

Academic Games Propaganda Section E Practice Test (Sample)

Study Guide



Everything you need from our exam experts!

Copyright © 2025 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain from reliable sources accurate, complete, and timely information about this product.

SAMPLE

Questions

- 1. What reasoning flaw is present when a person's heavy vehicle causes them to mistakenly believe the street's weight limits were exceeded?**
 - A. No Technique**
 - B. Post Hoc**
 - C. Non Sequitur**
 - D. Concurrency**
- 2. What fallacy is present in the reasoning that just because two individuals want an album, it will be a bestseller?**
 - A. Hasty Generalization**
 - B. Faulty Analogy**
 - C. Composition**
 - D. No Technique**
- 3. What logical fallacy is demonstrated when a student assumes Mona's sister will be a klutz based on Mona's past performance?**
 - A. Faulty Analogy**
 - B. Hasty Generalization**
 - C. Non Sequitur**
 - D. Composition**
- 4. What type of faulty reasoning is exhibited when a lawyer claims "I ask you for whole justice, not part justice" in a courtroom setting?**
 - A. Hasty Generalization**
 - B. Faulty Analogy**
 - C. Division**
 - D. Ad Hominem**
- 5. What issue does the Alateen group aim to address, according to the speaker?**
 - A. Substance abuse**
 - B. Family gatherings**
 - C. Social isolation**
 - D. Emotional distress**

- 6. A person's assumption about their diet based on a couple of pounds lost illustrates what reasoning error?**
- A. Post Hoc**
 - B. Concurrency**
 - C. Hasty Generalization**
 - D. Composition**
- 7. Which reason best supports the hope of drafting a defensive back?**
- A. The team's successful recruitment strategy**
 - B. Miami's defensive backfield led the nation in interceptions last year**
 - C. The anticipated team improvement**
 - D. Historical performance of NFL defenses**
- 8. What perception about customer relations is presented after Isaac left the company?**
- A. They improved significantly**
 - B. They remained the same**
 - C. They deteriorated further**
 - D. They were unaffected by staff changes**
- 9. What fallacy is evident in the recommendation to take Daytol for a cold?**
- A. Post Hoc**
 - B. Slippery Slope**
 - C. Appeal to Authority**
 - D. Hasty Generalization**
- 10. Why might one argue that Jordan's support for Israel is unlikely?**
- A. Jordan lacks military resources.**
 - B. Jordan and Libya are alliances.**
 - C. Jordan's political stance is against Israel.**
 - D. Jordan is geographically isolated.**

Answers

SAMPLE

1. D
2. A
3. B
4. B
5. D
6. A
7. B
8. A
9. A
10. C

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What reasoning flaw is present when a person's heavy vehicle causes them to mistakenly believe the street's weight limits were exceeded?

A. No Technique

B. Post Hoc

C. Non Sequitur

D. Concurrency

The presence of a reasoning flaw in this scenario relates to the misconception that the weight limit of the street was exceeded solely based on the observation of a heavy vehicle. This reflects a lack of logical connection between the heavy vehicle and the street's weight limit, as the individual's belief is not substantiated by any evidence that directly relates the two. The flaw arises because their reasoning incorrectly assumes that the sight of a heavy vehicle automatically correlates with the street's condition or regulations regarding weight limits. In logical terms, this is a failure to establish relevant connections, leading to an erroneous conclusion. Therefore, the reasoning flaw can be identified as concurrency, whereby the individual mistakenly believes that two events or conditions are related when they are not, failing to consider other relevant factors or evidence that could provide clarity.

2. What fallacy is present in the reasoning that just because two individuals want an album, it will be a bestseller?

A. Hasty Generalization

B. Faulty Analogy

C. Composition

D. No Technique

The reasoning that just because two individuals want an album, it will be a bestseller is an example of a hasty generalization. This fallacy arises when a conclusion is drawn based on insufficient evidence or a small sample size. In this case, relying on the desire of only two individuals is not representative of the larger population's preferences or purchasing habits. The assumption that their interest automatically translates into widespread appeal and commercial success overlooks the need for a broader analysis of market trends, consumer behavior, and other factors that contribute to an album's status as a bestseller. By emphasizing the insufficient basis for the conclusion, this highlights the inadequacy of jumping to a conclusion without sufficient evidence. Thus, hasty generalization effectively captures why the logic in this argument is flawed.

3. What logical fallacy is demonstrated when a student assumes Mona's sister will be a klutz based on Mona's past performance?

A. Faulty Analogy

B. Hasty Generalization

C. Non Sequitur

D. Composition

The reasoning behind choosing Hasty Generalization as the correct answer lies in the fact that this logical fallacy occurs when someone makes a general conclusion based on insufficient or unrepresentative evidence. In this scenario, the student is drawing a broad conclusion about Mona's sister's abilities based solely on Mona's past performance, which may not be related or indicative of her sister's capabilities. Such a leap in reasoning overlooks the possibility that the two individuals may have different traits, experiences, or circumstances that do not warrant this conclusion. This kind of reasoning is problematic because it relies on an assumption that one person's characteristics can be automatically attributed to another, without any substantive evidence to support this belief. In logical discourse, it is essential to base conclusions on adequate and relevant evidence, which is lacking in this case. Thus, the student's assumption exemplifies the essence of a Hasty Generalization.

4. What type of faulty reasoning is exhibited when a lawyer claims "I ask you for whole justice, not part justice" in a courtroom setting?

A. Hasty Generalization

B. Faulty Analogy

C. Division

D. Ad Hominem

The statement "I ask you for whole justice, not part justice" reflects a faulty analogy. In this context, the lawyer is suggesting that justice can only be served in totality and implying that any partial or incomplete form of justice is equivalent to a failure or injustice. This reasoning draws an analogy between the concept of justice as a whole and the idea that anything less than complete justice is fundamentally flawed or unjust. A faulty analogy occurs when the comparison made between two ideas or situations fails to account for significant differences that undermine the validity of the argument. Here, the lawyer's reasoning obscures the complexities of legal judgments where sometimes justice can be achieved in parts, and the legal system often must navigate between full/partial judgments based on the specifics of each case. By insisting on "whole justice," the lawyer simplifies the concept inappropriately, leading to a misleading and potentially fallacious line of reasoning. This type of reasoning can be problematic in a legal context, where nuanced interpretations and partial resolutions are often necessary. Thus, this statement illustrates the use of faulty analogy effectively, demonstrating how a lawyer might misrepresent the nature of justice in an attempt to persuade a jury.

5. What issue does the Alateen group aim to address, according to the speaker?

- A. Substance abuse**
- B. Family gatherings**
- C. Social isolation**
- D. Emotional distress**

The Alateen group is specifically designed to support young people who are affected by someone else's drinking. The primary focus is on addressing the emotional distress that arises from living with or being close to someone who struggles with alcohol abuse. Members of Alateen often experience feelings such as anxiety, frustration, sadness, and confusion, all stemming from their relationships with those who drink excessively. This group provides a safe space for these young individuals to share their experiences, learn coping strategies, and connect with peers who understand what they are going through. In contrast, while substance abuse is certainly a significant issue related to the context of Alateen, the crucial element that the group directly targets is the emotional fallout from such situations. The other options, like family gatherings and social isolation, might be related to the overall experience of the individuals involved but are not the central focus of what Alateen aims to address. Thus, emotional distress is the key issue that highlights the group's purpose and the support it provides to its members.

6. A person's assumption about their diet based on a couple of pounds lost illustrates what reasoning error?

- A. Post Hoc**
- B. Concurrency**
- C. Hasty Generalization**
- D. Composition**

The reasoning error demonstrated in the scenario is best described as a hasty generalization. This occurs when a conclusion is drawn based on insufficient evidence or a small sample size. In the case of someone assuming their diet is effective just because they lost a couple of pounds, they are making a sweeping conclusion without considering other factors such as the sustainability of that weight loss, the overall impact of their diet over time, or variations in weight due to water retention and other variables. Hasty generalization often leads to misleading conclusions since it relies on limited observations to form a broader theory. In this example, someone might believe that their diet is successful based solely on a short-term outcome, which may not be reliable or indicative of long-term results. This method of reasoning can lead individuals to make poor decisions about their eating habits as they may overlook factors that play a significant role in effective weight management.

7. Which reason best supports the hope of drafting a defensive back?

A. The team's successful recruitment strategy

B. Miami's defensive backfield led the nation in interceptions last year

C. The anticipated team improvement

D. Historical performance of NFL defenses

The reason that best supports the hope of drafting a defensive back is the fact that Miami's defensive backfield led the nation in interceptions last year. This statistic is a strong indicator of the unit's effectiveness and skill level, suggesting that they have the ability to make impactful plays. A defensive backfield that excels in interceptions demonstrates not only individual talent but also the ability of players to work together cohesively. This positive performance can instill confidence in the potential success of drafting a defensive back, as it highlights the quality of players in that position and the system they are a part of. Their success can be a predictor of future performance, making it a compelling reason to focus on bringing a talented defensive back into the team.

8. What perception about customer relations is presented after Isaac left the company?

A. They improved significantly

B. They remained the same

C. They deteriorated further

D. They were unaffected by staff changes

The perception that customer relations improved significantly after Isaac left the company reflects the idea that changes in personnel can lead to different outcomes in organizational dynamics and customer satisfaction. It implies that Isaac's departure remedied previous issues that may have caused dissatisfaction among customers. This could suggest that Isaac's management style or approach to customer engagement was not favorable, and with his exit, the company might have adopted new strategies or leadership that resonated better with clients. Positive impacts could also arise from the reallocation of responsibilities or the introduction of fresh ideas that enhanced customer service. This underscores the notion that personnel changes can lead to beneficial shifts in a company's relationship with its customers. The remaining choices would suggest either a stagnation or a decline in customer relations, which would not align with the inference of improvement indicated in the correct response.

9. What fallacy is evident in the recommendation to take Daytol for a cold?

A. Post Hoc

B. Slippery Slope

C. Appeal to Authority

D. Hasty Generalization

The recommendation to take Daytol for a cold exhibits the Post Hoc fallacy, which arises when a causal relationship is improperly assumed between two events that occur sequentially. In this case, the implication is that taking Daytol will lead to an improvement in cold symptoms simply because one took the medicine after noticing symptoms. This reasoning fails to establish a genuine causal connection and overlooks other factors that may influence recovery, such as natural healing processes or other treatments. By suggesting that taking Daytol directly alleviates cold symptoms based solely on the timing, it misleads by suggesting a cause-and-effect relationship without sufficient evidence supporting it.

10. Why might one argue that Jordan's support for Israel is unlikely?

A. Jordan lacks military resources.

B. Jordan and Libya are alliances.

C. Jordan's political stance is against Israel.

D. Jordan is geographically isolated.

One might argue that Jordan's support for Israel is unlikely due to its political stance, which reflects the complex historical and social dynamics within the region. Historically, Jordan has had a contentious relationship with Israel, shaped by the broader Arab-Israeli conflict and significant public sentiment within Jordan, particularly among its Palestinian population. This opposition to Israeli policies, especially concerning Palestine, can create considerable political pressure on Jordanian leadership, making overt support for Israel politically challenging. Additionally, Jordan's need to balance its diplomatic relations with various Arab states and its own national identity contributes to this stance. Such political considerations are paramount when evaluating Jordan's likelihood of supporting Israel, especially against a backdrop of regional tensions and alliances.