

Academic Games Propaganda Section F Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. What is the main topic of the reporter's question to the football coach?**
 - A. About a player being injured
 - B. Who gave the order to hit
 - C. The coach's strategy for the game
 - D. The performance of the quarterback

- 2. What reasoning fallacy involves denying a claim based solely on a lack of evidence to the contrary?**
 - A. Disproving a Minor Point
 - B. Appeal to Ignorance
 - C. No Technique
 - D. Ad Hominem

- 3. What concept is illustrated when someone suggests that low defense spending negates a candidate's conservatism?**
 - A. Attacking a straw man.
 - B. Victory by definition.
 - C. Inconsequent argument.
 - D. Ad hominem.

- 4. How does a "No Technique" fallacy typically present itself in a discussion?**
 - A. By avoiding any stance on an issue
 - B. By adhering to established evidence and fact
 - C. By providing irrelevant information
 - D. By remaining focused on the topic

- 5. What tactic does the speaker employ to divert attention from a binding contract?**
 - A. Redirection to a different location
 - B. Questioning the wording of the contract
 - C. Asking about a previous event
 - D. Claiming ignorance of the contract

- 6. What does the individual claim with respect to tax obligations?**
- A. They owe a lower amount than expected
 - B. They do not owe any tax due to religious beliefs
 - C. They have overpaid their taxes
 - D. They request a tax exemption for educational purposes
- 7. What is the primary emotional appeal made by the advertisement for the Blue Dart ocean cruiser?**
- A. Leading Question
 - B. Diversion
 - C. Victory by Definition
 - D. Attacking a Straw Man
- 8. What argument is made regarding Hamilton County's impoverishment?**
- A. It should not receive a grant
 - B. Its poverty level is not accurately represented
 - C. It has no affluent areas
 - D. It is drastically underfunded
- 9. In a discussion about taxes and war, which rhetorical technique is used when the speaker questions the sincerity of the president's statements?**
- A. Diversion
 - B. Leading Question
 - C. Disproving a Minor Point
 - D. Inconsequent Argument
- 10. What logical fallacy is demonstrated in the statement about the substance being inflammable?**
- A. Begging the question
 - B. False dilemma
 - C. Appeal to authority
 - D. Ad hominem

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. B
3. B
4. A
5. A
6. B
7. A
8. A
9. C
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What is the main topic of the reporter's question to the football coach?

- A. About a player being injured
- B. Who gave the order to hit**
- C. The coach's strategy for the game
- D. The performance of the quarterback

The reporter's question primarily addresses the issue of accountability and responsibility following a specific incident during a game, which is captured by the inquiry about who gave the order to hit. This focuses on the actions that may be perceived as aggressive or intentional, suggesting a concern about player safety and sportsmanship within the context of the game. This line of questioning is critical, especially in instances where player conduct may lead to injury or controversy, as it seeks to clarify the coach's role in directing players' behavior. In this context, the emphasis is on the broader implications of coaching decisions, including ethical considerations and the culture of the team. While aspects such as player injuries, game strategy, and quarterback performance are relevant topics in sports reporting, they do not delve as deeply into the issue of accountability and decision-making as the question concerning the order to hit does. This highlights the reporter's intent to illuminate potential misconduct and the responsibilities of the coaching staff in maintaining integrity within the sport.

2. What reasoning fallacy involves denying a claim based solely on a lack of evidence to the contrary?

- A. Disproving a Minor Point
- B. Appeal to Ignorance**
- C. No Technique
- D. Ad Hominem

The reasoning fallacy that involves denying a claim solely based on a lack of evidence to the contrary is known as an Appeal to Ignorance. This fallacy occurs when someone argues that a proposition is true because it hasn't been proven false or vice versa. Essentially, it shifts the burden of proof onto the other party, suggesting that if they cannot provide evidence against a claim, the claim must stand as true. This line of reasoning is problematic because it overlooks the possibility that the lack of evidence does not necessarily confirm the truth or falsehood of a statement. Instead, it ignores the nuances of evidence and may lead to incorrect conclusions based on insufficient grounds. Therefore, the Appeal to Ignorance misdirects the conversation, focusing on evidence rather than the validity of the claim itself. This fallacy illustrates a misunderstanding of how proof and knowledge function, making it an important concept to recognize in critical thinking and argumentation.

3. What concept is illustrated when someone suggests that low defense spending negates a candidate's conservatism?

- A. Attacking a straw man.
- B. Victory by definition.**
- C. Inconsequent argument.
- D. Ad hominem.

The correct answer is rooted in the concept of "victory by definition." This approach involves redefining what it means to hold a particular belief or value in order to claim that someone does not adhere to that belief based on their actions. In this case, the assertion that low defense spending negates a candidate's conservatism implies that conservatism inherently includes high defense spending. By framing conservatism in this narrow way, one can dismiss or undermine a candidate's conservative status without addressing their broader beliefs or policies. This method highlights the reliance on specific definitions to achieve a rhetorical advantage rather than engaging with the complexities of the candidate's positions. It shifts the focus from the candidate's overall ideology to a singular aspect, allowing critics to claim victory over the candidate's conservative credentials with this redefinition.

4. How does a "No Technique" fallacy typically present itself in a discussion?

- A. By avoiding any stance on an issue**
- B. By adhering to established evidence and fact
- C. By providing irrelevant information
- D. By remaining focused on the topic

The "No Technique" fallacy is characterized by the failure to engage with an argument or topic meaningfully. It presents itself in discussions when someone avoids taking a clear stance on the issue at hand, often leading to ambiguity and confusion. This lack of position does not contribute to the discourse or progress the conversation; instead, it leaves the discussion stagnant. The essential aspect of this fallacy lies in its non-committal nature, which hinders productive dialogue and critical thinking. In contrast, adhering to established evidence and fact, providing relevant information, or remaining focused on the topic all contribute positively to a discussion by building arguments, clarifying points, and facilitating understanding. Thus, these characteristics do not align with the presentation of a "No Technique" fallacy.

5. What tactic does the speaker employ to divert attention from a binding contract?

- A. Redirection to a different location**
- B. Questioning the wording of the contract
- C. Asking about a previous event
- D. Claiming ignorance of the contract

The tactic of redirection to a different location is effective for diverting attention from a binding contract because it shifts the focus from the terms and implications of the contract to another subject entirely. By changing the topic or moving the conversation to a different physical or conceptual space, the speaker can evade discussing the specific obligations or agreements outlined in the contract. This strategy can create confusion or distract the audience, making it harder for them to hold the speaker accountable for the details of the contract. In contrast, questioning the wording of the contract would directly engage with its content, asking for clarification or challenging its validity, which does not successfully divert attention. Asking about a previous event similarly draws focus back to another subject that might relate to the situation but does not explicitly redirect attention in a manner that avoids the contract. Claiming ignorance of the contract could imply a lack of responsibility or knowledge, yet it does not actively change the discussion topic as effectively as redirection does.

6. What does the individual claim with respect to tax obligations?

- A. They owe a lower amount than expected
- B. They do not owe any tax due to religious beliefs**
- C. They have overpaid their taxes
- D. They request a tax exemption for educational purposes

In this context, the individual claims that they do not owe any tax due to religious beliefs, which reflects a specific legal and philosophical stance. Individuals in some countries may argue that their religious convictions exempt them from certain tax obligations based on the principle of freedom of religion. This assertion often involves the interpretation of laws that protect religious practices. Advocates of this view might reference court cases or legal precedents that demonstrate how beliefs can influence tax responsibilities. The focus here is on the assertion of a religious basis for tax exemption, which is a significant topic in discussions about the balance between taxation and individual rights. Understanding this claim involves recognizing how certain belief systems can interact with civic responsibilities, highlighting the complexity of tax law in relation to personal freedoms and societal obligations.

7. What is the primary emotional appeal made by the advertisement for the Blue Dart ocean cruiser?

- A. Leading Question**
- B. Diversion
- C. Victory by Definition
- D. Attacking a Straw Man

The primary emotional appeal made by the advertisement for the Blue Dart ocean cruiser is through a leading question. This technique is effective in advertising because it prompts the audience to reflect on their desires or needs, often making them feel personally invested in the product being promoted. A leading question can evoke curiosity or create a sense of urgency, guiding the viewer's emotional response and encouraging them to consider how the ocean cruiser could enhance their life or fulfill a particular aspiration. Using such questioning can effectively steer the audience's perception, leading them toward a favorable view of the product. For instance, inquiries that highlight adventure, luxury, or the joy of sailing can resonate with prospective buyers, making them envision themselves owning and enjoying the ocean cruiser. This emotional engagement is integral to effective advertising, as it transcends merely presenting facts or features of the product and taps into the consumers' emotional landscape, ultimately influencing their purchasing decisions.

8. What argument is made regarding Hamilton County's impoverishment?

- A. It should not receive a grant**
- B. Its poverty level is not accurately represented
- C. It has no affluent areas
- D. It is drastically underfunded

The argument regarding Hamilton County's impoverishment highlights the reasons why it may be argued that the county should not receive a grant. This likely involves a critical assessment of the county's financial needs and its misrepresentation or perception of poverty levels. If there are opinions suggesting that Hamilton County's poverty issues are overstated or that its funding needs are not justified, it could lead to the conclusion that a grant is unnecessary or unwarranted. This reasoning often hinges on arguments about the presence or absence of affluent areas, the adequacy of current funding, or misconceptions about the true economic conditions within the county. In the context of the question, if the prevailing sentiment is that Hamilton County's impoverishment is exaggerated or perceived inaccurately, it leads to the broader conclusion that financial aid may not be appropriate at this time. Understanding this context is crucial for grasping why certain arguments might be prioritized in discussions about funding and economic assistance.

9. In a discussion about taxes and war, which rhetorical technique is used when the speaker questions the sincerity of the president's statements?

- A. Diversion
- B. Leading Question
- C. Disproving a Minor Point**
- D. Inconsequent Argument

The rhetorical technique most accurately described in the scenario of questioning the sincerity of the president's statements is disproving a minor point. This method involves challenging a specific aspect of an argument that may appear to detract from the overall credibility or integrity of the speaker. By focusing on the president's sincerity, the speaker aims to undermine the trustworthiness of the president's claims about taxes and war, which can lead the audience to question the integrity of the broader argument being presented. This approach can be persuasive in influencing how an audience perceives the speaker's positions, as it casts doubt on the person's commitment to truthfulness in their statements.

10. What logical fallacy is demonstrated in the statement about the substance being inflammable?

- A. Begging the question**
- B. False dilemma
- C. Appeal to authority
- D. Ad hominem

The statement about the substance being inflammable demonstrates the fallacy of begging the question. This logical fallacy occurs when an argument's premise assumes the truth of the conclusion instead of supporting it. In this case, the claim that the substance is inflammable does not provide additional evidence or reasoning; it merely restates the assertion that is supposed to be proven. A proper argument should provide a basis for why the substance is deemably dangerous or challenging without simply repeating the claim without evidence, which is characteristic of begging the question. In this case, the focus is on the lack of supporting arguments for the assertion. Therefore, the conclusion that the substance is unsafe due to its inflammability does not follow from the premise, making begging the question a fitting description of the reasoning error present in the statement.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://academicgamespropagandasecf.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE