protocol, and analyzes them in their originally assigned groups. This preserves the benefits of randomization, keeping the groups comparable and reducing bias that can occur when participants are excluded or reclassified based on what actually happened. It also mirrors real-world effectiveness, where not everyone follows the protocol exactly. Per-protocol analysis includes only those who adhered to the protocol, excluding those who deviated or dropped out, which can distort the treatment effect because the adherent group may differ in important ways from non-adherents and the original randomization is not preserved. Therefore, the analysis that includes all randomized participants and preserves randomization is the intention-to-treat approach; the analysis that includes only adherent participants is per-protocol.

- 4. When is a paired t-test appropriate?**
- A. When observations are from the same subjects before and after; differences are normally distributed.**
 - B. When observations are collected from two independent groups.**
 - C. When data are binary outcomes.**
 - D. When observations are paired and the difference scores are approximately normally distributed.**

A paired t-test is appropriate when you have matched or paired observations and you assess the change within each pair by looking at the difference between the two measurements. The crucial assumption is that those difference scores are approximately normally distributed, which lets the test determine whether the average difference is zero. This setup comes up when you measure the same subjects before and after a treatment or when you compare paired subjects (like twins) in related groups, because the pairing reduces variability and makes the test more powerful. If the differences aren't roughly normal, especially with small samples, a nonparametric alternative like the Wilcoxon signed-rank test is more appropriate. Binary outcomes aren't suitable for a paired t-test, since the method relies on continuous difference scores.

5. Which summary measures are best suited for nominal data?

- A. Mean and standard deviation**
- B. Median and interquartile range**
- C. Correlation coefficient**
- D. Frequencies and percentages**

Nominal data are categories with no inherent order, so arithmetic summaries like a mean or standard deviation aren't meaningful. The best way to describe them is to show how many observations fall into each category and what proportion that represents, i.e., frequencies and percentages. This provides a clear view of the distribution across categories and highlights the most common category. Other summaries rely on numerical ordering or relationships between variables and aren't appropriate for nominal data.

6. Which of the following is a category of statistical tests focusing on reliability?

- A. Association/Validity**
- B. Reliability**
- C. Tests of Differences**
- D. Diagnostic Accuracy**

Reliability focuses on the consistency and stability of measurements. In statistics, this means asking whether repeated measurements under the same conditions yield similar results, indicating low measurement error and dependable scores. Tools used to quantify reliability include the intraclass correlation coefficient for how well different measurements or raters agree on a continuous score, and Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency across items in a scale. A high reliability indicates that the measurement tool produces stable results across time or raters. The other categories address different concerns. Association/Validity looks at whether a measure relates to other variables in expected ways and whether it truly measures what it's intended to measure. Tests of Differences compare groups to see if they differ on a variable. Diagnostic Accuracy evaluates how well a test correctly identifies a condition, focusing on metrics like sensitivity and specificity. None of these centers on the repeatability of measurements, which is the hallmark of reliability.

7. Which approaches address confounding in observational clinical research?

- A. Randomization only**
- B. Matching and stratification only**
- C. Multivariable adjustment and propensity scores only**
- D. Both design strategies (randomization, matching, stratification) and analysis strategies (multivariable adjustment, propensity scores)**

Confounding happens when a third variable is related to both the exposure and the outcome, making the exposure seem to have an effect when it might not. The strongest way to address this in observational studies is to use a combination of design and analysis approaches. Design strategies aim to make the groups being compared as similar as possible before any analysis. Matching involves pairing or grouping individuals who share key confounding characteristics so the exposed and unexposed groups are comparable. Stratification splits the data into levels of a confounder and examines the exposure effect within each level, keeping that confounder constant across comparisons. While randomization is ideal for eliminating confounding, it's typically not feasible in observational work; the idea is to apply these design ideas to reduce differences between groups from the start. Analysis strategies then adjust for any remaining differences. Multivariable adjustment includes confounders in statistical models to isolate the exposure's effect. Propensity scores summarize the probability of exposure given the confounders and can be used to match, stratify, or weight analyses to balance groups on observed characteristics. Putting design and analysis together provides the most robust control of confounding, which is why the best approach combines both.

8. What is the IQR and how is it used to identify outliers?

- A. $IQR = Q3 - Q1$; outliers are below $Q1 - 1.5 \cdot IQR$ or above $Q3 + 1.5 \cdot IQR$**
- B. $IQR = Q3 - Q1$; outliers are below $Q3 - 1.5 \cdot IQR$**
- C. $IQR = \text{mean} - \text{median}$; outliers are below $Q1 - 1 \cdot IQR$**
- D. $IQR = \text{max} - \text{min}$; outliers outside $[Q1, Q3]$**

The IQR measures how spread out the middle half of the data is. It's calculated as $Q3$ minus $Q1$, so it focuses on the central 50% and is less influenced by extreme values. To flag outliers, we use Tukey's fences: the lower fence is $Q1$ minus 1.5 times the IQR, and the upper fence is $Q3$ plus 1.5 times the IQR. Any value beyond these fences is considered an outlier. This approach captures unusually extreme observations while allowing normal variation in the data. So the correct idea is that IQR equals $Q3$ minus $Q1$ and outliers lie below $Q1$ minus 1.5 times the IQR or above $Q3$ plus 1.5 times the IQR. The other statements misstate the definition of IQR or the rule for identifying outliers (for example, using only one fence, using mean-median, or treating the range $[Q1, Q3]$ as the boundary).

9. What does a narrow confidence interval indicate about the precision of the estimate?

- A. More precise estimate**
- B. Less precise estimate**
- C. Higher p-value**
- D. Larger sample size required**

The width of a confidence interval reflects how precisely we've pinned down the parameter. A narrow interval means the true value is believed to lie in a tight range around the estimate, indicating greater precision. This comes from a smaller margin of error, which happens when there's less variability in the data or a larger amount of information (larger sample size) used to compute the estimate, all while keeping the same confidence level. The p-value is about whether the observed effect is likely under the null hypothesis, not how precisely we've estimated the parameter. The width of the interval is not a direct statement about needing a larger sample; it reflects the current precision of the estimate given the data and the chosen confidence level. So, a narrow confidence interval signals a more precise estimate.

10. Which statement about sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV is correct?

- A. Sensitivity equals $1 - \text{Specificity}$.**
- B. PPV equals NPV.**
- C. Sensitivity is the true positive rate; Specificity is the true negative rate; PPV is the probability a positive result is truly positive; NPV is the probability a negative result is truly negative.**
- D. Sensitivity measures false positives.**

Key idea: what each diagnostic metric actually measures on a disease vs test outcome table. Sensitivity is the true positive rate: TP divided by (TP plus FN). Specificity is the true negative rate: TN divided by (TN plus FP). PPV is the probability that a positive result is truly positive: TP divided by (TP plus FP). NPV is the probability that a negative result is truly negative: TN divided by (TN plus FN). This aligns with the statement that sensitivity is the true positive rate, specificity is the true negative rate, PPV is the probability a positive result is truly positive, and NPV is the probability a negative result is truly negative. A common pitfall is thinking sensitivity equals $1 - \text{specificity}$ (that's the false positive rate, not a measure of sensitivity). PPV and NPV are not generally equal; they depend on disease prevalence in the population. Also, sensitivity and specificity are intrinsic test properties, while PPV and NPV reflect how common the disease is among those tested.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://crinqdescriptiveinferentialclinicalstats.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE