

American Board of Orthodontics (ABO) Practice Exam (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Questions

- 1. How is strength defined in the context of orthodontic materials?**
 - A. Strength = stiffness + range**
 - B. Strength = stiffness x range**
 - C. Strength = stiffness - range**
 - D. Strength = range / stiffness**
- 2. What is the most common protein that plasma calcium is bound to?**
 - A. Globulin**
 - B. Albumin**
 - C. Fibrinogen**
 - D. Collagen**
- 3. How much ridge loss occurs after 4 years if a canine erupts mesially and is subsequently distalized?**
 - A. 0%**
 - B. 1%**
 - C. 5%**
 - D. 10%**
- 4. If occlusion is optimal but there is insufficient space for a lateral incisor implant, what is the recommended approach?**
 - A. Place a larger implant**
 - B. Remove adjacent teeth**
 - C. IPR adjacent teeth**
 - D. Shift the occlusion**
- 5. What factor heavily influences the buccal corridor according to Sarver?**
 - A. AP position of the maxilla**
 - B. Maxillary tooth alignment**
 - C. Mandibular arch width**
 - D. Facial aesthetics**

- 6. What is the classification of adult palatal bone density according to Han?**
- A. D1 (1000-1500 HU)**
 - B. D2 (850-1250 HU)**
 - C. D3 (476-743 HU)**
 - D. D4 (250-475 HU)**
- 7. According to Kokich, how should the brackets be placed during orthodontic treatment?**
- A. Based primarily on aesthetic considerations**
 - B. In accordance with the occlusal plane**
 - C. Relative to the height of the gingival margin**
 - D. Fixed regardless of bone changes**
- 8. Which of the following artifacts can occur with restorations in CBCT imaging?**
- A. Image noise**
 - B. Distortion**
 - C. Streaking**
 - D. Clarity enhancement**
- 9. What imaging technique is recognized as the gold standard for evaluating bony structures of the TMJ?**
- A. Arthrography**
 - B. CT/Tomography**
 - C. MRI**
 - D. X-ray**
- 10. What percentage of cases showed evidence of external root resorption before treatment?**
- A. 5%**
 - B. 15%**
 - C. 30%**
 - D. 50%**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. B
3. B
4. C
5. A
6. B
7. C
8. C
9. B
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. How is strength defined in the context of orthodontic materials?

- A. Strength = stiffness + range
- B. Strength = stiffness x range**
- C. Strength = stiffness - range
- D. Strength = range / stiffness

In the context of orthodontic materials, strength is a vital property that determines how well a material can withstand forces without failing. The correct relationship defines strength in terms of stiffness and range. Stiffness reflects the resistance of a material to deformation under an applied load, while range pertains to the extent to which a material can be deformed elastically before it yields or breaks. Therefore, strength, as calculated by the product of stiffness and range, indicates that stronger materials can bear greater loads and sustain more significant deformations. This relationship illustrates that both the stiffness of the material and its ability to undergo deformation contribute cumulatively to its overall strength. Thus, a higher stiffness - meaning the material resists deformation more - combined with a greater range - meaning it can be deformed more before breaking - results in significantly enhanced strength. This foundational understanding is crucial for orthodontists when selecting materials for braces and other appliances, ensuring they can effectively apply the necessary forces for tooth movement while maintaining durability and function.

2. What is the most common protein that plasma calcium is bound to?

- A. Globulin
- B. Albumin**
- C. Fibrinogen
- D. Collagen

Albumin is the most common protein that plasma calcium is bound to because it functions as a major carrier protein in the bloodstream. Calcium, which is vital for many physiological processes including muscle contraction, neurotransmitter release, and blood clotting, is predominantly found in its ionized form. However, a significant portion circulates in a bound state to prevent fluctuations that could disrupt homeostasis. Albumin, in particular, has a high binding capacity for calcium ions due to its structure and the presence of specific binding sites. By binding to albumin, calcium is more efficiently transported in the bloodstream and maintained at appropriate levels, ensuring that physiological functions relying on calcium can occur without disruption. Other proteins such as globulin, fibrinogen, and collagen do not play a primary role in the binding of plasma calcium. Globulins can bind other substances like hormones and vitamins but are not the main carriers of calcium. Fibrinogen is mainly involved in blood clotting, and collagen is primarily a structural protein providing support and strength to tissues, not a transporter of calcium in plasma. Thus, albumin's role as the dominant protein for calcium binding is critical for maintaining calcium homeostasis in the body.

3. How much ridge loss occurs after 4 years if a canine erupts mesially and is subsequently distalized?

- A. 0%
- B. 1%**
- C. 5%
- D. 10%

When a canine erupts mesially and is then distalized, the impact on the surrounding bone can be significant. Ridge loss refers to the resorption of alveolar bone that can occur during orthodontic treatment, particularly in response to the movement of teeth. Studies have shown that during the mesial eruption phase of a canine, the adjacent alveolar bone may undergo changes due to the forces acting on it. After a duration of 4 years, if the canine has been successfully distalized back into alignment, a small amount of ridge loss may be expected. In this context, evidence suggests that around 1% of ridge loss may occur as a result of the orthodontic movements involved. This percentage is consistent with the biological responses observed during tooth movement and the remodeling of bone that accompanies these movements. The understanding of ridge loss is important in orthodontics as it informs practitioners about potential complications in long-term tooth stability and the integrity of the supporting structures. Furthermore, the lower percentage indicates that while ridge loss is a concern, it may be manageable with proper treatment planning and techniques that minimize excessive forces on the alveolar bone.

4. If occlusion is optimal but there is insufficient space for a lateral incisor implant, what is the recommended approach?

- A. Place a larger implant
- B. Remove adjacent teeth
- C. IPR adjacent teeth**
- D. Shift the occlusion

When optimal occlusion is present but there is insufficient space for a lateral incisor implant, the recommended approach involves interproximal reduction (IPR) of the adjacent teeth. This technique allows for the necessary space to be created without compromising the overall alignment and function of the dental arch. By performing IPR, orthodontists can subtly reduce the width of the adjacent teeth, thereby creating sufficient space for the lateral incisor implant to be placed correctly and with the right angulation. This method preserves the integrity of the adjacent teeth while maintaining the occlusal relationship, thus ensuring that no significant alterations are made to the bite. Choosing to place a larger implant might lead to complications related to surrounding structures or aesthetic outcomes and would not address the underlying issue of space. Removing adjacent teeth could disrupt the dental arch's balance and result in further complications. Shifting the occlusion could potentially cause changes in the way the teeth contact and function, which may not be desirable. Therefore, opting for IPR is a conservative and effective strategy that balances the need for space with the desire to retain optimal occlusal relationships.

5. What factor heavily influences the buccal corridor according to Sarver?

- A. AP position of the maxilla**
- B. Maxillary tooth alignment**
- C. Mandibular arch width**
- D. Facial aesthetics**

The choice that emphasizes the importance of the anteroposterior (AP) position of the maxilla in influencing the buccal corridor is rooted in the understanding of facial proportions and dental aesthetics. The buccal corridor refers to the space between the posterior teeth and the cheeks when a person smiles. Sarver's research illustrates that the positioning of the maxilla plays a crucial role in how wide or narrow these corridors appear. When the maxilla is positioned more anteriorly, it can create wider buccal corridors, contributing to a more aesthetically pleasing smile. Conversely, a posteriorly positioned maxilla may lead to a narrower corridor, which can affect the overall facial balance and smile aesthetics. Thus, the AP position of the maxilla fundamentally shapes the parameters within which other dental and facial elements operate, establishing the context in which the buccal corridor is perceived. In contrast, while maxillary tooth alignment, mandibular arch width, and facial aesthetics are relevant factors in orthodontics, they do not carry the same weight as the maxilla's position concerning the specific influence on the buccal corridor, as described by Sarver. These other factors may contribute to the overall smile but are secondary to the foundational impact of the maxilla's position.

6. What is the classification of adult palatal bone density according to Han?

- A. D1 (1000-1500 HU)**
- B. D2 (850-1250 HU)**
- C. D3 (476-743 HU)**
- D. D4 (250-475 HU)**

The classification of adult palatal bone density according to Han categorizes the density levels of bone into four distinct types based on Hounsfield Units (HU). Type D2, which corresponds to a range of 850-1250 HU, represents a moderately dense bone where there is sufficient mineral content to provide structural support while still being amenable to procedures such as implants or orthodontic anchorage. This classification is particularly relevant in orthodontics, as understanding bone density can significantly influence treatment planning and outcomes. In this range, the bone typically exhibits adequate strength for various dental interventions as well as a capacity for healing and adaptation. In contrast, other classifications represent different density levels that either indicate less dense bone, like Type D3 and D4, which would be less suitable for certain dental procedures, or more dense types, such as D1, indicating very high mineral content and potentially indicating challenges in treatment approaches like implant placement. Thus, the recognition of D2 as a classification for palatal bone density accentuates its clinical relevance in orthodontic treatment strategies.

7. According to Kokich, how should the brackets be placed during orthodontic treatment?

- A. Based primarily on aesthetic considerations**
- B. In accordance with the occlusal plane**
- C. Relative to the height of the gingival margin**
- D. Fixed regardless of bone changes**

The placement of brackets during orthodontic treatment, as suggested by Kokich, is closely related to the height of the gingival margin. This principle emphasizes the importance of aligning dental aesthetics with periodontal health. By positioning brackets in relation to the gingival margin, orthodontists are able to achieve a more harmonious smile that considers both the tooth position and the surrounding gum tissues. When brackets are aligned to the gingival margin, this approach assists in anticipating how the teeth will move during treatment while ensuring that aesthetic outcomes are optimized without compromising periodontal health. Aesthetics must be balanced with functional and periodontal implications, making this positioning strategy particularly important in treatment planning. Understanding this concept highlights the importance of integrating the clinical aspects of orthodontics with aesthetic goals, ensuring that the final result not only looks good but also supports the health of the gingival tissues.

8. Which of the following artifacts can occur with restorations in CBCT imaging?

- A. Image noise**
- B. Distortion**
- C. Streaking**
- D. Clarity enhancement**

Streaking is an artifact that can occur in cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging, particularly when there are restorations present in the area being imaged. This artifact arises from the way the CBCT system processes signals from the X-ray beam as it passes through different densities of materials, such as enamel, dentin, and any restorative materials. When the restorative material has a significantly different radiographic density compared to the surrounding tissues, it can lead to irregularities in the image, creating streaks or lines. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced when high-density restorations, such as metal-based crowns or fillings, are present. The differences in attenuation of the X-ray beam cause artifacts that can obscure or distort the true anatomical structures in the imaging results. Understanding this artifact is crucial for accurate interpretation of CBCT images in the context of orthodontics and for diagnosing potential issues related to restorations. Other potential artifacts like image noise and distortion may be present in CBCT imaging, but they are less specific to restorations themselves. Clarity enhancement is not an artifact but rather a technique used to improve image quality, and does not relate directly to the issues introduced by restorative materials.

9. What imaging technique is recognized as the gold standard for evaluating bony structures of the TMJ?

A. Arthrography

B. CT/Tomography

C. MRI

D. X-ray

CT (Computed Tomography) is recognized as the gold standard for evaluating the bony structures of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) because it provides high-resolution images that clearly demonstrate bone morphology and any potential pathological changes. CT scans can visualize the intricate details of bony anatomy, including the condyle, glenoid fossa, and other critical structures, which is essential for diagnosing conditions such as osteoarthritis, fractures, or other bony anomalies that may not be adequately assessed by other imaging modalities. While MRI is excellent for evaluating soft tissues, such as ligaments and cartilage, it does not deliver the same clarity or detail when it comes to bony structures. X-rays offer limited information and may not properly visualize the TMJ's complex anatomy. Though arthrography can provide some details, it primarily focuses on joint spaces and soft tissue rather than giving a comprehensive evaluation of bony structures. Thus, CT remains the preferred imaging technique for accurate assessment in clinical settings.

10. What percentage of cases showed evidence of external root resorption before treatment?

A. 5%

B. 15%

C. 30%

D. 50%

The assertion that 15% of cases showed evidence of external root resorption before treatment reflects findings from studies that investigate the prevalence of this condition in orthodontic patients. External root resorption is a common concern in orthodontics, often resulting from the forces applied during tooth movement. Data indicates that external root resorption can be observed in a minority of patients prior to any orthodontic intervention. A figure of 15% is suggestive of an established understanding within the field that, while root resorption is possible, it typically does not affect a large proportion of the population. This statistic is important for practitioners to consider for prognosis and treatment planning. Understanding the prevalence can help in taking proactive measures in monitoring and managing patients who may be susceptible to root resorption due to pre-existing conditions or other factors. Higher percentages would imply a greater prevalence of root resorption, which has not been supported by the literature. Thus, the figure of 15% stands as a credible representation of the incidence of external root resorption before treatment starts.