

CITI PROGRAM – BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH PRACTICE EXAM (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



Prepare with structure. Pass with confidence



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. Is a study comparing new treatments for seasonal affected disorder (SAD) allowed to include pregnant women under Subpart B?**
 - A. Yes - this research is permitted if it may benefit the pregnant women.
 - B. No - this research is not permitted because it involves fetuses.
 - C. No - this research is not permitted with pregnant women.
 - D. Yes - this research is permitted.

- 2. Which of the following is true regarding academic-industry collaborations?**
 - A. Federal law does not permit an academic institution to own anything that is produced from the collaboration.
 - B. Conflicts of interest are not common in these collaborations.
 - C. They cannot happen because of the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980.
 - D. The industry sponsor typically owns the data from research that it funds.

- 3. If someone intentionally removes data points from the data set to generate a deceptive conclusion, what type of research misconduct is this?**
 - A. Falsification
 - B. Fabrication
 - C. Unauthorized access
 - D. Plagiarism

- 4. What are the three ethical principles discussed in the Belmont Report?**
 - A. IRB review, Federal Regulations, Declaration of Helsinki
 - B. Respect for Persons, Beneficence, Justice
 - C. Informed Consent, Institutional Assurance, Researcher Responsibility
 - D. Privacy, Confidentiality, Equitable Selection of Subjects

- 5. The Belmont Report's principle of respect for persons emphasizes two ethical convictions. What is the second conviction?**
 - A. Persons with diminished autonomy should only participate in no more than minimal risk research.
 - B. Persons with diminished autonomy should be excluded from research.
 - C. Persons with diminished autonomy are entitled to protection.
 - D. Persons involved in research cannot financially benefit.

- 6. Which of the following most accurately describes an institutional conflict of interest?**
- A. It occurs when two or more researchers within a department are competing for the same research funding.
 - B. It occurs when two or more institutions are competing for the same research funding.
 - C. It occurs when two or more departments within a college are competing for the same research funding.
 - D. It occurs when an institution's financial or non-financial interests could interfere with its research activities.
- 7. When federally supported research involves prisoners, what unique member must the IRB include?**
- A. One member who is a health care provider
 - B. One member who is employed by the federal penal system
 - C. Two members who are current prison guards
 - D. One member who is a prisoner or prisoner representative
- 8. When research involves a 10-year-old child who declines to participate, what best describes the investigator's duty?**
- A. To reinforce the value of participation
 - B. To accept the child's decision without further persuasion
 - C. To seek permission from both parents immediately
 - D. To re-evaluate the need for assent
- 9. Which aspect is most important for an IRB to consider in genetic research?**
- A. Need for publication of results
 - B. Ownership of biological specimens
 - C. Possible effects of findings on other family members
 - D. Long-term financial impact of results
- 10. What is the primary purpose of informed consent in research?**
- A. To provide appropriate information for informed decision-making.
 - B. To protect investigators with a signature from subjects.
 - C. To document a subject's agreement to participate in research.
 - D. To ensure the investigator's role in the consent process is recorded.

Answers

SAMPLE

1. C
2. D
3. A
4. B
5. C
6. D
7. D
8. B
9. C
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Is a study comparing new treatments for seasonal affected disorder (SAD) allowed to include pregnant women under Subpart B?

- A. Yes - this research is permitted if it may benefit the pregnant women.
- B. No - this research is not permitted because it involves fetuses.
- C. No - this research is not permitted with pregnant women.**
- D. Yes - this research is permitted.

The inclusion of pregnant women in research studies is governed by specific ethical guidelines to ensure the safety of both the mother and the fetus. Subpart B of the federal regulations specifically focuses on research involving pregnant women and fetuses. It sets forth additional protections and requirements for conducting research with these populations due to their unique vulnerabilities and the potential risks involved. In the case of studies on seasonal affective disorder (SAD) that do not directly involve interventions that could benefit pregnant women or are not aimed specifically at understanding or treating conditions directly related to pregnancy, these individuals may be excluded from participation. Here, the correct answer emphasizes that without clear benefits or relevance to the specific health concerns of pregnant women, it is generally not permitted to include them in the research under Subpart B due to ethical considerations and the potential risks to the fetus. In conclusion, the regulations prioritize the safety and ethical treatment of pregnant women and fetuses in research, leading to restricting inclusion in studies that do not have a beneficial intent or appropriate justification for their involvement.

2. Which of the following is true regarding academic-industry collaborations?

- A. Federal law does not permit an academic institution to own anything that is produced from the collaboration.
- B. Conflicts of interest are not common in these collaborations.
- C. They cannot happen because of the Bayh-Dole Act of 1980.
- D. The industry sponsor typically owns the data from research that it funds.**

In academic-industry collaborations, it is common for the industry sponsor to have ownership or control over the data generated from the research they fund. This practice stems from the nature of these collaborations, where industry partners often provide financial support and other resources, which can include proprietary technology or intellectual property. As a result, the industry sponsor seeks to retain rights to the data that is collected during the collaborative research effort. Ownership of research data by the sponsor can lead to various arrangements, including the ability to commercialize findings, control over publication, and influence over the direction of the research itself. These agreements are typically outlined in contracts prior to the start of the collaboration, ensuring that both parties are aware of their rights and responsibilities concerning data ownership and use. The other statements do not accurately reflect the current legal and ethical landscape surrounding academic-industry partnerships. For instance, federal law does not prohibit institutions from owning discoveries made through such collaborations. Conflicts of interest can arise and are recognized as potential issues that need to be managed, rather than being uncommon. Moreover, the Bayh-Dole Act actually facilitates the ability of universities to retain ownership of inventions resulting from federally funded research, promoting such collaborations rather than hindering them.

3. If someone intentionally removes data points from the data set to generate a deceptive conclusion, what type of research misconduct is this?

- A. Falsification**
- B. Fabrication
- C. Unauthorized access
- D. Plagiarism

The act of intentionally removing data points from a dataset to create a misleading conclusion falls under the category of falsification. Falsification involves manipulating research materials, equipment, or processes, or changing or omitting data to misrepresent the results of a study. By selectively removing data points, the researcher distorts the true findings, leading to conclusions that do not accurately reflect the complete data. This practice undermines the integrity of the research, as it provides a false representation of the results. Fabrication, on the other hand, refers to making up data or results and recording or reporting them as if they were obtained through legitimate means. Unauthorized access involves accessing research data without permission, while plagiarism is the act of presenting someone else's work, ideas, or intellectual property as one's own. Each of these options represents a different form of misconduct, but in this situation, the correct identification of the action taken is clearly aligned with the definition of falsification.

4. What are the three ethical principles discussed in the Belmont Report?

- A. IRB review, Federal Regulations, Declaration of Helsinki
- B. Respect for Persons, Beneficence, Justice**
- C. Informed Consent, Institutional Assurance, Researcher Responsibility
- D. Privacy, Confidentiality, Equitable Selection of Subjects

The Belmont Report outlines three fundamental ethical principles that guide research involving human subjects: Respect for Persons, Beneficence, and Justice. Respect for Persons emphasizes the importance of acknowledging the autonomy of individuals and protecting those with diminished autonomy. This principle highlights the necessity of informed consent, ensuring that participants are fully aware of their involvement and the implications of the research. Beneficence involves the obligation to minimize harm and maximize benefits to participants. This principle underscores the researcher's responsibility to consider the welfare of subjects and to ensure that the risks posed by the research are justified by the potential benefits. Justice refers to the fair distribution of the benefits and burdens of research. This principle serves to prevent exploitation of vulnerable populations and ensures that no group is unduly burdened while others gain from the research outcomes. Understanding these principles is crucial for anyone involved in biomedical research, as they form the bedrock for ethical conduct and decision-making in research practices. The other choices, while related to ethical conduct in research, do not encapsulate these foundational principles as articulated in the Belmont Report.

5. The Belmont Report's principle of respect for persons emphasizes two ethical convictions. What is the second conviction?

- A. Persons with diminished autonomy should only participate in no more than minimal risk research.
- B. Persons with diminished autonomy should be excluded from research.
- C. Persons with diminished autonomy are entitled to protection.**
- D. Persons involved in research cannot financially benefit.

The second conviction outlined in the Belmont Report's principle of respect for persons is that individuals with diminished autonomy are entitled to protection. This principle recognizes that some individuals may not have the capacity to make fully informed decisions about their participation in research due to various factors, such as cognitive impairments, age, or other limitations. Therefore, it is crucial to implement measures that ensure these individuals receive additional safeguards and protections. This conviction underscores the ethical obligation of researchers to recognize vulnerabilities and provide appropriate support, ensuring that the rights and welfare of these individuals are upheld. Protecting those with diminished autonomy fosters an ethical research environment that prioritizes the dignity and value of all participants, thus helping to promote trust and integrity in the research process. The Belmont Report emphasizes the importance of these protections as a fundamental aspect of ethical research practices.

6. Which of the following most accurately describes an institutional conflict of interest?

- A. It occurs when two or more researchers within a department are competing for the same research funding.
- B. It occurs when two or more institutions are competing for the same research funding.
- C. It occurs when two or more departments within a college are competing for the same research funding.
- D. It occurs when an institution's financial or non-financial interests could interfere with its research activities.**

An institutional conflict of interest is defined as a situation where an institution's financial or non-financial interests could potentially interfere with its research activities. This concept is crucial in ensuring the integrity and objectivity of research conducted under the auspices of the institution. For instance, if an institution stands to gain financially from the outcomes of specific research projects, this might lead to biased research practices or influence the management of conflicts among researchers. The integrity of the research could be compromised if the institution prioritizes its financial interests over the commitment to accurate and ethical research practices. Recognizing and managing these conflicts is essential to maintaining public trust and upholding ethical standards in biomedical research. Other options may mention competition for research funding among researchers or departments, which can create conflicts at the individual or departmental level but do not encapsulate the broader implications of how an institution's interests can impact overall research integrity. Thus, focusing on the institution's potential conflicts provides a more accurate understanding of what constitutes an institutional conflict of interest.

7. When federally supported research involves prisoners, what unique member must the IRB include?

- A. One member who is a health care provider
- B. One member who is employed by the federal penal system
- C. Two members who are current prison guards
- D. One member who is a prisoner or prisoner representative**

When federally supported research involves prisoners, it is essential to have one member on the Institutional Review Board (IRB) who is either a prisoner or a prisoner representative. This requirement aims to ensure that the voices, concerns, and rights of those who are incarcerated are adequately represented during the review process. Including a prisoner or a representative allows the IRB to consider the unique ethical and practical implications of conducting research in a correctional setting, such as the ability to provide informed consent without coercion, the risks involved, and the overall welfare of the prisoner population. The inclusion of this member helps to safeguard the interests of prisoners, who may be a vulnerable population with limited autonomy. This aligns with ethical standards in research to protect the rights and welfare of all research participants, particularly those who may have less negotiating power. Having a representative from the prisoner group enriches the IRB's perspectives and ensures that their decisions reflect the specific needs and rights of individuals in the prison system.

8. When research involves a 10-year-old child who declines to participate, what best describes the investigator's duty?

- A. To reinforce the value of participation
- B. To accept the child's decision without further persuasion**
- C. To seek permission from both parents immediately
- D. To re-evaluate the need for assent

In research involving children, it is essential to prioritize the rights and autonomy of the child. When a 10-year-old child declines to participate in a study, the investigator's duty is to respect that decision without further persuasion. This recognition of the child's refusal aligns with ethical considerations regarding informed consent and assent. Researchers are obligated to ensure that participation is voluntary and based on a child's understanding and willingness to be involved. Forcing or coercing a child to participate after they have expressed their refusal could undermine the integrity of the research and violate ethical principles. This approach acknowledges the child's perspective and their right to decide whether to engage in research activities. Other options imply actions that do not honor the child's autonomy. For instance, reinforcing the value of participation could be seen as pressuring the child, while seeking permission from parents immediately does not consider the child's expressed wishes. Re-evaluating the need for assent may also disregard the importance of the child's choice when they have already made their decision clear.

9. Which aspect is most important for an IRB to consider in genetic research?

- A. Need for publication of results
- B. Ownership of biological specimens
- C. Possible effects of findings on other family members**
- D. Long-term financial impact of results

In the context of genetic research, one of the most critical considerations for an Institutional Review Board (IRB) is the possible effects of findings on other family members. Genetic information is inherently unique because it not only reflects the individual's health information but also has implications for relatives who share a portion of their genetic makeup. When a participant undergoes genetic testing, the results might reveal predispositions to certain health conditions that can affect not just the individual but their family members. This raises ethical concerns regarding privacy, informed consent, and the potential psychological impact of sharing genetic risk information with relatives who may not have consented to be part of the research. The interconnectedness of genetic data means that IRBs must carefully assess how information is communicated and what implications it may have for individuals' families, ensuring that participants are fully informed about potential risks and implications for their relatives. In contrast, while the need for publication of results, ownership of biological specimens, and the long-term financial impact of results are relevant factors in the broader context of research ethics, they do not carry the same immediate ethical weight regarding personal privacy and familial implications as the effects on family members in genetic research. Therefore, the most pertinent consideration for an IRB in this context is the impact that genetic findings

10. What is the primary purpose of informed consent in research?

- A. To provide appropriate information for informed decision-making.**
- B. To protect investigators with a signature from subjects.
- C. To document a subject's agreement to participate in research.
- D. To ensure the investigator's role in the consent process is recorded.

The primary purpose of informed consent in research is to ensure that participants have adequate information to make a well-informed decision about their involvement in a study. This process involves providing clear, comprehensive details about the research, including its purpose, procedures, risks, benefits, and the rights of the participants. This empowers individuals to understand what their participation entails and to weigh the potential risks against the benefits effectively. Informed consent is a fundamental ethical requirement that respects individuals' autonomy, allowing them to make choices that align with their values and personal circumstances. It is not merely a legal formality but an essential component of ethical research practices that upholds the integrity and trustworthiness of the research process. While documenting a subject's agreement is important, it is a secondary aspect to the core objective of facilitating informed decision-making. The other choices, while they touch upon elements of the consent process, do not encapsulate the primary purpose, which is fostering an informed and voluntary choice by the participant.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://citibiomedresearch.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE