CITI Program – Biomedical Research Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide

Everything you need from our exam experts!

Table of Contents

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- **Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,**
- **Improve accuracy and speed,**
- **Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and**
- **Approach the exam with greater confidence.**

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

1. When may expedited review for a new proposed study be used according to federal regulations?

A. The study is a requirement for a student project

B. The study includes only healthy volunteers

C. The study involves no more than minimal risk and meets allowable categories

D. The study does not require consent or surveys

2. What is the most appropriate process for research collaborators to use in determining which journal they should submit their work to?

A. The research team should discuss the issue early on and while the project is ongoing.

B. Submit the work to several journals that represent the interests of all the authors.

C. Obtain advice from the Technology Transfer Office.

D. It is appropriate to submit the work to any peer reviewed journal.

3. Which of the following represents the greatest ethical challenge in informed consent for clinical trials?

A. The need for transparency and full disclosure.

B. The requirement for subject signatures.

C. The potential for coercion or undue influence.

D. The duty to ensure participant understanding of risks.

4. Which of the following statements is true regarding the regulations that govern research?

A. International research collaborations involving U.S. funded researchers are not governed by U.S. regulations when the work takes place at a private university.

B. U.S. funded research collaborations are often governed by U.S. regulations no matter where the research takes place.

C. International research is governed by the United Nations instead of any specific country's regulations.

D. The research of an international graduate student enrolled at a U.S. university is not governed by U.S. regulations unless the student plans to publish the results.

5. What aspect was relevant to an IRB determining that the secondary analysis of a CDC database does not involve human subjects?

A. The researcher interacts with the subjects of the study.

B. The data was collected during a defined period.

C. The data is publicly available and has no identifiers.

D. The purpose of data collection was for epidemiological surveillance.

6. Which of the following is a requirement for ethical research involving human participants?

A. Participants must be paid a minimum fee

B. Participants must be required to undergo a background check

C. Participants must provide informed consent

D. Participants must be related to the researcher

7. What constitutes adequate justification for the exclusion of women from NIH-funded research?

A. Inclusion complicates the analysis

B. The woman is of child-bearing potential

C. Compelling evidence that inclusion is inappropriate for health reasons

D. Exclusion is necessary for more straightforward results

8. How can data lifecycle management (DLM) be accurately described?

A. Managing data during and after a research project.

B. Upholding confidentiality by federal law.

C. Obligation to share data with journals.

D. Data collection requirements of specific organizations.

9. What is a characteristic of a HIPAA authorization?

A. It cannot be revoked by the data subject.

B. It is provided at the investigator's discretion.

C. It cannot be combined with any other document related to the research.

D. It uses "plain language" that the data subject can understand.

10. When is IRB approval required for an investigation involving a significant risk HUD?

A. Only if the device is new

B. When no previous approvals exist

C. For an investigative study collecting data on a new indication

D. Only under specific emergency conditions

Answers

1. C
2. A
3. C
4. B
5. C
6. C
7. C
8. A
9. D
10. C

Explanations

1. When may expedited review for a new proposed study be used according to federal regulations?

A. The study is a requirement for a student project

B. The study includes only healthy volunteers

C. The study involves no more than minimal risk and meets allowable categories

D. The study does not require consent or surveys

Expedited review is a process designed to facilitate the review of research protocols that present no more than minimal risk to participants. According to federal regulations, expedited review can be applied to studies that fall into specific categories and involve research procedures that do not pose significant risks. The correct choice highlights that the study must not only involve minimal risk but also align with allowable categories defined by federal guidelines. These categories may include, for example, certain types of behavioral research, material collections or studies involving benign interventions, and research on the collection of existing data. This ensures that while the study can still be evaluated efficiently, it maintains a necessary standard of ethical oversight for participant safety. Other options do not meet the criteria for expedited review. For instance, a study being a requirement for a student project does not inherently justify expedited review; it's the risk level that matters. Similarly, the use of only healthy volunteers does not automatically qualify a study for expedited review unless it falls into those allowable categories that also involve minimal risk. Lastly, stating that the study does not require consent or surveys does not address the risk levels or the specific criteria for expedited review, which are essential considerations in the regulatory framework.

2. What is the most appropriate process for research collaborators to use in determining which journal they should submit their work to?

A. The research team should discuss the issue early on and while the project is ongoing.

B. Submit the work to several journals that represent the interests of all the authors.

C. Obtain advice from the Technology Transfer Office.

D. It is appropriate to submit the work to any peer reviewed journal.

The most appropriate process for research collaborators to determine which journal to submit their work to is to have discussions early on and throughout the project. This approach allows the research team to consider various factors that can influence their choice of journal, such as the scope of the journal, the target audience, and the journal's impact factor. By engaging in early discussions, collaborators can align their expectations and goals, ensuring that they choose a journal that best fits the focus and significance of their research findings. Prior discussions can also help identify any specific requirements or preferences that may emerge as the research develops, thus avoiding potential conflicts later in the process. This proactive communication among team members fosters collaboration and ensures that all authors are on the same page regarding the publication strategy. It enhances the chances of acceptance by targeting a journal that is well-suited for the content and context of the research. Other potential approaches, such as submitting to multiple journals or seeking guidance solely from the Technology Transfer Office, may lack the thorough consideration of project-specific factors and could lead to complications or misunderstandings regarding authorship and publication goals. Submitting to any journal without a strategic focus could also dilute the visibility of the research.

3. Which of the following represents the greatest ethical challenge in informed consent for clinical trials?

A. The need for transparency and full disclosure.

B. The requirement for subject signatures.

C. The potential for coercion or undue influence.

D. The duty to ensure participant understanding of risks.

The greatest ethical challenge in informed consent for clinical trials is the potential for coercion or undue influence. Informed consent is not merely about obtaining a signature or providing information; it fundamentally revolves around ensuring that participants voluntarily agree to participate in research without any external pressures that may compromise their autonomy. Coercion refers to a situation where participants feel compelled to join a study because of threats or undue pressure, while undue influence encompasses scenarios where participants may be unduly persuaded by factors such as financial incentives, authority figures, or desperation—especially among vulnerable populations. This challenge is particularly critical because it can undermine the integrity of the consent process, leading to ethical violations. Ensuring that consent is truly informed and voluntary is central to respecting participant autonomy and upholding ethical standards in research. Thus, addressing coercion and undue influence is paramount in fostering a trustworthy research environment and protecting participants' rights and well-being. In contrast, the other options, while relevant to the informed consent process, do not capture the ethical complexity and potential harm associated with coercion or undue influence. Ensuring transparency and full disclosure is important but does not mitigate the risks of participants feeling pressured. The duty to ensure understanding is essential for ethical practice, yet it cannot fully address the dynamics

4. Which of the following statements is true regarding the regulations that govern research?

A. International research collaborations involving U.S. funded researchers are not governed by U.S. regulations when the work takes place at a private university.

B. U.S. funded research collaborations are often governed by U.S. regulations no matter where the research takes place.

C. International research is governed by the United Nations instead of any specific country's regulations.

D. The research of an international graduate student enrolled at a U.S. university is not governed by U.S. regulations unless the student plans to publish the results.

The statement that U.S. funded research collaborations are often governed by U.S. regulations no matter where the research takes place is accurate because of the way federal funding mechanisms are structured. When research is funded through U.S. federal grants, the stipulations and regulations that accompany that funding apply regardless of the location of the research activities. This includes adherence to ethical standards, safety regulations, and requirements for the treatment of human and animal subjects. Consequently, U.S. regulatory frameworks—such as those enforced by the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)—remain in effect to ensure the integrity and ethical conduct of the research, thus providing a consistent regulatory environment for the protection of subjects, compliance with ethical standards, and the credibility of research findings. Research conducted by U.S. institutions or researchers, whether domestic or international, must adhere to these guidelines to maintain eligibility for funding and to uphold the standards set by the institutional review boards (IRBs) overseeing the research. This consistent application of regulations helps to safeguard participants and enhance the validity of the research, promoting responsible scientific practices on a global scale.

5. What aspect was relevant to an IRB determining that the secondary analysis of a CDC database does not involve human subjects?

A. The researcher interacts with the subjects of the study.

B. The data was collected during a defined period.

C. The data is publicly available and has no identifiers.

D. The purpose of data collection was for epidemiological surveillance.

The relevance of the data being publicly available and devoid of identifiers is crucial for the Institutional Review Board's (IRB) determination that a secondary analysis does not involve human subjects. When data is publicly available, it means that the information can be accessed by anyone, and thus individual privacy is not at risk. The absence of identifiers—such as names, social security numbers, or other personal details—ensures that individuals cannot be recognized or linked to the data, mitigating privacy concerns. This characteristic separates the dataset from the requirements of human subject protection regulations, which are designed to safeguard individual participants' rights and welfare. In situations where the IRB assesses whether research involves human subjects, the identification of individuals through the data is a critical factor. If the information cannot be traced back to any individual, then the study can be classified as not involving human subjects, allowing researchers to proceed without the need for full IRB oversight. Thus, this aspect is pivotal in defining the regulatory framework surrounding research ethics regarding human subjects.

6. Which of the following is a requirement for ethical research involving human participants?

A. Participants must be paid a minimum fee

B. Participants must be required to undergo a background check

C. Participants must provide informed consent

D. Participants must be related to the researcher

Informed consent is a foundational ethical requirement for research involving human participants. This process ensures that participants are fully aware of the nature of the study, its purpose, potential risks, and benefits before agreeing to partake. It promotes autonomy, as individuals have the right to make decisions about their involvement in research based on an understanding of what participation entails. By obtaining informed consent, researchers demonstrate respect for the participants and their rights, which is a core principle of ethical research practices. This requirement supports informed and voluntary participation, allowing individuals to weigh the information and decide whether to engage in the research. The other options do not universally apply as ethical requirements in research contexts; not all studies necessitate payments, background checks, or familial connections to researchers, making informed consent the critical aspect in ensuring ethical standards are met.

7. What constitutes adequate justification for the exclusion of women from NIH-funded research?

A. Inclusion complicates the analysis

B. The woman is of child-bearing potential

C. Compelling evidence that inclusion is inappropriate for health reasons

D. Exclusion is necessary for more straightforward results

The correct answer highlights that compelling evidence demonstrating that the inclusion of women is inappropriate for health reasons is a valid justification for exclusion from NIH-funded research. This reflects a critical understanding in the arena of biomedical research ethics and participant protection. Research involving human subjects needs to prioritize the health and welfare of participants. If strong, scientifically substantiated reasons indicate that including women could pose specific health risks or ethical concerns, then their exclusion may be justified. This ensures that research maintains the integrity of participant safety while also complying with ethical standards. Conversely, merely stating that inclusion complicates the analysis or leads to more straightforward results does not represent a robust ethical justification. Such reasons do not address the imperative to safeguard participants' health, which should always take precedence. Moreover, stating that a woman is of childbearing potential does not inherently justify exclusion; instead, it necessitates consideration of how to safely include these individuals without compromising their health or that of potential offspring.

8. How can data lifecycle management (DLM) be accurately described?

A. Managing data during and after a research project.

B. Upholding confidentiality by federal law.

C. Obligation to share data with journals.

D. Data collection requirements of specific organizations.

Data lifecycle management (DLM) refers to the practices and policies that oversee the management of data throughout its entire lifecycle, which encompasses stages from initial data collection through to its eventual archiving or deletion after the conclusion of a research project. This process ensures that data is efficiently managed and protected at each stage, addressing aspects such as data storage, accessibility, sharing, and compliance with relevant regulations. The description provided by the correct answer highlights the comprehensive approach that DLM takes, which includes planning for data handling, organization, and storage during the lifespan of a research project as well as considering what happens to the data afterward. This reflects the continuous nature of data governance and stewardship in research contexts. Other choices, while related to aspects of data management and ethical considerations in research, do not fully capture the holistic approach that DLM entails: - Upholding confidentiality by federal law pertains to specific legal requirements rather than the broader management of the entire data lifecycle. - The obligation to share data with journals is a specific aspect of dissemination and does not encompass the management of data pre- and post-publication. - Data collection requirements of specific organizations focus on the initial stage of data gathering and do not address the subsequent stages of data use and retention. Thus, the correct

9. What is a characteristic of a HIPAA authorization?

A. It cannot be revoked by the data subject.

B. It is provided at the investigator's discretion.

C. It cannot be combined with any other document related to the research.

D. It uses "plain language" that the data subject can understand.

A characteristic of a HIPAA authorization is that it uses "plain language" that the data subject can understand. This requirement is in place to ensure that individuals who are giving consent for their health information to be used or disclosed have a clear understanding of what they are authorizing. By utilizing straightforward language, the authorization aims to make it accessible to individuals regardless of their background, education level, or familiarity with medical or legal terminology. This emphasis on plain language is crucial for ethical research practices and aligns with the fundamental principles of informed consent. It empowers individuals by giving them the knowledge necessary to make an informed decision regarding their personal health information. The other options presented do not reflect the essential characteristics of a HIPAA authorization. For instance, individuals have the right to revoke an authorization at any time, it is not solely at the discretion of the investigator, and it is permitted to combine the authorization with other documents as long as the required elements are present and clear.

10. When is IRB approval required for an investigation involving a significant risk HUD?

A. Only if the device is new

B. When no previous approvals exist

C. For an investigative study collecting data on a new indication

D. Only under specific emergency conditions

IRB approval is required for an investigation involving a significant risk Humanitarian Use Device (HUD) when the study is collecting data on a new indication or for a different purpose than those already approved. This is important because the Humanitarian Use Device designation specifically applies to devices intended to benefit patients with a rare condition, and any new indication or alteration in use must be closely monitored to ensure the safety and welfare of participants. When researchers are planning to evaluate a device for a new use or indication, it is imperative to obtain ethical oversight through IRB approval. This is to ensure that the risks are thoroughly assessed, informed consent is properly gathered, and that the study adheres to ethical standards, especially since significant risk devices can carry heightened implications for patient safety. Other scenarios, like whether the device is new or only under specific emergency conditions, do not inherently require IRB approval unless they fall into the context of a study assessing a new indication. Therefore, the emphasis on IRB involvement for investigational studies collecting data on new indications ensures that the research is performed responsibly and ethically, safeguarding the interests of the participants.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://citibiomedresearch.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!