

# CDSE STEPP Personnel Security (PERSEC) for Security Professionals Practice Test (Sample)

## Study Guide



**Everything you need from our exam experts!**

**Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.**

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.**

**No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.**

**Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.**

**SAMPLE**

## **Questions**

- 1. How does training enhance personnel security?**
  - A. By improving employee morale**
  - B. By teaching compliance with security protocols**
  - C. By maximizing productivity in the workplace**
  - D. By promoting teamwork and collaboration**
- 2. How often do personnel with Secret clearances need to undergo reinvestigation?**
  - A. Every five years**
  - B. Every ten years**
  - C. Every fifteen years**
  - D. Every two years**
- 3. Who holds the ultimate responsibility for maintaining national security eligibility within an organization?**
  - A. The organization's Security Specialist**
  - B. The employee themselves**
  - C. The organization's leadership**
  - D. Human Resources department**
- 4. What information must be disclosed on the SF-86 form regarding financial matters?**
  - A. Assets and property ownership**
  - B. Income tax returns for the past three years**
  - C. Recent bankruptcy, debts, and any financial difficulties that may raise concerns**
  - D. Details about investments and savings accounts**
- 5. What is a common reason for security clearance revocation?**
  - A. Failing to maintain a security clearance renewal**
  - B. Engaging in conduct that indicates a pattern of unreliability**
  - C. Having a criminal record**
  - D. Not disclosing foreign travel**

- 6. How is adjudication best defined?**
- A. A method for training security personnel**
  - B. A review process for security policies**
  - C. A determination of eligibility for security clearance**
  - D. An evaluation of employee performance**
- 7. How can social media activities impact an individual's security clearance?**
- A. They have no impact on security clearance**
  - B. Online behavior may raise concerns about judgment, trustworthiness, or exposure to coercive influences**
  - C. They can improve chances for clearance by showcasing skills**
  - D. Only negative posts affect one's clearance**
- 8. When is an individual required to submit a "Declaration for Security Clearance"?**
- A. When they are promoted within the organization**
  - B. When there are significant changes in their status or circumstances impacting their trustworthiness**
  - C. When they transfer to a different department**
  - D. When they participate in training programs**
- 9. What types of information are evaluated during a personnel security investigation?**
- A. Only personal history and prior employment**
  - B. Personal history, financial records, criminal records, and foreign contacts**
  - C. Medical history and social media activity**
  - D. Insurance claims and credit scores**
- 10. What do John's actions represent regarding security-sensitive documents?**
- A. An unwillingness to comply with security rules**
  - B. A misunderstanding of security protocols**
  - C. A common error among employees**
  - D. A lack of training**

## **Answers**

SAMPLE

1. B
2. B
3. C
4. C
5. B
6. C
7. B
8. B
9. B
10. A

SAMPLE

## **Explanations**

SAMPLE

**1. How does training enhance personnel security?**

- A. By improving employee morale
- B. By teaching compliance with security protocols**
- C. By maximizing productivity in the workplace
- D. By promoting teamwork and collaboration

Training enhances personnel security primarily by teaching compliance with security protocols. Understanding and adhering to established security procedures is crucial for protecting sensitive information and maintaining overall security within an organization. When employees are properly trained, they learn how to identify potential security threats, respond appropriately to incidents, and adhere to regulations that govern information security. This comprehensive understanding helps to mitigate risks and ensures that employees act in ways that align with the organization's security objectives. Improving employee morale, maximizing productivity, and promoting teamwork, while beneficial to the organization as a whole, are secondary effects that might emerge from training but do not directly address the fundamental purpose of personnel security training. The central goal of such training is to ensure that personnel are knowledgeable about the security measures in place and can effectively implement them to safeguard organizational assets and information.

**2. How often do personnel with Secret clearances need to undergo reinvestigation?**

- A. Every five years
- B. Every ten years**
- C. Every fifteen years
- D. Every two years

Personnel with Secret clearances are required to undergo reinvestigation every five years. This schedule is established to ensure that individuals with access to classified information continue to meet the necessary standards of trustworthiness, reliability, and loyalty. During the reinvestigation process, various aspects of an individual's background, including personal conduct, financial status, and associations, are reviewed to identify any issues that may have arisen since the previous clearance was granted. Regular reinvestigations help mitigate security risks and maintain the integrity of the security clearance process.

**3. Who holds the ultimate responsibility for maintaining national security eligibility within an organization?**

- A. The organization's Security Specialist**
- B. The employee themselves**
- C. The organization's leadership**
- D. Human Resources department**

The ultimate responsibility for maintaining national security eligibility within an organization falls to the organization's leadership. This is because leadership sets the tone for compliance with security policies and ensures that all personnel adhere to the required standards for national security. They are responsible for establishing and enforcing security programs, guiding the organization's security posture, and making final decisions regarding eligibility and access. Leadership is also accountable for safeguarding sensitive information and ensuring that the organization meets government regulations and guidelines related to security clearances and national security eligibility. They must be informed about the risks and implications of security decisions, and they play a vital role in fostering a culture of security awareness throughout the organization. While the employee has a personal responsibility to maintain their eligibility and adhere to security protocols, and the Security Specialist and Human Resources department play supporting roles, the final authority and responsibility rests with the organization's leadership. This hierarchy emphasizes the importance of accountability at the highest levels of management for the effectiveness of personnel security programs.

**4. What information must be disclosed on the SF-86 form regarding financial matters?**

- A. Assets and property ownership**
- B. Income tax returns for the past three years**
- C. Recent bankruptcy, debts, and any financial difficulties that may raise concerns**
- D. Details about investments and savings accounts**

The correct focus regarding financial matters on the SF-86 form is centered on disclosing recent bankruptcy, debts, and any financial difficulties that may raise concerns. This is critical because financial stability is often seen as a key indicator of an individual's reliability and trustworthiness. Significant financial issues can indicate vulnerability to coercion or exploitation, which are crucial aspects to consider in personnel security assessments. By requiring this disclosure, the SF-86 aims to provide a clear picture of potential risks that could affect the individual's duties or responsibilities in positions that require a security clearance. The inclusion of bankruptcies and debts allows for a thorough evaluation of an applicant's financial condition, ensuring prospective employees do not pose security risks due to financial stress. While assets, property ownership, and details about investments and savings accounts provide additional context about an individual's financial situation, the specific emphasis on presenting challenging financial circumstances ensures that investigators can adequately assess any risks related to adverse financial conditions.

**5. What is a common reason for security clearance revocation?**

- A. Failing to maintain a security clearance renewal**
- B. Engaging in conduct that indicates a pattern of unreliability**
- C. Having a criminal record**
- D. Not disclosing foreign travel**

Engaging in conduct that indicates a pattern of unreliability is a common reason for security clearance revocation because security clearances are fundamentally about trust and reliability. Individuals holding security clearances are expected to demonstrate consistent behavior that aligns with national security interests and the expectations of their role. A pattern of unreliability can manifest in various forms, such as repeated missed deadlines, inconsistent reporting, or other behaviors that raise concerns about an individual's judgment, integrity, or ability to follow established protocols. Such conduct can lead security officials to determine that the individual poses a potential risk, undermining the trust necessary for maintaining a clearance. These behavioral patterns signal that the individual may not be able to handle classified information responsibly, which is critical in roles with access to sensitive data. While failing to maintain a security clearance renewal, having a criminal record, or not disclosing foreign travel can certainly impact security clearances, engaging in unreliable conduct directly pertains to the individual's ongoing actions and behavior, which can be seen as more indicative of their current reliability and trustworthiness. Therefore, this behavior is a strong basis for revocation when it suggests a long-term, problematic pattern rather than a singular event or situation.

**6. How is adjudication best defined?**

- A. A method for training security personnel**
- B. A review process for security policies**
- C. A determination of eligibility for security clearance**
- D. An evaluation of employee performance**

Adjudication is best defined as a determination of eligibility for security clearance. This process involves evaluating an individual's background and personal history to assess whether they meet the necessary criteria to hold a security clearance. This determination takes into account various factors, such as criminal history, financial stability, foreign contacts, and overall trustworthiness. The goal of adjudication is to ensure that individuals given access to classified information or secure facilities are reliable, loyal, and not a security risk. In the context of personnel security, adjudication is a crucial step following the investigative phase, where relevant information is collected and reviewed. It culminates in a decision that impacts whether an individual can be trusted with sensitive information, thereby playing a vital role in maintaining national security and organizational integrity. This process ensures that only those who pass the rigorous standards set forth by security protocols are granted the privilege of access to classified materials.

**7. How can social media activities impact an individual's security clearance?**

- A. They have no impact on security clearance
- B. Online behavior may raise concerns about judgment, trustworthiness, or exposure to coercive influences**
- C. They can improve chances for clearance by showcasing skills
- D. Only negative posts affect one's clearance

The impact of social media activities on an individual's security clearance is significant because online behavior can provide insights into a person's character and reliability. Option B highlights the potential risks associated with online conduct. Security clearance determinations are based heavily on an individual's judgment, trustworthiness, and overall behavior, which can be reflected in their social media presence. When individuals post information that could be deemed unprofessional, controversial, or suggestive of poor decision-making, it raises concerns for security personnel evaluating their eligibility for clearance. This is particularly important in the context of national security, where individuals must be reliable and free from susceptibilities that could lead them to be coerced or manipulated. Therefore, social media scrutiny forms an integral part of the risk assessment process, which is why the impact of online behavior is a legitimate factor in security clearance evaluations. Other options do not correctly capture the nuanced relationship between social media and security clearances. The assertion that social media has no impact disregards the reality of modern security assessments. While showcasing skills through online platforms might seem beneficial, it does not directly contribute to security clearance and can even backfire if not managed carefully. Lastly, the notion that only negative posts affect a clearance oversimplifies the issue, as any behavior that could raise doubts

**8. When is an individual required to submit a "Declaration for Security Clearance"?**

- A. When they are promoted within the organization
- B. When there are significant changes in their status or circumstances impacting their trustworthiness**
- C. When they transfer to a different department
- D. When they participate in training programs

An individual is required to submit a "Declaration for Security Clearance" when there are significant changes in their status or circumstances that could impact their trustworthiness. This includes situations such as changes in personal circumstances, legal issues, financial difficulties, or other relevant factors that may affect a person's ability to maintain the integrity required for a security clearance. Reporting these changes is crucial for ensuring ongoing compliance with security protocols and for reassessing the individual's suitability for access to classified information. Significant changes can include things like a change in marital status, newly incurred debt that raises red flags, or any legal issues that may arise. Regular updates to security clearances help to maintain the integrity of the security process and ensure that individuals who have access to sensitive information continue to meet the necessary standards of trustworthiness. In contrast, promotion within the organization, transfer to a different department, or participation in training programs, while significant in an individual's career development, do not inherently require a reevaluation of one's trustworthiness or security clearance. These scenarios do not necessarily reflect changes in personal circumstances that would affect the individual's qualifications for holding a security clearance.

**9. What types of information are evaluated during a personnel security investigation?**

- A. Only personal history and prior employment**
- B. Personal history, financial records, criminal records, and foreign contacts**
- C. Medical history and social media activity**
- D. Insurance claims and credit scores**

The correct response identifies that personnel security investigations evaluate a comprehensive range of information essential for determining an individual's eligibility for access to classified information. This includes personal history, which encompasses details such as previous employment, residence history, and relationships, all vital for understanding the individual's background. Additionally, financial records are scrutinized to assess fiscal responsibility and potential vulnerabilities to coercion or bribery. Criminal records provide insights into any legal issues that might indicate a disregard for the law or a risk to national security. Finally, assessing foreign contacts is crucial as it helps identify possible ties that could lead to conflicts of interest or security breaches. This multifaceted approach is designed to ensure that individuals with sensitive responsibilities are thoroughly vetted to protect national security. Other options presented do not encompass the breadth of information typically evaluated, focusing instead on narrower aspects that do not provide a holistic view of an individual's reliability or trustworthiness.

**10. What do John's actions represent regarding security-sensitive documents?**

- A. An unwillingness to comply with security rules**
- B. A misunderstanding of security protocols**
- C. A common error among employees**
- D. A lack of training**

John's actions represent an unwillingness to comply with security rules, indicating a conscious choice to disregard established protocols that govern the handling of security-sensitive documents. Such actions undermine the integrity of security measures put in place to protect sensitive information, and illustrate a critical breach in the responsibility that employees have to adhere to security guidelines. This interpretation emphasizes the importance of recognizing that adherence to security protocols is not just a matter of understanding; it also reflects an obligation to follow those protocols to ensure the safety and confidentiality of sensitive materials. When employees do not comply with these regulations, it raises significant concerns about their commitment to organizational security and the potential risks they pose not only to themselves but also to the organization as a whole. Understanding this concept is crucial, as it helps highlight the distinction between a failure to understand protocols and a deliberate choice to ignore them, which can be significantly more damaging.