Arthrex Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (TSA) IOT Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.



Questions



- 1. Why may implant loosening occur post-TSA?
 - A. Inadequate surgical technique or poor component fit
 - B. Due to excessive physical therapy
 - C. Patients forgetting to follow-up
 - D. Prosthesis being too heavy
- 2. What condition can lead to changes in the four main glenoid morphological considerations?
 - A. Fracture
 - **B.** Arthritis
 - C. Dislocation
 - D. Overuse
- 3. What is the primary goal of the TSA stem?
 - A. To facilitate easier surgeries
 - B. To provide anatomic humeral head replacement
 - C. To reduce the weight of the implant
 - D. To improve skin closure techniques
- 4. What role does CT or MRI imaging play in the TSA evaluation process?
 - A. It plays no significant role
 - B. It assists in determining implant color
 - C. It aids in surgical planning with detailed views
 - D. It complicates the evaluation process
- 5. What anatomical feature provides stability for the glenoid poly in TSA procedures?
 - A. Subchondral bone
 - **B.** Serratus muscle
 - C. Scapula cartilage
 - D. Acromion process

- 6. Which glenoid morphological consideration refers to how steeply the glenoid is oriented?
 - A. Depth
 - **B.** Version
 - C. Inclination
 - **D.** Position
- 7. What is the defining feature of Walch Classification A2?
 - A. Glenoid completely intact
 - B. Humeral head is eccentric
 - C. Major glenoid erosion
 - D. No erosion detected
- 8. All of the following are requirements for SCR except:
 - A. Symptomatic large, irreparable supra or supra and infra RTC tears
 - B. Irreparable subscap tear
 - C. Functional deltoid and trapezius
 - D. Minimal to no glenohumeral arthritis
- 9. How does patient age influence the candidacy for TSA?
 - A. It affects the surgical team's experience
 - B. It primarily influences anesthesia options
 - C. It may affect implant durability and activity level
 - D. It has no impact on surgical outcomes
- 10. What are the four main glenoid morphological considerations?
 - A. Depth, version, inclination, anatomic position
 - B. Shape, size, version, inclination
 - C. Depth, size, position, version
 - D. Depth, width, height, position

Answers



- 1. A 2. B 3. B 4. C 5. A 6. C 7. C 8. B 9. C 10. A



Explanations



1. Why may implant loosening occur post-TSA?

- A. Inadequate surgical technique or poor component fit
- B. Due to excessive physical therapy
- C. Patients forgetting to follow-up
- D. Prosthesis being too heavy

Implant loosening after Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (TSA) is often attributed to inadequate surgical technique or poor component fit. The surgical technique plays a crucial role in the initial fixation of the implant. If the components are not positioned correctly or if the bone preparation is inadequate, it can result in an unstable interface between the implant and the bone, leading to loosening over time. A proper fit is essential for optimal load distribution and stability, and any mismatch can increase the risk of micromotion, which contributes to osteolysis and eventual loosening. Other factors, such as physical therapy intensity, patient compliance with follow-ups, and the weight of the prosthesis, are less directly related to the structural integrity and fixation of the implant within the bone. While inappropriate physical therapy may put undue stress on the shoulder, it is not a primary cause of implant loosening compared to the foundational issues related to surgical precision and component fitting. Similarly, while follow-up care is important for monitoring and addressing issues, forgetting follow-ups does not inherently cause loosening; it may just lead to a lack of timely intervention. Lastly, the weight of the prosthesis is typically designed with the anatomical and functional concerns in mind, meaning it is less likely to negatively affect

2. What condition can lead to changes in the four main glenoid morphological considerations?

- A. Fracture
- **B.** Arthritis
- C. Dislocation
- D. Overuse

The condition that can lead to changes in the four main glenoid morphological considerations is arthritis. Arthritis refers to the inflammation of the joints and can significantly affect the glenoid cavity, which is the socket of the shoulder joint. In cases of arthritis, degenerative changes can occur in the bone and cartilage, leading to alterations in the shape and contour of the glenoid. This can result in changes such as flattening, erosion, or wear of the cartilage and bone structures, impacting the stability and function of the shoulder joint. When evaluating glenoid morphology, it's essential to consider these alterations because they can directly influence surgical planning and the type of prosthesis used in procedures like total shoulder arthroplasty. Understanding the impact of arthritis on the glenoid structure helps surgeons better assess the condition of the joint and make informed decisions about treatment options, including the choice of implant that will provide the best outcomes for the patient.

3. What is the primary goal of the TSA stem?

- A. To facilitate easier surgeries
- B. To provide anatomic humeral head replacement
- C. To reduce the weight of the implant
- D. To improve skin closure techniques

The primary goal of the TSA stem is to provide anatomic humeral head replacement. This is essential in total shoulder arthroplasty, as the procedure aims to restore the natural biomechanics of the shoulder joint. The anatomic design of the stem allows for proper alignment and positioning of the humeral head, which is critical for optimal function and range of motion post-surgery. Achieving an anatomic fit helps to ensure better joint stability and enhances the overall outcomes of the procedure, allowing patients to regain shoulder function effectively. Other considerations, such as facilitating easier surgeries, reducing the weight of the implant, and improving skin closure techniques, are certainly important in the overall surgical process or implant design. However, they do not encapsulate the primary intent of the TSA stem, which is centered around replicating the native anatomy to promote better functional restoration of the shoulder joint.

4. What role does CT or MRI imaging play in the TSA evaluation process?

- A. It plays no significant role
- B. It assists in determining implant color
- C. It aids in surgical planning with detailed views
- D. It complicates the evaluation process

CT or MRI imaging plays a critical role in the evaluation process for Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (TSA) by providing detailed and highly accurate views of the shoulder joint and surrounding structures. This imaging is essential for assessing the extent of any degenerative changes, bone quality, and the condition of the rotator cuff, among other anatomical features. Having a three-dimensional perspective from a CT scan or the soft tissue contrast from an MRI allows surgeons to visualize the joint's bone anatomy and soft tissue structures meticulously. This information is invaluable for pre-operative planning, enabling tailored surgical approaches that enhance the chances of a successful outcome. By understanding the specific anatomical variations and potential challenges that may arise during surgery, the surgical team can prepare appropriately, select the right implant size and type, and anticipate any complications that might occur during the procedure. In contrast, options like determining implant color or complicating the evaluation process do not align with the significant benefits that imaging offers in surgical planning and patient care. Therefore, utilizing CT or MRI imaging is pivotal for a comprehensive evaluation and effective planning for TSA.

5. What anatomical feature provides stability for the glenoid poly in TSA procedures?

- A. Subchondral bone
- **B.** Serratus muscle
- C. Scapula cartilage
- D. Acromion process

The anatomical feature that provides stability for the glenoid poly in total shoulder arthroplasty (TSA) procedures is the subchondral bone. In TSA, the glenoid component is typically seated into the subchondral bone of the glenoid cavity, which is the layer of bone just beneath the cartilage. This subchondral bone offers a solid foundation and optimal fit for the prosthesis, which is crucial for the proper function and longevity of the implant. The stability provided by this bony structure helps to resist micromotion and wear of the glenoid component during shoulder movements, improving the overall outcomes of the surgery. Other features such as the serratus muscle, scapula cartilage, and acromion process, while important to shoulder anatomy and function, do not directly provide the same level of structural support for the glenoid poly as the subchondral bone does. The design and placement of the glenoid component rely heavily on the integrity and condition of the subchondral bone to ensure that the joint functions properly and to minimize the risks of complications post-surgery.

6. Which glenoid morphological consideration refers to how steeply the glenoid is oriented?

- A. Depth
- **B.** Version
- C. Inclination
- D. Position

Inclination refers specifically to the angle at which the glenoid is oriented in relation to the scapula. This morphological consideration is crucial in total shoulder arthroplasty, as the inclination of the glenoid can affect the range of motion and overall stability of the shoulder joint post-surgery. A proper understanding of glenoid inclination helps in selecting the appropriate implant and surgical technique, aiming to improve surgical outcomes and reduce complications. Depth, version, and position are related concepts but focus on other aspects of glenoid morphology. Depth refers to how deep the glenoid cavity is, version pertains to the anterior or posterior tilt of the glenoid in relation to the body's midline, and position typically refers to the location of the glenoid relative to anatomical landmarks. While these factors are all important in shoulder anatomy and surgery, inclination specifically addresses the steepness of the glenoid's orientation, making it the correct consideration in this context.

7. What is the defining feature of Walch Classification A2?

- A. Glenoid completely intact
- B. Humeral head is eccentric
- C. Major glenoid erosion
- D. No erosion detected

The defining feature of Walch Classification A2 is major glenoid erosion. In this classification system, A2 specifically refers to a type of glenoid morphology where there is significant erosion of the glenoid surface, particularly in the posterior quadrant. This erosion can affect the stability of the shoulder joint and influence surgical planning for total shoulder arthroplasty. In the context of shoulder arthroplasty, understanding the degree and location of glenoid wear is crucial, as it can dictate the choice of implant and the surgical technique employed. Major glenoid erosion, as noted in A2, indicates that the existing joint surfaces are not congruent, which can lead to complications if not addressed appropriately during surgery. The other options involve scenarios that do not accurately describe the A2 classification. For instance, a completely intact glenoid would characterize a different classification category. An eccentric humeral head suggests a different pattern of wear or misalignment rather than erosion of the glenoid itself. Lastly, no erosion detected would clearly not be consistent with the A2 classification, which explicitly denotes a scenario of significant erosion. Therefore, identifying major glenoid erosion as the hallmark of the A2 classification aids in understanding the structural integrity of the shoulder in relation to arthroplasty interventions

8. All of the following are requirements for SCR except:

- A. Symptomatic large, irreparable supra or supra and infra RTC tears
- B. Irreparable subscap tear
- C. Functional deltoid and trapezius
- D. Minimal to no glenohumeral arthritis

The correct answer highlights that an irreparable subscapularis tear is not a requirement for Superior Capsular Reconstruction (SCR). SCR is primarily indicated for patients with large, irreparable rotator cuff tears that specifically affect the supraspinatus or both supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles. The subscapularis is a different component of the rotator cuff and its condition does not directly fall under the criteria for SCR, which is designed to address superior capsular deficiencies in the presence of intact or functional anterior structures. The other requirements focus on ensuring suitable conditions for SCR to be effective. For example, having a functional deltoid and trapezius is crucial, as these muscles are necessary for shoulder movements that compensate for the loss of the rotator cuff functions. Similarly, the presence of minimal to no glenohumeral arthritis is essential, as significant arthritis could complicate the surgical outcome and impact the overall functionality of the shoulder post-operatively.

9. How does patient age influence the candidacy for TSA?

- A. It affects the surgical team's experience
- B. It primarily influences anesthesia options
- C. It may affect implant durability and activity level
- D. It has no impact on surgical outcomes

Patient age is a significant factor in determining the candidacy for Total Shoulder Arthroplasty (TSA) because it directly relates to both implant durability and the patient's expected activity level post-surgery. Older patients may have different expectations regarding their shoulder function and levels of physical activity compared to younger patients. As patients age, they may also have a higher likelihood of comorbidities that can influence their recovery and the overall success of the surgery. For instance, older patients may be more prone to conditions like osteoporosis, which can affect bone quality and, consequently, the longevity of the implant. Additionally, younger patients, likely being more active, might require a more robust implant designed to withstand higher loads over time, thereby influencing the choice of prosthetic components during TSA. Therefore, understanding how age influences these factors is crucial for the surgical team when determining treatment plans and expected outcomes for patients undergoing TSA. This insight helps ensure that the chosen implant aligns with the patient's lifestyle needs and functional goals, optimizing the likelihood of a successful surgical outcome.

10. What are the four main glenoid morphological considerations?

- A. Depth, version, inclination, anatomic position
- B. Shape, size, version, inclination
- C. Depth, size, position, version
- D. Depth, width, height, position

The four main glenoid morphological considerations are depth, version, inclination, and anatomic position. Each of these factors plays a critical role in the evaluation and planning of total shoulder arthroplasty. Depth refers to the concavity of the glenoid, which influences the stability and articulation of the shoulder joint. Version relates to the orientation of the glenoid in the sagittal plane, which can affect the mechanics of shoulder movement and the alignment of prosthetic components. Inclination describes the tilt of the glenoid in the coronal plane, impacting the joint's biomechanics and stability. Lastly, anatomic position encompasses the overall spatial orientation of the glenoid in relation to the surrounding anatomy, which is important for proper alignment and function post-surgery. These considerations are essential for orthopedic surgeons to assess before performing a total shoulder arthroplasty, as they ensure that the chosen implant will provide optimal function and longevity. Other choices may list important morphological factors but do not encompass the complete set crucial for glenoid assessment in this context.