

CITI Human Subjects Research Certification Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What phrase best captures the meaning of informed consent?**
 - A. A brief overview of the research
 - B. Providing detailed information for decision-making
 - C. A simple agreement to participate
 - D. Compulsory enrollment of participants

- 2. A waiver for documentation of informed consent may be applied when?**
 - A. The investigator lacks a storage space for consent forms.
 - B. Research questions may cause embarrassment to subjects.
 - C. The consent document is the only link between subjects and the research.
 - D. Subjects are illiterate in their native language.

- 3. Which principle is most closely associated with the fair selection of participants in research?**
 - A. Beneficence
 - B. Respect for persons
 - C. Justice
 - D. Accountability

- 4. What is “research” as defined by federal regulations?**
 - A. An informal analysis of existing knowledge
 - B. An investigation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge
 - C. A unique inquiry focused on one participant
 - D. A study that does not require IRB oversight

- 5. In assessing risk of harm for a research study, which situation exemplifies the importance of timing and context?**
 - A. Research on the prevalence of retired individuals who have been harassed in different academic disciplines
 - B. A survey with educators on their experience about implementation of a novel preschool program
 - C. A study asking women if they have completed an advanced degree and what city they were born in
 - D. A study on the efficacy of a behavioral intervention for smoking cessation that involves both adults and teenagers in the United States

- 6. What is the main focus of the Subpart D regulations regarding research with children?**
- A. All research that is more than minimal risk
 - B. All research funded by HHS
 - C. All research funded by any federal agency
 - D. All research involving children
- 7. What type of information does the researcher collect while observing bike riders at an intersection?**
- A. Public behavior
 - B. Private information
 - C. Public information
 - D. Private behavior
- 8. What is the primary responsibility of a Principal Investigator (PI) in research?**
- A. To ensure participant recruitment
 - B. To manage the research budget
 - C. To oversee the ethical conduct of the research
 - D. To design the study protocol
- 9. How do cultural considerations impact informed consent processes?**
- A. They require one standard process for all participants
 - B. They necessitate a disregard for cultural implications
 - C. They require tailoring processes to be respectful and understandable to diverse populations
 - D. They do not impact the informed consent process
- 10. What is the goal of a conflict of interest management plan?**
- A. Reduce IRB review burden when a COI is disclosed
 - B. Eliminate all COIs in research when a COI is disclosed
 - C. Address disclosure of COIs in multi-center research when a COI is disclosed
 - D. Provide procedures to minimize the risk of bias when a COI is disclosed

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. C
3. C
4. B
5. D
6. B
7. A
8. C
9. C
10. D

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What phrase best captures the meaning of informed consent?

- A. A brief overview of the research
- B. Providing detailed information for decision-making**
- C. A simple agreement to participate
- D. Compulsory enrollment of participants

Informed consent is a crucial process in human subjects research that ensures participants fully understand what their involvement entails before agreeing to participate. The phrase "providing detailed information for decision-making" encapsulates this concept because informed consent requires the researcher to present comprehensive information about the study, including its purpose, procedures, risks, benefits, and the rights of the participant. This transparency empowers individuals to make informed choices about their involvement, thus respecting their autonomy and ensuring ethical conduct in research. The other options do not capture the essential elements of informed consent. A brief overview does not provide sufficient information for participants to make an informed choice. A simple agreement to participate lacks the depth of understanding needed, as mere agreement does not equate to informed consent. Lastly, compulsory enrollment goes against the principles of informed consent, as it disregards the necessity for voluntary participation based on adequate information.

2. A waiver for documentation of informed consent may be applied when?

- A. The investigator lacks a storage space for consent forms.
- B. Research questions may cause embarrassment to subjects.
- C. The consent document is the only link between subjects and the research.**
- D. Subjects are illiterate in their native language.

A waiver for documentation of informed consent may be applied when the consent document itself serves as the only link between the subjects and the research. In such cases, maintaining anonymity or confidentiality of the participants may be paramount, and retaining a signed consent form could jeopardize that protection. Waivers in this context are intended to allow researchers to collect valuable data while safeguarding the identities and privacy of their subjects, particularly when the nature of the research is sensitive or when it could lead to possible harm or discomfort if individuals are identified. It is important to note that while other situations mentioned might raise ethical concerns, they do not meet the criteria for waiving the documentation of informed consent as set forth in regulatory guidelines. For instance, lack of storage space for consent forms or subjects' embarrassment due to the research questions do not inherently justify waiving documentation. Similarly, illiteracy may necessitate alternative methods of obtaining informed consent, such as providing oral consent or involving a neutral third party, but it does not justify waiving the documentation requirement itself. The focus is always on maintaining ethical standards in the treatment of human subjects, particularly their privacy and well-being.

3. Which principle is most closely associated with the fair selection of participants in research?

- A. Beneficence
- B. Respect for persons
- C. Justice**
- D. Accountability

The principle most closely associated with the fair selection of participants in research is justice. This principle emphasizes that the benefits and burdens of research should be distributed fairly among all groups within society. This means that no particular group should bear an unequal share of the risks of research, nor should specific groups be unfairly excluded from potential benefits. Justice ensures that disadvantaged or vulnerable populations are not exploited and receive equal access to participate in research studies. In practical terms, when designing a study, researchers must consider how participants are selected and ensure that their recruitment strategies are equitable. This involves actively working to involve diverse populations, particularly those that may have been historically marginalized or underrepresented in research.

4. What is "research" as defined by federal regulations?

- A. An informal analysis of existing knowledge
- B. An investigation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge**
- C. A unique inquiry focused on one participant
- D. A study that does not require IRB oversight

The definition of "research" as specified by federal regulations emphasizes the importance of generalizable knowledge. This implies that research is not merely a collection of data or an informal inquiry, but rather a structured investigation aimed at systematically generating findings that can be applied broadly beyond the specific circumstances of the study. The focus on contributing to generalizable knowledge means that the research outcomes should ideally inform further studies or public understanding of a particular field. This definition aligns with the regulatory framework that governs human subjects research, which ensures that studies adhere to ethical standards and promote the welfare of participants. By aiming to produce findings that have relevance and application beyond the narrow context of the research itself, this definition establishes a clear boundary around what constitutes research in order to protect participants and ensure rigor in scientific inquiry. In comparison, the other choices fall short of this comprehensive definition. An informal analysis or a unique inquiry centered on just one participant do not meet the criteria of being designed to contribute broadly to knowledge. Similarly, a study that does not require IRB oversight reflects scenarios outside the regulatory definition of research involving human subjects, thus lacking the necessary attributes of generalizable knowledge generation.

5. In assessing risk of harm for a research study, which situation exemplifies the importance of timing and context?

- A. Research on the prevalence of retired individuals who have been harassed in different academic disciplines
- B. A survey with educators on their experience about implementation of a novel preschool program
- C. A study asking women if they have completed an advanced degree and what city they were born in
- D. A study on the efficacy of a behavioral intervention for smoking cessation that involves both adults and teenagers in the United States**

The selected answer demonstrates the significance of timing and context in assessing risk of harm particularly due to the nature of the study involving both adults and teenagers in the United States. This context is critical because the developmental stage of teenagers introduces unique vulnerabilities that may not be as pronounced in adults. When conducting research that includes minors, researchers must consider the ethical implications and potential risks associated with asking about sensitive issues such as smoking cessation. This encompasses the timing of the intervention—whether it aligns with critical periods of growth, social influence, and behavioral development—and the broader social context, including societal attitudes toward smoking, which may vary with age and community background. Evaluating risk in this context necessitates a careful consideration of not just the potential physical and psychological harm from the intervention itself but also how external factors like peer influence or familial attitudes towards smoking could affect both the study's effectiveness and the participants' well-being. The timing of the intervention and the context in which data is gathered are essential in minimizing harm and ensuring ethical conduct in research. The other options, while potentially relevant in their own right, do not present the same level of complexity and variability in risk assessment as a study that involves minors and sensitive health-related behaviors.

6. What is the main focus of the Subpart D regulations regarding research with children?

- A. All research that is more than minimal risk
- B. All research funded by HHS**
- C. All research funded by any federal agency
- D. All research involving children

The primary focus of Subpart D regulations is centered on the protection of children involved in research, specifically addressing the ethical considerations and regulatory requirements that apply to such studies. These regulations are designed to provide additional safeguards for children due to their vulnerability as research subjects. The correct choice highlights that Subpart D applies to all research that is conducted or supported by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). This emphasizes the importance of ensuring that any research funded or overseen by HHS adheres to these specific protections when involving children. The regulations outline criteria for assessing risks and benefits, ensuring informed consent (or parental permission), and providing for the child's assent when possible, reflecting an enhanced ethical framework specifically for pediatric research. In contrast, the other options refer to criteria that are either broader than the specific protections of Subpart D or do not encompass the full scope of child protection regulations. For example, while minimal risk research is addressed, it does not capture the comprehensive nature of these regulations for all different risk levels involving children. Thus, the focus remains adequately on the implications of federal funding and oversight in protecting child subjects in research.

7. What type of information does the researcher collect while observing bike riders at an intersection?

- A. Public behavior**
- B. Private information
- C. Public information
- D. Private behavior

Observing bike riders at an intersection involves monitoring behaviors and actions that occur in a public setting. When individuals are engaged in activities such as riding bikes, especially in a location where they are visible to others, their actions can be considered public behavior. This type of information is typically straightforward for researchers to collect because it does not require consent in the same way that private behavior would. Public behavior can include how riders navigate the intersection, their interactions with other road users, and their adherence to traffic signals, all of which are observable without infringing on personal privacy. Private information, on the other hand, would require knowledge of something that is not accessible to the general public, and private behavior relates to actions that would not typically be visible or observable by others, making these options unsuitable for this context. Public information refers to data that is accessible and can be shared without restrictions, but in this scenario, the focus is on behavior rather than data or facts, which further supports that the correct answer relates specifically to the observable actions of bike riders in a public space.

8. What is the primary responsibility of a Principal Investigator (PI) in research?

- A. To ensure participant recruitment
- B. To manage the research budget
- C. To oversee the ethical conduct of the research**
- D. To design the study protocol

The primary responsibility of a Principal Investigator (PI) in research is to oversee the ethical conduct of the research. This entails ensuring that all aspects of the research adhere to ethical guidelines, regulations, and institutional policies designed to protect the rights and welfare of participants. The PI has a critical role in promoting and maintaining the integrity of the research process, which includes obtaining informed consent, ensuring confidentiality, and minimizing risks to participants. While recruitment of participants, managing the research budget, and designing the study protocol are all important tasks that may be part of a PI's role, these activities must be conducted within the framework of ethical considerations and compliance with regulatory standards. The PI is ultimately responsible not only for the scientific integrity of the research but also for ensuring that it is conducted in a way that respects and protects human subjects. This responsibility includes monitoring the ongoing research and making necessary adjustments when ethical concerns arise, thereby safeguarding participants throughout the study duration.

9. How do cultural considerations impact informed consent processes?

- A. They require one standard process for all participants
- B. They necessitate a disregard for cultural implications
- C. They require tailoring processes to be respectful and understandable to diverse populations**
- D. They do not impact the informed consent process

Cultural considerations significantly impact the informed consent processes by emphasizing the need to tailor consent methods to ensure they are respectful and comprehensible to participants from diverse backgrounds. In research involving human subjects, it is crucial to acknowledge and integrate participants' cultural contexts, beliefs, and values into the consent process. This means that researchers should be aware of language differences, cultural norms regarding authority and decision-making, and varying perceptions of privacy and trust. By customizing the consent process in this manner, researchers enhance participants' understanding and respect their rights, ultimately fostering a more ethical research environment. This approach not only upholds ethical standards but also promotes trust between researchers and participants, leading to better engagement and more valid data collection. The other choices do not effectively address the importance of cultural sensitivity in informed consent. For instance, advocating for a one-size-fits-all approach ignores the unique needs of different populations. Disregarding cultural implications would undermine the ethical principles of respect and understanding. Lastly, stating that cultural considerations have no impact contradicts the reality that culture shapes the way individuals perceive and respond to consent processes. Therefore, the necessity of cultural tailoring is a fundamental aspect of ethical research practices.

10. What is the goal of a conflict of interest management plan?

- A. Reduce IRB review burden when a COI is disclosed
- B. Eliminate all COIs in research when a COI is disclosed
- C. Address disclosure of COIs in multi-center research when a COI is disclosed
- D. Provide procedures to minimize the risk of bias when a COI is disclosed**

The goal of a conflict of interest management plan is to provide procedures to minimize the risk of bias when a conflict of interest (COI) is disclosed. Such management plans are essential in upholding the integrity of the research process and ensuring that the findings are credible and trustworthy. When a researcher has a potential conflict, there is a risk that their personal interests may unduly influence the research outcomes. The management plan outlines specific actions that can be taken to mitigate these risks, which may include monitoring the research process, requiring additional oversight, or informing participants about the potential conflicts. This systematic approach ensures that the research remains objective and that any findings are based on sound scientific evidence rather than personal interests. Other choices don't encapsulate the comprehensive intent of a COI management plan: reducing the IRB review burden or eliminating all COIs outright does not account for the complexities of research ethics and practical realities, and addressing disclosures in multi-center research is a limited application rather than the overarching goal of managing bias in research.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://citihumansubjectsresearch.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE